

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH,
THE ALL-BENEFICENT, THE ALL-MERCIFUL

## قال الله تعالى: (إِنَّمَا يُرِيدُ اللَّهُ لِيُدُّهِبَ عَنْكُمْ الرِّجْسَ أَهْلَ الْبَيْتِ وَيُطُهِّركُمْ تَطْهِيرًا.)

Indeed Allah desires to repel all impurity from you, O People of the Household, and purify you with a thorough purification. (*S£rat al-A*|*z*|*b* 33:33).

A NEW ANALYSIS OF WAHH\*B« DOCTRINES

قَالَ رَسُولُ اللهِ 3: إنِّي تَارِكٌ فِيكُمُ التَّقَلَيْنِ: كِتَابَ اللهِ وَعِثْرَتِي أَهْلَ بَيْتِي، مَا إِنْ تَمَسَكَثُمْ بِهِمَا لَنْ تَضِلُوا بَعْدِي أَبَداً، وَإِنَّهُمَا لَنْ يَقْتَرُقًا حَتَّى يَرِدَا عَلَى الْحَوْضَ.

The Messenger of Allah (¥) said:

"Verily, I am leaving among you two precious things [thaqalayn]: The Book of Allah and my progeny ['itrah], the members of my Household [Ahl al-Bayt]. If you hold fast to them, you shall never go astray. These two will never separate from each other until they meet me at the Pond [ $|aw^{\square}|$ ] (of Kawthar)."

#### Some of its references:

Al-°įkim an-Nayshįb£r¢, *Al-Mustadrak 'al į'l-* $-a|\phi|ayn$  (Beirut), vol. 3, pp. 109-110, 148, 533 Muslim,  $Al-a|\phi|$ , (English translation), book 31,  $|ad\phi ths|$  5920-3

At-Tirmidh¢, *Al-* a|¢|, vol. 5, pp. 621-2, |*ad*¢ths 3786, 3788; vol. 2, p. 219

An-Nas<sub>i</sub>' $\phi$ ,  $KhaY_i$ 'iY' $Al\phi$  ibn  $Ab\phi$   $\pm_i lib$ ,  $|ad\phi th$  79 A|mad ibn °anbal, Al-Musnad, vol. 3, pp. 14, 17, 26; vol. 3, pp. 26, 59; vol. 4, p. 371; vol. 5, pp. 181-182, 189-190

Ibn al-Ath¢r,  $J_imi'$  al-U¥£l, vol. 1, p. 277 Ibn Kath¢r, Al- $Bid_iyah$  wa'n- $Nih_iyah$ , vol. 5, p. 209 Ibn Kath¢r, Tafs¢r al-Qur'jn al-'A"¢m, vol. 6, p. 199  $N_i$ ¥ir ad-D¢n al-Alban¢,  $Silsil_it$  al- $A^l_ijd$ ¢th a¥-X4l¢lah (Kuwait: Ad- $D_i$ r as-Salafiyyah), vol. 4, pp. 355-358

# A NEW ANALYSIS OF WAHH<sup>a</sup>B« DOCTRINES

Mu¦ammad °usayn Ibr;h¢m¢

Translator Mansoor Limba

Cultural Affairs Department

Ahl al-Bayt<sup>(`a)</sup> World Assembly

#### نام کتاب: تحلیلی نو بر عقاید و هابیان مؤلف: محمد حسین ابر اهیمی مترجم: منصور لیمبا



Title: A New Analysis of Wahh; b¢ Doctrines
Author: Mu¦ammad °usayn Ibr; h¢m¢

Translator: Mansoor Limba

Project supervisor: Translation Unit, Cultural Affairs Department, Ahl al-Bayt ('a) World

Assembly

Editor: Ahmad Hanif

Bibliography prepared by the translator Publisher: ABWA Publishing and Printing

Center

First Printing: 2007
Printed by: Layl; Press

*Copies*: **3,000** 

ISBN: 964-529-137-2 www.ahl-ul-bayt.org info@ahl-ul-bayt.org

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	15			
INTRODUCTION	19			
The distinctive features of this book	19			
Is Wahhibism a movement?				
Explanation of some points	21			
FIRST DISCOURSE ISLAM AS THE SCHOOL OF UNITY	23			
Keeping aloof from spitefulness	24			
Why Wahhibism should be identified	26			
Leaders and unity	28			
SECOND DISCOURSE  THE LIFE ACCOUNT OF SHAYKH IBN 'ABD AL-WAHHaB AND IBN SA'¬D	31			
A cursory glance at the life account of Shaykh Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhib	31			
Shaykh Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhib after the death of his father	32			
The children and students of Shaykh Ibn `Abd al-Wahhib	33			
The Death of Shaykh Ibn `Abd al-Wahhib	34			
To whom was Shavkh Ibn 'Abd al-Wahhib indebte	d36			

THIRD DISCOURSE	
TAW°«D FROM THE SH«`AH AND WAHHªB« POINT OF VIEW	ΓS <b>39</b>
The negation of reasoning [ta'aqqul] in the Wahhil school and its consequence	b¢ 39
A few words from Martyr Professor Murta¤i Mu§ahhar¢	40
Taw¦¢d according to the Sh¢`ah philosophers and scholastic theologians [mutakallim£n]         Taw¦¢d of Essence [Taw¦¢d-e dhit¢]         Shirk [polytheism] in Essence         Taw¦¢d in Attributes         Taw¦¢d in Actions         Polytheism in Action [shirk-e af`il¢]         Taw¦¢d in worship  Foundations of Taw¦¢d according to the Wahhib¢s	41 41 42 42 42 43 44
Taw¦¢d in Lordship [rub£b¢] Taw¦¢d in Divinity [ul£h¢ or ul£hiyyah] Taw¦¢d in the Names and Attributes	45 45 45
Shirk [polytheism] and its limits according to the Wahhib¢s	46
The socio-political consequences of Taw¦¢d and shirk [polytheism] according to the Wahhib¢s	47
The Wahhib $\varphi$ -Sh $\varphi$ `ah difference of perspective on Taw $\varphi$ d	49

#### FOURTH DISCOURSE

TAWASSUL [RESORTING TO INTERMEDIATION], DEATH AND SHAFI'AH [INTERCESSION] ACCORDIN	
Tawassul according to the Wahhib¢s	51 51
Analysis of the above verses	53
Turning for help of the weak to the strong	53
Tawassul in the Qur'in	54
Death according to the Wahhib¢s	56
The permission to resort to the sacred personages	58
Istimdid and tawassul to the living ones	59
The preeminence of tawassul to the Divine Essence	)
•	60
Tawassul as identical with servitude ['ub£diyyah]	61
Shafi'ah according to Mu¦ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhi	ib
, ,,	<b>63</b> 64
The precedence of the negation of tawassul and	٠.
•	66
The ideas of Ibn Taymiyyah and the reaction of the Ahl as-Sunnah	67
The 'ulami''s opposition to Ibn Taymiyyah	68
FIFTH DISCOURSE  ZIYªRAH [VISITATION] AND THE LAWS PERTAININ TO THE GRAVES AND MOSQUES ACCORDING TO THE SH«`AH AND WAHHªB«S	

Ziyirah according to Sunn¢s and Sh¢`ah	71
The views of Ibn al-Qudimah	71
The view of 'Allimah Majlis¢	73
Visiting the grave as an excellent sunnah	74
The laws pertaining to the graves and mosques	77
Why did the Wahhib¢s not destroy the graves of t Prophet $(Y)$ and the Two Sheikhs?	he 79
Honoring the mosques and praying beside graves	79
Argument based on verse 21 of S£rah al-Kahf	81
Another argument	82
Construction of mosques near the graves	84
Elevation of the graves	85
The Wahhib¢ viewpoint concerning the ornamentation of mosques and holy shrines	86
Traveling to for visit mosques	87
SIXTH DISCOURSE  THE MIRACLES [KARªMAH] OF THE SAINTS OF GO [AWLIYª' ALLªH]	DD <b>89</b>
The miracles of the saints of God as the effect of satisfaction of the soul and God-wariness [taqwi]	91
Charities [khayrit], alms [¥adaqit] and vows [nudh£rit]	92
Seeking blessings from the sacred stones	93
Seeking Tabarruk from the Prophet and his relics	95

#### SEVENTH DISCOURSE

ALLEGORICAL INTERPRETATION [TA'W«L] IN THE QUR'aN	7
Ta'w¢l according to the Wahhib¢s	99
The Juhaym¢ nature of the Sh¢`ah	99
The Wahhib¢s have not trodden the path of enlightenment	01
EIGHTH DISCOURSE CELEBRATION AND MOURNING ACCORDING TO THE SH«`AH AND THE WAHHAB«S  10	
Celebrations and festivals	03
The two festivals acceptable to the Wahhib¢s 10	04
Respectable places and dates 10	05
Festivity in the Qur'in	07
Festivity in Islamic narrations	10
The statements of al-Miward¢ 11	11
The reason behind the Wahhib¢s' sensitivity to festivity and lamentation ['azi]	12
Mourning according to Islam and Wahhibism	13
A critique of the quoted tradition	14
The other argument of the Wahhib¢s	15
The precedence of mourning	16
Types of elegy writing	17
Mourning in the Sh¢`ah and Sunn¢ schools [madhihib]	19

#### NINTH DISCOURSE

DISTORTION [TA°R«F] IN THE QUR'aN, TRADITION AND HISTORY	ONS <b>123</b>
Belief in ta¦r¢f according to Sunn¢ and Sh¢`ah 'ular	mi' 125
The viewpoint of a number of jurists [fuqahi]	127
The excuses and distortions of Wahhibism	128
Ta¦r¢f in the statements and works of the Prophe	et 130
TENTH DISCOURSE ABSOLUTE OBEDIENCE TO THE RULER	141
The practice of the Companions [¥a¦ibah]	144
Obedience to the ruler and one vested with authors [wal¢ al-amr] among the Sh¢`ah	ority 147
The first wal¢ al-amr after the Prophet and the criterion of preeminence of the Companions precedence in faith struggle knowledge and learning God-wariness [taqwi]  The ministry of Imim 'Al¢ ('a)	149 151 151 151 151 151
BIBLIOGRAPHY	159

#### TRANSLITERATION SYMBOLS

Symbol	Transliteration	Symbol	Transliteration
۶	6	Í	a
ب	В	ت	t
ث	th	<u>ج</u>	j
٥	1	<u>خ</u> د	kh
7	D	?	dh
ر	R	ز	z
س	S	ش	sh
ص	¥	ض	¤
ط	§	ظ	••
ع	`	غ	gh
ف	f	ق	q
ای	k	J	l
م	m	ن	n
_&	h	و	w
ي	y	ő	ah
Long Vowels		Short Vowels	
Ĩ	i		a
و	£		u
ي	¢		i

# O

In the Name of Allah, the All-beneficent, the All-Merciful

The precious legacy left behind by the Holy Prophet's Household [ahl al-bayt] (may peace be upon them all) and their followers' preservation of this legacy from the menace of extinction is a perfect example of the all-encompassing school [maktab] that embraces all the different branches of Islamic knowledge. This school has been able to train many talented personalities by quenching them with this gushing fountain. This school has presented scholars to the Muslim ummah who, by following the Holy Prophet's Household ('a), have occupied the station of clarifying doubts and skepticisms put forth by various creeds and intellectual currents both inside and outside Muslim society. Throughout the past centuries, they have presented the firmest answers and solutions to these doubts.

Anchored in the responsibilities it is shouldering, the Ahl al-Bayt ('a) World Assembly has embarked upon defending the sanctity of *risilah* [message] and its authentic beliefs—truths which have always been opposed by the

chiefs and leaders of anti-Islamic sects, religions and trends. In this sacred path, the Assembly regards itself as a follower of the upright pupils of the school of the Ahl al-Bayt ('a)—those who have always been ready to refute those accusations and calumnies and have tried to be always in the frontline of this struggle on the basis of the expediencies of time and space.

The experiences in this field, which contained the books of scholars belonging to the school of the Ahl al-Bayt ('a), are unique in their own right. It is because these experiences have been based upon knowledge ['ilm] and the preeminence of the intellect and reasoning, and at the same time, they are completely devoid of blind prejudice, whim and caprice. These experiences address experts, scholars and thinkers in a manner that appeals to healthy minds and the pure human natural disposition [fi§rah].

In a bid to assist those who are in quest of truth, the Ahl al-Bayt ('a) World Assembly has endeavored to enter a new phase of these worthy experiences within the framework of research and translating the works of contemporary Shi'ah writers or those who, through divine guidance, have embraced this noble school.

The Assembly is also engaged in the study and publication of the valuable works of pious predecessors and outstanding Sh¢'ah personalities so that those who search for the truth may quench their thirst from this refreshing fountain by listening and embracing this truth, which the the Holy Prophet's Household ('a) has offered as a gift to the entire world.

It is hoped that our dear readers would not deprive the Ahl al-Bayt ('a) World Assembly of their valuable opinions, suggestions and constructive criticisms in this

arena.

We also invite scholars, translators and other institutions to assist us in propagating the pure Mulammadan (¥) Islam.

We ask God, the Exalted, to accept this humble effort and enhance it further under the auspices of His vicegerent on earth, give us success to al-Mahd¢ (may Allah, the Exalted, expedite his glorious advent).

It is appropriate here to express our utmost gratitude to °ujjat al-Isl;m wa'l-Muslim¢n Shaykh Mu¦ammad °usayn Ibr;h¢m¢ for writing the book, and to Mr. Mansoor Limba for translating it, as well as all our honorable colleagues in accomplishing this task especially our close associates in the Translation Office for undertaking this responsibility.

Cultural Affairs Department
The Ahl al-Bayt ('a) World
Assembly

#### INTRODUCTION



In the Name of Allah, the Compassionate, the Merciful

Twelve years ago, the book,  $Ta|l\phi l\phi N f$  bar 'Aqi'id Wahhibiyyin [A New Analysis of Wahhib¢ Doctrines], was written and it has been so far printed three times by the Publication Center of the Islamic Propagation Office of the Islamic Seminary in Qum.

With the help of God, a review of its content was undertaken for its fourth printing and new chapters and issues were added.

#### The distinctive features of this book

This book examines Wahh;b¢ beliefs in the light of the beliefs of the Ahl as-Sunnah and the Sh¢'ah. It endeavors to discuss their main ideological issues. The quotations in this book are cited from books published in the holy cities of Mecca and Medina such as the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this volume, I have used the word "Sh¢'ah" to refer to both the group (single collective unit) and the individuals constituting the group (plural). [Trans.]

- 1. Fat | al-Maj¢d written by Shaykh Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b, one of the prominent figures of this movement, with a commentary by Shaykh 'Abd ar-Ra|m;n ibn al-°asan ;l ash-Shaykh and footnotes by 'Abd All;h ibn B;z.
- 2. Al-As'ilah wal-Ajwibah al-U\(\frac{1}{2}\)£liyyah written by 'Abd al-'Az\(\psi\)z Mu\\\ammad Sul\(\frac{5}{2}\)in.
- 3. At-Taw|¢d bi'l-Lughah al-F;risiyyah (No. 27) published by the Saudi Ministry of Islamic Guidance and Endowments in 1374 AHS (circa 1995) and distributed freely to Iranian pilgrims.

In addition to these references, other sources written by Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah Im;m¢ 'ulam;' in general, and Wahh;b¢s in particular, are cited in the footnotes.

This book contains an examination of the views and outlook of the Wahh;b¢s regarding the Sh¢'ah and the infallible Im;ms ('a). Be that as it may, it does not mean that intellectually, ideologically, and even politically and socially, the Wahh;b¢s have no clash with the Ahl as-Sunnah. In this book, we will also deal with this point.

#### Is Wahh; bism a movement?

Many socio-religious reforms and movements have already emerged among Muslims. Some of them are purely political such as those involved in changing the types of governments regardless of whether or not a preference for a particular type of government exists. Some others are purely religious and their concern is only reform in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The abbreviation, "'a" stands for the Arabic invocative phrase, 'alayhis-sal;m, 'alayhimus-sal;m, or 'alayh;s-sal;m [may peace be upon him/them/her], which is used after the names of the prophets, angels, Im;ms from the Prophet's progeny, and saints ('a). [Trans.]

religious and ideological content. Yet, others have been religious and socio-political such as the Islamic Revolution in Iran. These types of movements cannot be regarded as mere reformist movements as they have affected all aspects of life—religious, personal, social, etc. Indeed, the very word "revolution" is the best label for these kinds of movements.

In reply to the question being posed, it must be said that the truth of the matter is that Wahhibism is merely a political movement that emerged within a religious-ideological framework, and it has brought about a particular social outcome. Of course, the final view must be expressed by social and political scientists.

#### Explanation of some points

Wahhibism has been labeled with many various names among which is the appellation, "Salafiyyah". This name is used because they believe that for the reformation of their religion and beliefs, the present Muslims must go back to the early period of Islam ("salaf" means the past or preceding one). Ibn Taymiyyah has introduced the issue of "salaf" and his statements are a source of Wahhib¢ doctrines.

By "Wahhibism" it means that Shaykh Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhib must be followed in socio-political and religious issues because he has taught his followers the way to reform religion and society. The members of these two sects, Wahhibism and Salafism, are followers of the *madhhab* [school of thought] of A|mad ibn oanbal. This group can also be called the "2|hiriyyah" because in interpreting the passages of the Qur'in and traditions, they content themselves with the outward ["ihir] content of the texts. For example, when the Qur'in says:

### (وَجَاءَ رَبُّك وَالْمَلْك صقًّا صقًّا)

And Your Lord and the angels arrive in ranks,

They interpret it as saying that God will also arrive on the Day of Resurrection in such a way that the people can see Him!

This writing contains subjects that explain the above headings and expresses the  $Sh \not e$  ah  $Im_i m \not e$  beliefs regarding those subjects. At any rate, I will try to make it simple, easy-to-read and devoid of complex reasoning. It is hoped that this work will be acceptable to God, the Exalted, and approved by  $a rat i \mid b$  al-Amr [His Holiness Master of the Affair] ( $Im_i m$  al-Mahd $\not e$ ) ('a).  $\square$ 

Mu¦ammad °usayn Ibr;h¢m¢ Islamic Seminary of Qum 1379 AHS (Circa 2000)

?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-Fajr 89:22. In this volume, the translation of Qur'anic passages is adapted from Sayyid 'Al¢ Qul¢ Qar;'¢, The Qur'an with a Phrase-by-Phrase English Translation (London: Islamic College for Advanced Studies Press, 2004). [Trans.]

# FIRST DISCOURSE ISLAM AS THE SCHOOL OF UNITY

The Holy Qur';n invites all human beings to unity—Muslims, Christians, Jews, etc.—and this invitation is not exclusive for the time of the Prophet ( $\S$ ) or a certain group of the People of the Book [ahl al-kit;b]:

Say, 'O People of the Book! Come to a word common between us and you: that we will worship no one but Allah, and that we will not ascribe any partner to Him, and that we will not take each other as lords besides Allah'.<sup>2</sup>

The Glorious Qur'in speaks about the synagogue, temple, church and mosque in the same line because the Name of God is mentioned in all of them. As such, they must be held in high esteem and respect.

Although the blessed verse quoted invites all to unity, the greater emphasis is on the solidarity of Muslims. This is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> People of the Book [ahl al-Kit<sub>i</sub>b]: the respectful title given to the Jews and Christians in the Qur'an. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat <sup>a</sup>l 'Imr<sub>i</sub>n 3:64.

because, in addition to their unity and commonality in  $taw|\phi d$  [unity of God], prophethood [nubuwwah] qiblah [the direction where one faces for prayer and other acts of worship], etc., Muslims also have a commonality with some branches of religion. Thus, among the followers of the various religions, Muslims are more deserving of having unity, and thus the possibility of scientific, cultural, political and other interactions among them is stronger.

#### Keeping aloof from spitefulness

The life conduct  $[s\phi rah]$  of the Holy Prophet  $(\S)^1$  serves as a proof, guideline and model for all of us. Through compassion, magnanimity and endeavor, he  $(\S)$  was able to unify the people of  $\circ$ ij;z,  $^2$  most of whom had been idolworshippers, under the banner of Islam.

After their acceptance of Islam, some of them, known as the  $mun_i fiq \pounds n$  [hypocrites], engaged in open confrontation with the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) who had to deal with them. They were those who ostensibly embraced Islam but in intention and practice they were not assisting him ( $\Psi$ ). In spite of this, the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) peacefully associated with them and his objectives were the accomplishment of the mission as well as imparting the understanding and implementation of the Holy Qur'in. The very same conduct was adopted by the infallible Imims ('a) and they never kindled the flame of discord among Muslims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The abbreviation, "\mathcal{E}", stands for the Arabic invocative phrase, \mathcal{E} allalljhu 'alayhi wa jlihi wa sallam [may God's salutation and peace be upon him and his progeny], which is used after the name of the Holy Prophet Mu|ammad (\mathcal{E}). [Trans.]

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  °ij;z: the region in Western Arabia bordering the Red Sea that includes  $\pm_i$ 'if, Mecca and Medina. Here, it alludes to the entire Arabian Peninsula. [Trans.]

We can see that although 'Al¢ ('a) had reproached the earlier caliphs as recorded in Nahj al-Bal¡ghah,¹ in other instances he would laud them. All this was primarily to foster the freedom of thought and the spread of Islamic beliefs. The conclusion is that in the present age, indulging in magnifying Sunn¢-Sh¢'ah differences, apart from not being useful, will result in an irreparable loss.

Proximity between Sunn¢s and Sh¢'ah advances the interests of both. The Sh¢'ah in particular have not confined their thought, culture, jurisprudence [fiqh], exegesis of the Qur'in [tafs ¢r], and beliefs to themselves and their seminaries. A survey of Muslim-populated countries substantiates this statement as the books of great Sh¢'ah figures such as Shaykh al-Muf¢d, Shaykh a§- $\pm$ £s¢, 'Allimah °ill¢, 'Allimah Tabi§abi'¢, and Professor Mu§ahhar¢ can be easily found in these countries.

The proximity of Sunn¢s and Sh¢'ah opens the ways for the spread of Sh¢'ah thought and culture in the Muslim world, and as a result, makes the further proximity of these two sects even more possible.

More than anyone else, the Wahhib¢s are apprehensive and endangered by this proximity. It is for this reason that during the °ajj season, they prohibit the entry into the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nahj al-Bal¡ghah (The Peak of Eloquence) is a collection of speeches, sayings and letters of the Commander of the Faithful, Im¡m 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢ ±¡lib ('a) compiled by Shar¢f ar-Ra¤¢ Mu¦ammad ibn al-°usayn (d. 406 AH/1016). The contents of the book concern the three essential topics of God, man and the universe, and include comments on scientific, literary, social, ethical, and political issues. With the exception of the words of the Glorious Qur'an and of the Holy Prophet (¥), no words of man can equate it in eloquence. So far, more than 101 exegeses have been written on Nahj al-Bal¡ghah, indicating the importance of this treatise to scholars and learned men of research and investigation. For more information, visit: <a href="http://www.al-islam.org/nahjul">http://www.al-islam.org/nahjul</a>. [Trans.]

country all religious books including the Qur';n (in Persian translation),  $tafs \phi r$ , history and  $|ad\phi th|$  books, and even Iranian magazines and newspapers. This is because they are afraid that these printed materials would present facts against their particular policy and doctrines. This is in spite of the fact that those matters are never repugnant to the truth of Islam.

In terms of outlook, they oppose not only the Sh¢'ah but also the four Sunn¢ schools of thought. They write books against the proximity of Sunn¢s and Sh¢'ah, campaigning against it, regarding it as an impossible venture, and claiming thus: "We shall never have an understanding with those who are engaged in speculative interpretation of the verses of the Qur'in and who disrespect the two sheikhs [shaykhayn]."

#### Why Wahh; bism should be identified

The anti-unity campaign of Wahh;b¢s reaches its peak during the Unity Week. One of the best means of replying to such a plot is that the 'ulam;' of the oajj caravans and pilgrims should be the promoters of unity more than anyone else. They should be familiar with the methods of dealing with them and understand their views and opinions so that during confrontations and argumentations, they could reply to them consciously and intellectually.

It is necessary for some Muslims who are following the Sunn¢ school to be properly informed about the opinions of the Sunn¢ im;ms so as to realize that the Wahh;b¢s also have views difference to them and even regard many of the beliefs of the Ahl as-Sunnah as polytheistic and, worse still, prone to infidelity [kufr]. In reality, Wahh;bism is a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 12-17 Rab¢' al-Awwal. [Trans.]

political movement under the religious cover of identifying with the Sunnis and it wants to prevent the unity of the Islamic schools of thought [madh;hib]. It is trying to kindle the flame of discord among Muslims especially between the two main sects—Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah—so as to make the imperialist hegemony permanent over the Muslim nation.

Unfortunately, with the acquisition of the oil-rich land of Arabia and reliance on the enormous God-given wealth, Wahh;bism has succeeded in becoming a potent force and has established innumerable offices and organizations throughout the world for the propagation of its dogma. In the Sunn¢-populated regions of Iran and Pakistan where most of the people are suffering from poverty and deprivation, the Wahh;b¢s are making huge investments, constructing religious schools [mad;ris], spending large amounts of money upon their students and others, and attracting people to Wahh;b¢ doctrines. Since most of our Sunn¢ brothers are living on the border regions of Iran, they are more subjected to the influence of the propaganda of the imperialist Wahh;b¢s.

As the 'Alaw¢ Sh¢'ah and Mu¦ammad¢ Sunn¢s have risen up now hand in hand against their enemies and can clearly see the hand of imperialism behind the curtain of Wahh;bism, it is necessary for Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah 'ulam;' to conduct research about Wahh;bism and identify it well so as to make it clear that this group has differences of opinion not only with the Sh¢'ah but also with the Ahl as-Sunnah. Although the Wahh;b¢s are always playing the Sunn¢ card and try to portray themselves as the well-wishers and sympathizers of the Sunn¢s, Sunn¢s in turn have to know that the issues regarded by Wahh;b¢s as their points of departure with the Sh¢'ah are the same issues that are common between the Sunn¢s and the Sh¢'ah. They also

have to know that the Sh¢'ah school is closer to the Ahl as-Sunnah than Wahh;bism is.

#### Leaders and unity

During the past decades, there were figures who regarded the unity of Muslims as their ideal and aspiration, but they did not realize this precious aspiration, or if they ever took steps for its realization, they were very insignificant and rudimentary. In the recent period, the late ayatullih Bur£jerd¢  $(r)^1$  gave an affirmative reply to this aspiration by approving the Jimi'ah at-Taqr¢b bayn al-Madh;hib al-Isl;miyyah [University or Forum for the proximity of the Islamic schools of thought]. There have been other 'ulam;' and fugah; who upheld the approach of the late Bur£jerd¢. In this context, the viewpoint and outlook of the late °adrat<sup>2</sup> Im;m Khomein¢ (r) and his efforts are well known to all. At the present time also, in a bid to extend the scope of this unity further, ayatullih Kh;mene'¢ (may his sublime presence endure) has issued a decree for reviving the foundation of unity and the forum for proximity, which is itself worthy of gratitude and a source of hope.

It is appropriate for us to note at this juncture that the  $Sh\phi$  ah 'ulam;' and fuqah; of the past, such as Shaykh al-Muf $\phi$ d (d. 413 AH), Sayyid Murta $\phi$ ; 'Alam al-Hu $\phi$ i (d. 436 AH), and Shaykh a $\phi$ - $\pm \pounds s\phi$  (d. 460 AH), among others, have also emphasized unity between Sunn $\phi$ s and  $Sh\phi$  ah, and have written valuable books on this subject such as al-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The abbreviation, "r" stands for the Arabic invocative phrase, ra|matull|h 'alayhi, ra|matull|h 'alayhi, or ra|matull|h 'alayhim [may peace be upon him/her/them], which is used after the names of pious people. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> °a $\square$ rat: The Arabic word °a $\square$ rat is used as a respectful form of address. [Trans.]

Khilif which enumerates the common points of belief between the two groups. 'Allimah oille has also written a book on the basis of the jurisprudence [fiqh] of the She'ah and the four Sunne schools.

All these are proofs for the proximity of jurisprudential views of the two schools and of the interest of leading figures in jurisprudence in establishing mutual understanding. Of course, at the present time there are treatises on jurisprudence written by Sunn¢s in which the views of the Sunn¢s and the Sh¢`ah on the branches of religion and jurisprudence have been compared. For example, the books  $Maws \pounds$  'ah  $Jam_i l$  'Abd  $al-N_i ¥ ir$  and Al-Fiqh 'ali'  $l-Madh_i hib$  al-Khamsah can be cited.  $\square$ 

#### SECOND DISCOURSE THE LIFE ACCOUNT OF SHAYKH IBN 'ABD AL-WAHHIB AND IBN SA'£D

## A cursory glance at the life account of Shaykh Ibn 'Abd al-Wahh'; b

In this section, we deemed it fitting to take a survey of the life of Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b, known as the Shaykh, and Mu|ammad Sa'£d.

The sons and grandsons of the Shaykh are still living in the Arabia, some of whom are known by the family name, "al ash-Shaykh". The children of Mu|ammad al as-Sa'£d some of whom are holding the reins of government in Arabia are known as "¡l Sa'£d". The country had been known before as "o'ij¡z", but it was changed into the "Kingdom of Saudi Arabia" [al-Mamlakah al-'Arabiyyah Sa'£diyyah] during the reign of King 'Abd al-'Az¢z.

Shaykh Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b came from the region of Najd who was born in 1114 AH in one of the cities of Najd named as "'Ayniyyah". His father, Shaykh 'Abd al-Wahh;b, was a scholar ['ilim] and the judge [ $q_i = \phi$ ] of that region. As such, the creed of Shaykh Mu|ammad had been ascribed to his father. After learning the basics of religion from his father, Shaykh Mu|ammad went to Medina and learned from the ' $ulam_i$ ' of that region.

Due to his personal interpretations of some issues regarding belief and his opposition to the ' $ulam_i$ ' of Medina, he was expelled from the city. He then went to Iraq where he stayed in Ba¥rah. In that city he got acquainted with a person named Shaykh Mu¦ammad Majm£'¢ and adopted his ideas. Finally, the two believed in a certain creed.

The other 'ulam<sub>i</sub>' of Ba\(\frac{1}{2}\)ran and the believers of the region that were mainly of Iranian origin, had opposed him and ousted him from Ba\(\frac{1}{2}\)ran.

When Shaykh Mu|ammad was driven out of Ba\(\frac{2}{2}\)rah, he proceeded to Damascus, which had a pleasant climate, but because of his unusual belief and difficulties in life he was unable to remain there. Since he could not return to Medina or Mecca, he went back to the Najd of his father, Shaykh 'Abd al-Wahhib, who was then still the 'jlim of the region.

The Shaykh had a brother named Shaykh Sulaym;n ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b who was at loggerheads with him in terms of belief. His brother was the first person to write a book refuting his doctrines. His father also opposed him and sided with Shaykh Sulaym;n. In addition to the opposition of his father and brother, he also faced the opposition of 'ulam;', and this dispute continued until the death of his father.

#### Shaykh Ibn 'Abd al-Wahh'b after the death of his father

After the death of his father, Shaykh Mu|ammad enjoyed great freedom in propagating his doctrines and views. As such, he went to other places and become acquainted with 'Uthm;n ibn A|mad ibn Mu|ammad, who was then the emir of 'Ayniyyah, and married his daughter Jawharah. Although it is said that the people there accepted some of his beliefs, because he went to extremes in

opposing their customs, they expelled him from the region. For instance, he had ordered the destruction of a dome belonging to Zayd ibn al-Kha§§¡b, brother of 'Umar. He had also issued a decree for an old tree, which was venerated by the people of the region, to be uprooted.

In sum, on account of his peculiar doctrines, among which was his disregard for the leaders of the Ahl as-Sunnah, the Shaykh lost his esteem in the people's sight and earned their wrath. From there he went to the region of Dar'iyyah.

Nowadays, pilgrims—Iranian and non-Iranian—can still see the relics related to the rule of 'Uthm;n in Arabia such as the holy shrine of the Holy Prophet (¥) and the graves surrounding it, the lanterns of Masjid an-Nab¢, and the inscriptions on that sacred mosque.

#### The children and students of Shaykh Ibn 'Abd al-Wahh; b

Shaykh Mu¦ammad had a number of sons and daughters and married one of his daughters to Mu¦ammad ibn Sa'£d, the tribal chief. His sons, °usayn, 'Abd All¡h and Ibr¡h¢m, became judges after their father. Even now, his sons, one after another, hold religious positions in Saudi Arabia.

During his lifetime, apart from training students, the Shaykh wrote some books which nowadays have caught the attention of 'ulam;' and students of the region. These books are as follows:

- 1.  $Kit_ib$  at- $Taw_i^{\dagger}\phi d$ ; a collection of his doctrines;
- 2. *Kitib Kashf ash-Shubahit*, which is written in defense of his doctrines vis-à-vis Sunn¢ 'ulami';
  - 3. The merits and issues of some stories in the Qur';n;
- 4. *Kitib al-Kabi'ir*, which has been written about the major sins;

- 5. Mas; 'il al-J;hiliyyah, in which he compares the pre-Islamic period of ignorance of Arabia with his own time;
- 6.  $Faw_i$ 'id as- $S\phi$ rah an-Nabawiyyah, which is well-known as  $S\phi$ rat ar- $Ras\pounds l$ . This book examines the entire course of the lives of some Companions of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ), his battles and the prevalent beliefs during that time;
  - 7.  $IkhtiY_i r ash-Shar_i al-Kab x_i^*$ ; and
- 8.  ${}^ad_ib$  al-Mashyi il;  ${}^i\Psi^-$ al;h (These two books have been written about issues related to jurisprudence and the branches of religion).

These books are still available at the present.

#### The Death of Shaykh Ibn 'Abd al-Wahh; b

After engaging in religious and political debates, successive travels to a number of cities in the Muslim world, and enduring the wrath and anger of the 'ulam<sub>i</sub>', Shaykh Mu|ammad was able to find his own supporters and votaries, who are nowadays known as the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s.

According to historical sources which have been written in his praise and appreciation and negating the deviant nature of his doctrines, the Shaykh passed away in 1206 AH at the age of 92 in Dar'iyyah after traveling to Ba¥rah, Najaf, Karbal;', and probably, Işfah;n and Sh¢r;z.

In short, after the death of the Shaykh, his beliefs and views were promoted and propagated with the support and blessing of external and domestic political brokers in such a manner that at the present, most of the current rulers of Arabia and a number of religious scholars and judges there follow him and other Muslim countries such as Afghanistan, Pakistan and boarder communities in Iran have come under the influence of these doctrines. The Wahhibés are spreading this creed in the various countries in Europe, America and Asia by building mosques and libraries, printing copies of the Holy Qur'in, dispatching religious missionaries, and the like.

This creed is called Wahh; bism derived from the name of the Shaykh's father. Although the Shaykh seemed to be a follower of the °anbal¢ school of thought, the truth of the matter is that he was not so, and he was distinctively different from the other 'ulam;'. In fact, he regarded himself as free to think, choose and formulate his own beliefs pertaining to religion. Since he considered himself an initiator of a new set of beliefs, he expressed his beliefs in the following points:

- 1. He treated all Muslims as infidels or polytheists while thinking of himself as the true Muslim;
- 2. He declared visiting the graves and constructing domes and courtyards around the cemetery of the Companions of the Prophet (¥) and his descendants as unlawful [\|ar;m\];
- 3. He regarded making vows, requests and offering sacrificial animals beside the shrine of saints [awliy;'] as unlawful;
- 4. He used to reckon as |arim entreating [istigh;thah] and resorting to the intermediation [tawassul] of the saints of God:
- 5. He considered it obligatory to wage jihid against those who opposed his creed, saying: "Wage war against the infidels and polytheists until there is no more sedition [fitnah] and the religion is solely for God:

What is meant by the Shaykh in this verse is jihid against Muslims and for him the pure religion is referred to his creed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-Baqarah 2:193.

This is the summary of the life account and beliefs of Shaykh Mu¦ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b as taken from  $Kit_ib$   $At-Taw|\phi d$  bi'l-Lughati  $al-F_irisiyyah$ . Of course, other points shall also be mentioned in other discussions.

#### To whom was Shaykh Ibn 'Abd al-Wahh; b indebted

During the period of his stay in Mecca and Medina, Shaykh Mu|ammad came across books that had a role in the formation of his thought. Among them are the books of A|mad ibn °anbal, his *Musnad* in particular; the books of Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah; and the writings of Ibn Taymiyyah. Contrary to other 'ulam;' of the Ahl as-Sunnah, they expressed new beliefs and opinions which can be extracted from their books on jurisprudence and history. Of course, the Shaykh was largely influenced by the views of Ibn Taymiyyah.

Ibn Taymiyyah lived during the 8<sup>th</sup> century AH. In terms of belief, he was follower of A|mad ibn °anbal who lived in the 3<sup>rd</sup> century AH. Ibn Taymiyyah believed in a sort of anthropomorphism concerning God maintaining that God has a hand, foot, eye, tongue and mouth, and occupies a space! In order to prove his case, he resorted to the literal text of Qur'inic verses, maintaining that God is sitting on a throne in heaven.

Ibn Taymiyyah is the epithet and title of Ab£'l-'Abb¡s Taq¢ ad-D¢n A|mad ibn 'Abd al-°al¢m. He was born in the territory of °arr¡n in present-day Turkey. He then migrated with his father to Damascus, Syria, and there he acquired learning in religion and jurisprudence. In many ideological and intellectual issues, he held extreme and radical views. In addition to his anthropomorphic beliefs concerning God, he prohibited visitation of the graves and seeking the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kit;b at-Taw¦¢d bi'l-Lughah al-F;risiyyah, no. 27, pp. 16-34.

intermediation [tawassul] of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) while deeming it permissible to abuse Im;m 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢  $\pm$ ; lib ('a). On issues in jurisprudence, he opposed the predecessors of the four Sunn¢ schools. From the above points, it can be understood that the Shaykh was not the first person to have expressed such beliefs, for individuals such as Ibn Taymiyyah had advanced similar ideas prior to him. Like Shaykh Mu¦ammad, Ibn Taymiyyah earned the wrath and stern criticism of the 'ulam;' of his time and for a time he was exiled to Egypt. But through the help of the government of the time, he returned to Damascus. During the last period of his life, he was imprisoned for his opposition to the 'ulam;' of Damascus and finally expired in the castle of Damascus and was buried there.

## Ibn Sa'£d

In the territory of Dar'iyyah in the region of °ij;z, which is a mountainous territory with a pleasant climate, a person named Mu|ammad ibn Sa'£d assumed the chieftainship of his tribe. The Shaykh became acquainted with Ibn Sa'£d and relayed to him his new doctrines, and Ibn Sa'£d in turn accepted them. They agreed together to set up a government encompassing the entire region where religious and judicial affairs, issues concerning propagation, and the leadership of the Friday prayers would be assumed by the Shaykh while the political, social, military, and security affairs would be under Ibn Sa'£d.

The government in °ij;z at that time was tribal and ethnic, and like many Muslim countries, was under Ottoman rule whose capital was present-day Turkey. With al Sa'£d's ascension to power, °ij;z seceded from Ottoman rule and in the course of time, it fell under the control of Britain. On course, the British role in this change of the government should not be overlooked.  $\square$ 

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;Al¢ Daw;n¢, Firgeh-ye Wahh;b¢, chap. 1.

## THIRD DISCOURSE:

# TAW|¢D FROM THE SH¢`AH AND WAHHIB¢ POINTS OF VIEW

# The negation of reasoning [ta'aqqul] in the Wahh;b¢ school and its consequence

A kind of intellectual negation can be observed in the school of Wahh; bism. Although Shaykh Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh; b regarded himself an enlightened person, criticizing the four Suun¢ schools of thought, some Sh¢ 'ah beliefs, and reproaching them for speculatively interpreting the verses of the Qur'; n, he used to resort to secondary issues concerning the teachings about God, the Exalted. He believed in a sort of anthropomorphism for God and in this regard he used to content himself with the outward purport of the verses.

His supporters also reject reflection and reasoning about the verses of the Qur'in and the Prophetic traditions, negating the rational sciences, philosophy and mysticism ['irfin]. They are afflicted with a close-mindedness and intellectual frigidity to the extent that they are incapable of applying the precepts of the school [madrasah], the Qur'inic verses and the traditions to the demands of time. It was for this reason that they initially declared the telephone, mass communication devices and others as religiously unlawful, and strongly resisted them, but later they finally relented.

Since they are incapable of applying the concepts such as intercession  $[shaf_j, tawassul]$  and infallibility  $[i \neq mah]$  of the Prophet  $\{ \}$  in the light of contemporary thinking their viewpoint concerning the prophets, the Holy Prophet  $\{ \}$  in particular, and the saints is narrow. They treat the spiritual station of the prophets and the saints as identical with the rest of people, thinking them as being annihilated and nonexistent after death, while the  $Sh \notin ah$  and other Islamic schools of thought consider them to be present and watching over us. In a result, the Wahhib  $\notin s$  consider tawassul to the prophets and  $awliy \in awline awliy \in awline and asking for their <math>shaf \in awline awlin$ 

# A few words from Martyr Professor Murta¤; Mu§ahhar¢

Martyr Professor Murtad; Mu§ahhar¢ says:

The Wahh;b¢s believe that God has two realms. One is related to His Essence and no one has the right to enter into this realm. Worship ['ib;dah] and tawassul pertain to God and are exclusive to this axis. The other realm is related to the natural affairs of the world in which the will and discretion of man have a role and it has nothing to do with God.<sup>1</sup>

## He also says:

According to us, however, conceiving of two realms for the creation; thinking of God as belonging to one realm and the creatures, man in particular, to be in the other realm; and considering these two as distinctly separated is unacceptable and itself as a kind of polytheism. We should not separate God from His acts and His creatures; for, we believe that:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Murta¤; Mu¦ahhar¢,  $Jah_i n B \phi n \phi$ -ye  $Taw_i \phi d \phi$  [Monotheistic Worldview], vol. 2, p. 116.

That power, altogether, belongs to Allah, 1

And:

"There is no might and power except from Allah, the Exalted and Great."<sup>2</sup>

## Then, he says:

Contrary to common notions, Wahh; bism is not only an anti-Imamate theory but rather, before being anti-Imamate, it is anti- $taw|\phi d$  and anti-human. It is anti- $taw|\phi d$  because it advocates the division of work between the Creator [kh;liq] and the creature  $[makhl \pounds q]$ . In addition, it upholds a sort of hidden polytheism in Essence  $[shirk-e\ dh;t\phi]$ . It is anti-human because it fails to comprehend the talent of man that makes him superior to the angels, and according to the text of the Qur'; n, elevates him to the status of vicegerency of Allah  $[khil;fat\ All;h]$  who ordered the angels to prostrate before him. It reduces him into a mere natural animal."

# Taw\\chi d according to the Sh\chi ah philosophers and scholastic theologians [mutakallim\(\mathbf{t}\)n]

In the light of the blessed  $S\pounds rah$  at- $Taw| \not ed$  (or al- $Ikhl; \not ed$ ), the following headings about the cognition of the Essence and Attributes of God can be deduced:

## Taw|¢d of Essence [Taw|¢d-e dh;t¢]:

God has a Perfect Essence and the Attributes of Perfection and Beauty. Thus, this Essence must be regarded as One and Unique. That is, whatever we say concerning His Oneness and Unity, we have to believe also with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-Baqarah 2:165.

² Jah¡n B¢n¢-ye Taw¦¢d¢, ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid.

respect to His Essence. Those who acknowledge such Essence also believe in the  $Taw|\phi d$  of Essence.

## Shirk [polytheism] in Essence:

This means that we believe in two or more essences for God, the Exalted. This type of polytheism is called "polytheism in Essence". God is One in Essence and has no partner. So, those who maintain that God has a son or equal, or that He has been begotten profess polytheism in Essence. The Holy Qur'in strongly condemns this type of belief.

## Taw\\cdap\delta d in Attributes:

The Essence of God has Attributes which we can through Their effects. such Knowledgeable [al-'Al $\phi$ m], the Living [al-'ayy], the Wise [al- $\circ ak \notin m$ ], and the Ever-Living [al-Qayy $\notin m$ ]. We relate these Attributes to the Essence, saying that God, the Blessed and Exalted, is One in Essence and Attributes. Since all these Attributes relate back to the Essence, there is no multiplicity in the Attributes and all Attributes are one. Every Attribute is identical with the other Attribute. For example, His Knowledge ['Ilm] is His Power [Qudrah]. Therefore, the plurality of Attributes according to our understanding is related to the effects of the Single Essence. As such, His Attributes and Essence are One and not that He has One Essence and many Attributes.

#### Taw\\\chid in Actions:

 $Taw|\phi d$  in Actions is also like  $Taw|\phi d$  in Essence in the sense that the origin of every action in the world of being is the Divine Sacred Essence, and will finally relate to Him. We should know that every Action that we ascribe to Him will be the same as His other Action, and there is no difference and distinction among the Actions of God, and the apparent duplicity in the Actions of God is caused by our perception:

Do not say about anything, 'I will indeed do it tomorrow,' without [adding], 'if Allah wishes.' And when you forget, remember your Lord.<sup>1</sup>

So, all our wishes are within the domain of His will and all the actions of God are one:

"There is no might and power except from Allah, the Exalted and Great."

Those who have such belief, attributing all actions to God have the belief in  $Taw_1^{\dagger}\phi d$  in Action.

# Polytheism in Action [shirk-e af'; l¢]:

Polytheism in Action [shirk-e af';l $\phi$ ] means to believe that a creature has a divine will independent of the will of God in the sense that whatever the said creature does is outside the domain of God's will. This is contrary to what God has attributed to Himself as stated in the Holy Qur';n, thus:

And you did not throw when you threw, rather it was Allah who threw.<sup>2</sup>

While we all know that the Prophet (¥) threw earth and stones toward the enemy during the Battle of Badr.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-Kahf 18:23-24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-Anf;l 8:17.

## Taw\cd in worship:

Having attributed the  $Taw|\phi d$  of Essence, Attributes and actions to God,  $Taw|\phi d$  in worship is confirmed for Him in the sense that only His Essence is worthy of worship, and if we consider anyone as His partner in worship, as the idolworshippers and others do, it means that we are afflicted with polytheism in worship. The following verses of the Qur'in express this  $Taw|\phi d$  in worship:

You [alone] do we worship, and to You [alone] do we turn for help. 1

And along this line, another verse states:

Say, 'Indeed my prayer and my worship, my life and my death are all for the sake of Allah, the Lord of all the worlds'.<sup>2</sup>

 $Taw|\phi d$  in worship is understood from the phrase, "indeed my prayer and my worship" while  $Taw|\phi d$  in Lordship  $[rub \pounds biyyah \phi]$  is discerned from the phrase, "my life and my death".

# The foundations of Taw\\chide d according to the Wahh\;b\cutes

The Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s regard  $Taw|\phi d$  as having three parts: (1)  $Taw|\phi d$  in Lordship  $[rub\pounds b\phi]$ , (2)  $Taw|\phi d$  in Divinity  $[ul\pounds h\phi]$ , and (3)  $Taw|\phi d$  in the Names and Attributes  $[asm_i]'$  wa  $¥if_it$ ].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-F;ti¦ah 1:5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-An';m 6:162.

# Taw|¢d in Lordship [rub£b¢]:

It means that only the Essence of God has all the absolute and perfect Attributes. In other words,  $Taw|\phi d$  in Lordship is the  $Taw|\phi d$  in recognizing and proving God whose proofs are the verses of  $S\pounds rah\ al-K_i fir\pounds n$ , the verse,

Say, 'O People of the Book! Come to a word common...<sup>2</sup> and other verses.

# Taw\\epsilon d in Divinity [ul\perp h\epsilon or ul\perp hiyyah]:

It is the belief in the fact that only God is worthy of worship and praise, and there is not other that god worshipped being beside Him.

## Tawi¢d in the Names and Attributes:

The Attributes and Names of God are pre-eternal  $[qad\phi m]$ . The Wahh; b¢s consider this aspect of  $Taw|\phi d$  in the place of the  $Taw|\phi d$  in Attributes, worship and actions. This belief is traceable from the belief of the Ash'arites [ash; irah], a group of scholastic theologians [mutakallim fn] during the 2<sup>nd</sup> century AH. The Ash'arites also believed in the "pre-eternality" [qidmah] of the Divine Names and Attributes. Anchored on this belief, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *S£rat al-Kifir£n* 109:1-6: "O faithless ones! I do not worship what you worship, not do you worship what I worship; nor will I worship what you have worshipped nor will you worship what I worship. To you your religion, and to me my religion."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat <sup>a</sup>l 'Imr<sub>i</sub>n 3:64: "Say, 'O People of the Book! Come to a word common between us and you: that we will worship no one but Allah, and that we will not ascribe any partner to Him, and that we will not take each other as lords besides Allah'."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Here, the word "pre-eternal"  $[qad\phi m]$  is not in contrast to the notion of "new"  $[jad\phi d]$ . It is rather the opposite of "created"  $[\frac{1}{2}idith]$ ; that is, to have existed from the very beginning and not to have come into being sometime in the past.

Wahh;b¢s reckon the Qur';n as pre-eternal and the attribute of an act of God. They also consider the dotted Arabic letters [|ur£f al-mu'jam] as pre-eternal.

They regard as Attributes of the Essence those attributes such the Eye ['ayn]; Soul [nafs]; Knowledge ['ilm]; Life [ay;h]; Hearer  $[sam \phi']$ ; Seer  $[ba \neq \phi r]$ ; Face [wajh]; Speech or Word [kal;m]; Pre-existence [qidam]; Hand [yad]; Foot [rijl] (The Wahh;b¢s believe that God—God forbid—has hands and feet!), Dominion [mulk]; Grandeur ['a"amah]; Greatness [kibriy; ']; Eminence ['uluww]; Richness [ghin;]; Mercy [ra|mah]; Power [qudrah]; Wisdom [|ikmah]; etc. They consider as Attributes of Act the attributes such as surprise [ta'ajjub]; laughing [ $\Box a|ik$ ]; satisfaction [ $ri\Box_i$ ]; anger  $[gha \square ab]$ ; aversion  $[kar_j hah]$ ; equality  $[istiw_j]$ ; coming  $[maj \, \epsilon']$  (the alleged appearance of God on the Day of Resurrection); coming down [nuz£l] (it refers to the belief of the Wahh; b¢s that God is sitting on the Throne and He descends from heaven at the dawn!); disagreement; and gladness.1

After stating the parts and examples of  $Taw|\phi d$  from the point of view of Wahh; bism, it is now proper to examine polytheism [shirk] according to this sect. Thereafter, we shall compare it with  $Sh\phi$  ah monotheistic thought.

#### Shirk [polytheism] and its limits according to the Wahh; b¢s

Shirk [polytheism] from the viewpoint of Wahh; bism means associating partner with God and considering other beings as independent from Him. Wahh; bism also regards turning for help to the prophets and seeking the intermediation [tawassul] of the saints as acts of polytheism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Fat* | *al-Maj¢d*, pp. 33, 41, 57.

According to this viewpoint, kissing and visiting the graves of the infallible Im;ms ('a) and the Prophet (\(\frac{\pmathbf{Y}}{4}\)) are all acts of polytheism, unlawful and religious innovation [bid'ah]. According to the Wahh;b\(\phi\)s, the Sh\(\phi\)'ah are polytheists or at least their beliefs have elements of polytheism.

# The socio-political consequences of Taw\cdot\cdot\cdot\delta and shirk [polytheism] according to the Wahh\cdot\cdot\delta s

The late Mulammad Jawid Mughniyyah thus writes:

Based on the Wahh;b¢ creed, mere utterance of "L; il;ha illall;h wa ashhadu anna Mu¦ammadan ras£l All;h" [There is no god but Allah and Mu|ammad is the Messenger of Allah] is not enough for the acceptance of Islam. After uttering it, one should rather not seek the intermediation of other than God; not have the intention of paying homage to the Prophet (¥); not touch and kiss his grave; not swear by the Prophet (¥); and not call on him and addressing him, thus: "O the Messenger of Allah!" and "O my master!"

During his control of Mecca, Mu¦ammad ibn Sa'£d (one of the rulers of Saudi Arabia) had said in his speech that with the exception of the Wahh¡b¢s, all Muslims are polytheists and must be reformed at the point of the sword so as to embrace Wahh¡bism. Contrary to his statement, however, King Faisal, the king of the Wahh¡b¢s, in his message issued in 1342 AH, says while addressing the Wahh¡b¢s: "And all Muslims, from Egypt, India, etc. are your brothers."

This means that, "You should not be pessimistic with respect to the Muslims and you are not supposed to act according to this creed of Shaykh Mu¦ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b."

Maintaining this belief by the Wahhibés would have such socio-political consequences as considering all Muslims as polytheists and spreading sedition [fitnah] and chaos, for such a belief is an imperialist and anti-Islamic one.

## The Wahh; b¢-Sh¢`ah difference of perspective on Taw|¢d

As stated earlier, there are qualitative and quantitative differences between the Wahh;  $b \not \in s$  and the Sh $\not \in s$  about  $Taw \not \in s$ .

We shall find out later on that this classification from the perspective of Wahhibism has significant political implications.

It can probably be argued that there is no problem with the classification of the Wahh;b¢s. In addition, this issue is only an intellectual limitation, and difference of opinion between Muslim philosophers and mystics ['uraf;'] concerning this issue can also be observed. But that which cannot be ignored is the difference in understanding.

Sh¢'ah 'ulam<sub>i</sub>' have divided  $Taw|\phi d$  into  $(Taw|\phi d)$  in) Essence, Attributes, acts and worship while the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢ 'ulam<sub>i</sub>' have divided it into  $(Taw|\phi d)$  in Lordship, Divinity and the Names and Attributes. If we compare them, and pair  $Taw|\phi d$  in Essence with that of Lordship and  $Taw|\phi d$  in Divinity with that of  $Taw|\phi d$  in acts and worship, nothing remains to compare with  $Taw|\phi d$  in the Names and Attributes. Meanwhile, to believe in the pre-eternity [qidmah] of the Names and Attributes demands the acceptance of "the multiplicity of pre-eternals", and this is an Ash'arite belief which is false.

Sh¢'ah 'ulam<sub>i</sub>' believe that the Names of God can be divided into two: particular and general. The particular aspect pertains specifically to the Essence of God, the Exalted, such as "All<sub>i</sub>h". The general aspect relates to the Attributes of God which can also be applied to His servants

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mu¦ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh¡b, At-Taw¦¢d wa'l-Qawl as-Sad¢d f¢ Maq¡Yid at-Taw|¢d, p. 13.

such as ra|m|n [All-beneficent],  $ra|\phi m$  [All-merciful] and kar¢m [All-kind]. The intellect of man has separated this aspect of Attributes and ascribed it to God.

If this difference merely had an ideological dimension, it would not then be so acute and sensitive, but since they are utilizing it for a political end, it ought to be analyzed.

The Wahh;b¢s have taken this way of dividing the levels of  $Taw | \phi d$  from Ibn Taymiyyah who, in turn, had adopted it from A|mad ibn oanbal.

Similarly, by dividing the Attributes into Acts and Essence, the Wahh;b¢s have ended up believing that God has an actual hand and foot and that He can physically come and have an appearance. They have contented with the literal meaning of the verses in this regard while rejecting rational understanding and analysis. They reject as "mu'awwil£n" [allegorical interpreters] those who oppose this creed, particularly the Sh¢'ah who, by taking inspiration from the lofty teachings of the Prophet (¥) and the infallible Im; ms ('a), interpret the verses related to God's seeing, hearing, His having a hand, foot and His coming on the Day of Resurrection as allegorical. For instance, the Sh¢'ah regard the verse,

(الرَّحْمَانُ عَلَى الْعَرْشِ اسْتُوَى.)
The All-beneficent settled on the Throne, 1

to mean the sovereignty and authority of God on the Throne and not in the sense of God's actual sitting on the Throne.

?

<sup>1</sup>  $S \pounds rat \pm_{i} H_{i}$  20:5.

## FOURTH DISCOURSE:

TAWASSUL [RESORTING TO INTERMEDIATION], DEATH AND SHAFI'AH [INTERCESSION] ACCORDING TO THE SH¢ AH AND THE WAHHIB¢S

## Tawassul according to the Wahh; b¢s

In this chapter, tawassul [resorting to intermediation] according to Wahhabism shall be examined. The 'ulam',' of this sect believe that

Tawassul to other than God, paying homage [ziy|rah] to a grave and praying in a place where there is a grave in front of the person praying are not consistent with  $Taw|\phi d$  in Lordship. According to them, the requisite of  $Taw|\phi d$  is that one should not resort to the intermediation of other than God even if he is the Prophet of Islam (¥), because tawassul,  $shaf_i$  'ah [intercession] and the like are outside the Sunnah of the Prophet and the pious predecessors  $[as-Salaf\ a^*+jli^*]$ , and the Qur'; n also regards this belief as polytheism. 1

It is thus stated in the book, al- $Taw|\phi d$  bi 'l-Lughati al- $F_irisiyyah$ :

Seeking the help of other than God is polytheism and seeking refuge in other than God is also within the sphere of polytheism... The Words  $[kalim_it]$  of God are identical with the uncreated  $[qad\phi m]$  Essence of God. So, for this reason, one may entreat  $[istigh_ithah]$  these Words otherwise, such act of entreating will be regarded as polytheism.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Al-Taw|¢d bi'l-Lughati al-F;risiyyah, p. 140.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>  $Fat_{\perp}^{\parallel}$  al- $Maj \phi d$ , p. 98.

In refuting this proposition, it must be stated first of all that the pertinent verse had been revealed with respect to the *jinn*. Concerning the circumstances surrounding the revelation of this verse, it must be said that the Arabs used to believe that the jinn live in the desert, and during the pre-Islamic period of ignorance [al-J<sub>i</sub>hiliyyah], they used to turn to the "chief of the jinn" at the time of going out of the city for help, addressing him thus: "O chief of the jinn! Save us from the evil of the jinn and preserve us from their annoyance."

Of course, resorting to the jinn is absolutely unlawful because God has explicitly prohibited this practice. In addition to this, seeking help from anyone who denies God is obviously more so. Secondly, there are a lot of differences between the prophets [anbiy;'] and messengers [rusul] who have direct connection with God, and the receivers of the divine revelation, on one hand, and the jinn who do not recognize God on the other. Therefore, the Islamic belief demands that we have to beseech and entreat God, the Exalted, and seek the intercession of those who are closer to Him.

We have mentioned earlier the viewpoint of the Wahh; b¢ 'ulam;' regarding the status of tawassul to other than God. Now, we shall examine their reasons:

First reason: By citing as proof the noble verses, (قُلْ ادْعُوا الَّذِينَ زَعَمْتُمْ مِنْ دُونِهِ فَلاَ يَمْلِكُونَ كَثَنْفَ الضَّرِّ عَنكُمْ وَلا تَحْويلاً. أُولْلِكَ الَّذِينَ يَدْعُونَ يَبْتَغُونَ الْي رَبِّهِمْ الْوَسِيلَةُ أَيُّهُمْ أَقْرَبُ وَيَرْجُونَ رَحْمَتُهُ وَيَخَاقُونَ عَدْالِهُ.)

Say, 'Invoke those whom you claim [to be gods] besides Him. They have no power to remove your distress nor to bring about any change [in your state]. They [themselves] are the ones who supplicate, seeking recourse to their Lord, whoever is

nearer [to Him], expecting His mercy and fearing His punishment.' Indeed your Lord's punishment is a thing to beware of, 1

they have concluded that one should never seek help and resort to anyone other than God.

#### Analysis of the above verses:

If one contends oneself with the literal meaning and not take into consideration other Qur';nic verses, these two verses will conform to the statements of the Wahhib¢ 'ulam;' because based on these words of God, when man abandons the "nearer means" (that is, God Himself) in order to get closer to God and resorts to a "remote means" (that is, "other than God" [min d£ni all;hi]) and one who has no power to remove distress and the like, it will fall within the spheres of polytheism in Lordship [shirk-e rub£b¢]. It must be noted, however, that there are other verses indicating that with God's permission, one may also resort to other than God, in which case, the issue of polytheism would be irrelevant, and one could turn for help from the individuals approved by God. If these 'ulam;' had only paid attention to these other verses, they would have never committed such a glaring mistake.

## Turning for help [istimd;d] of the weak to the strong

In principle, tawassul is one of the laws of creation and it means resorting to a superior means in order to attain an objective. One manifestation of tawassul is a child's tawassul to his mother when something happens to him. This meaning is true in all spheres of human life—social, political, ideological, material, and spiritual. Tawassul to God is the same tawassul to that which is perfect in power

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-Isr; ' 17:56-57.

and force. *Tawassul* to the prophets and the saints of God is a case of the *tawassul* of the weak to the strong, because the prophets are stronger than other human beings. One may resort to the prophets and saints for help and take their practical conduct, which we called *sunnah*, as models for ourselves.

# Tawassul in the Qur';n

Many verses of the Qur'in and Prophetic traditions speak about the subject of *tawassul* to the *awliy*; '. As an example, one may refer to the verses related to the sons of Ya'q£b (Jacob) ('a):

They said, 'Father! Plead [with Allah] for forgiveness of our sins! We have indeed been erring'. He said, 'I shall plead with my Lord to forgive you; indeed He is the All-forgiving, the All-merciful'.<sup>1</sup>

In these verses, the sons of Ya'q£b ('a) resorted to the intermediation of their father. They had committed mistakes so many times; they had annoyed and disturbed two prophets of God (Ya'q£b and Y£suf (Joseph) ('a)), and transgressed the command of God by annoying their parents and telling lies. Since those mistakes required the sons to seek forgiveness, they took their father as their intercessor; so this action has not been denied or rejected in the Qur'in.

Since God does not reproach the sons of Ya'q£b for resorting to two persons of those who are near to Him [muqarrab£n], it can be concluded that there is nothing wrong in entreating the Prophet (¥) especially since the eminence of his rank and the loftiness of his station are not

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat Y£suf 12:97-98.

hidden to anyone.

The other verse which may be cited is the following:

Had they, when they wronged themselves, come to you and pleaded Allah for forgiveness, and the Apostle had pleaded for forgiveness for them, they would have surely found Allah All-clement, Allmerciful.<sup>1</sup>

It can be deduced from this verse that the intermediation of the Holy Prophet (¥) can also be resorted to in asking God for forgiveness of sins.

It is possible to criticize the deduction based on the first verse with the answer that the *tawassul* of the sons of Ya'q£b ('a) to their father had been confined to their own time; that is, one may seek the help of the living and not the dead. We shall talk about this point later on in the section concerning *tabarruk*.

What can be inferred from the second verse is that *tawassul* to the Prophet (¥) is in a general sense. That is, it includes both the time when the Prophet (¥) was alive and the time afterward. And there is no reason to distinguish between *tawassul* during and after his lifetime.

Since the following verse reproaches *tawassul* to idols and regards it as a form of polytheism, some individuals might cite it as proof that *tawassul* to other than God leads to misguidance:

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat an-Nis; '4:64.

(وَقَالُوا لا تَدْرُنَ آلِهَ تَكُمْ وَلا تَدُرُنَ وَدًا وَلا سُواعًا وَلا يَعُوثَ وَيَعُولُ عَلَيْكُمْ وَلا تَدُرُ الظَّالِمِينَ إِلاَّ ضَلَالًا.)

They say, 'Do not abandon your gods. Do not abandon Wadd, nor Suw;', nor Yagh£th, Ya'£q and Nasr,' and they have certainly led many astray. Do not increase the wrongdoers in anything but error'.

In reply, it must be said that if what is meant by "other than God" are idols, then one cannot find fault with this statement, but if "other than God" includes the prophets and awliy;', then it would be contrary to the truth because these beloved ones are approved by God and are vicegerents of Allah [khulaf;' All;h]. Idols are in contrast and contradiction with God while the prophets ('a) and saints are concordant with Him and are means of His grace. In the same manner, idols are a source of deviation from God while the prophets ('a) are means of guidance and righteousness. In sum, the comparison between tawassul to the prophets ('a) and tawassul to the idols is an asymmetrical and false analogy.

The other point is that an idol is basically an object of worship and not a means of nearness to God [taqarrub]. There are two types of means of nearness to God: One is legitimate, referring to the prophets ('a) and the saints, and the other is illegitimate such as idols and the like which religion has made forbidden to man.

## Death according to the Wahh; b¢s

There are different viewpoints concerning death, and we shall deal with the viewpoint of the Wahh;b¢s on the subject. Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah is reported to have said:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat N£¦ 71:23-24.

Tawassul to the dead, even if he be the Prophet of Islam  $(\Psi)$ , is an act of polytheism because based on the statement of the Qur'in, he is dead and extinct:

You will indeed die and they [too] will die indeed. 1

## He then continues:

Entreating the dead and uttering words such as: "O my master, O the Messenger of Allah! Help me," "O my master 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢  $\pm_i$ lib! Assist me," and the like are acts of polytheism.

It is indeed amazing that Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah and the Wahh;b¢s could have no belief in the purgatorial life [|ay;t al-barzakh], thinking that the dead cannot establish spiritual relations with others, while the Qur';n affirms that those who are in the barzakh are alive. How could the Wahh;b¢s regard the martyrs [shuhad;'] as dead while the Qur';n says,

Do not suppose those who are slain in the way of Allah to be dead; rather they are living and provided for near their Lord. 4

Accordingly, how could Shaykh Muammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b also say that "Anyone who dies would be annihilated," while the Holy Qur';n says,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat az-Zumar 39:30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fat¦ al-Maj¢d, p. 198.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat Gh;fir (or al-Mu'min) 40:46: "The Fire, to which they are exposed morning and evening."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> S£rat ¶ 'Imr<sub>i</sub>n 3:169.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Fat* | *al-Maj¢d*, p. 198.

We have removed your veil from you, and so your sight is acute today. 1

In another place, it states thus,

And therein they will have their provision morning and evening.<sup>2</sup>

Since there is morning and evening in the world of barzakh according to the verse quoted, and that the dead have provisions, those who are in the world of sojourn (barzakh) cannot be regarded as nonexistent  $[ma'd \pm m]$ . Of course, morning and evening are special characteristics of barzakh because there is no sun on the Day of Resurrection which could portray this case. So, death is not equivalent to nonexistence, and the theory of the Wahhib¢s is consequentially rendered false.

# The permission to resort to the sacred personages

In the following verse, the Holy Qur'in regards it permissible and acceptable to resort to and seek the intermediation of the chosen ones of God in seeking nearness to Him [taqarrub]:

O you who have faith! Be wary of Allah, and seek the means of recourse to Him, and wage jih;d in His way, so that you may be felicitous.<sup>3</sup>

Although in this verse seeking the means of recourse is

<sup>2</sup> S£rat Maryam 19:62.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat Q;f 50:22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-M; 'idah 5:35.

discussed in a general sense, based on the other proofs and pieces of evidence mentioned in the Holy Qur';n and the traditions, one of its vivid manifestations is the prophets and saints. Therefore, the purport of this verse may be expressed in the following words:

Though approaching God is the outcome of grace, you have to observe God-wariness  $[taqw_i]$  perfectly and since it is possible that the persons resorted to have no independence of their own and have obtained such station through sincerity  $[ikhl_i *]$  and God-wariness  $[\$aqw_i]$ , you also have to maintain God-wariness in resorting to them.

# Istimdid and tawassul to the living ones

Seeking help and assistance from the living is permissible and it cannot be treated as a form of polytheism. This is a point which has been endorsed and affirmed by stories in the Qur'in. For example, when oadrat Y£suf (Joseph) ('a) was in prison he requested his cellmate, that if the latter was released, he should mention his case to the king:

(ادْكُرْنِي عِنْدَ رَبِّكَ.)

Mention me to your master.1

Or, when °adrat M£s; and Khi¤r ('a) arrived at a certain village, they made a request to the inhabitants of the village, hence:

So they went on. When they came to the people of a town, they asked its people for food.<sup>2</sup>

It can be said that the acts of these three great personalities, apart from not being acts of polytheism, they

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat Y£suf 12:42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-Kahf 18:77.

are rational and customary behaviors, having no inconsistency with their infallibility  $[i \not= mah]$ . Also, in confirming this statement, the following verse, which is addressed to the Prophet  $(\not= \downarrow)$ , can be cited as proof:

Had they, when they wronged themselves, come to you and pleaded Allah for forgiveness, and the Apostle had pleaded for forgiveness for them, they would have surely found Allah all-clement, all-merciful.<sup>1</sup>

Based on this verse, the Prophet (¥) has been granted the permission to be the intercessor of sinners. According to the Sh¢'ah, this intercession is still valid and is not confined to the lifetime of the Prophet (¥).

# The preeminence of tawassul to the Divine Essence

Before ending the discussion, it is necessary to pose this question: Which is superior, *tawassul* to God, or *tawassul* to the saints of God? It can be concluded from the verse,

"whoever is nearer [to Him]",2

that *tawassul* to God is superior. That is, as much as possible, one must seek help from God and this is a principle to which Muslim mystics are steadfast. But just as he makes use of his intellect, natural instinct and other means in managing his life, man also seeks the assistance of intermediaries in the domain of spirituality and seeking nearness to Allah.

<sup>2</sup> See *S£rat al-Isr*; ' 17:56-57.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat an-Nis; '4:64.

# Tawassul as identical with servitude ['ub&diyyah]

Since tawassul to the prophets and the awliy; of God is like the angels act of prostration (to Adam) with the permission and command of God, resorting to these beloved ones is identical with servitude ['ub£diyyah] and worship ['ib;dah]. Among the Muslim sects, only the Wahh;b¢s do not believe in tawassul and its devotional dimension. It must be noted that this sect is trying to make its incorrect and false ideas dominant.

Of course, if we ever regard the Wahh;b¢s' opposition to tawassul as incorrect, it is because there are authoritative traditions and  $|ad\phi ths|$  that prove the incorrectness of this sect's belief. For example, after the demise of the Prophet (¥) a certain Arab came to the grave of the Prophet (¥) and threw himself on the holy shrine. While pouring the soil of the grave over his head, he said: "O Messenger of Allah! I heard from you the verse, "Had they, when they wronged themselves, come to you..." And now I have wronged myself and I have come here to ask you to plead for forgiveness for me." At the end of the  $|ad\phi th|$ , it is thus stated regarding this episode: "Then a voice from the grave was heard: 'You are forgiven!"

In another tradition, it has been narrated that there was once a famine in Medina. Bil¡l ibn °¡rith, one of the Companions, went to the grave of the Prophet (¥) and said: "O Messenger of Allah! There has been no rain for quite sometime. Pray to God to shower the rain of His mercy upon us. During the night, Bil¡l saw the Prophet (¥) in a dream, saying: "You shall soon benefit from the rain of the Lord."

It is not useless to note the fact that Mulammad ibn

<sup>&</sup>quot;فنودى من القبر قد غفر لك "

Idr¢s ash-Sh¡fi'¢, one of the leading figures of the Ahl as-Sunnah, regards tawassul to the Ahl al-Bayt ('a) as permissible, thus saying:

The family of the Prophet is my shelter and they are means of my nearness to Him (God).

Regarding °adrat F¡§imah az-Zahr; ('a), the following tradition has been narrated:

"F¡§imah ('a) went to the grave of the Messenger of Allah (¥); picked up some soil from the grave, put it on her eyes and cried."

It can be inferred from the above  $|ad\phi th|$  that to seek the help of the Prophet, the infallible Im;ms and the pioneers of religion is not against the religion because a personage such as F<sub>i</sub>§imah az-Zahr; ('a) used to go to the grave of her great father and seek this beloved's assistance. There is another  $|ad\phi th|$  which is as follows:

Because of the effect of famine and the lack of rain, a number of people went to the house of 'i'ishah (wife of the Prophet (¥)), asking for her guidance. She said to them: "Make holes on the holy shrines of the Prophet (¥) in such a way that the sky would become the watcher of the holy grave of the Prophet (¥) so that it would shower rain in respect for the Prophet (¥). When the people followed 'a'ishah's instruction, the rain came.

Many traditions have been recorded in the book, At-Tabarruk, all of which show the tawassul of the Companions to the soil of grave of the Messenger of Allah ( $\pm$ ) for cure and blessings [tabarruk].

# Shaf; 'ah according to Mu\ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh; b and Ibn Taymiyyah

Based on some verses of the Qur'in, Shaykh Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhib, Ibn Taymiyyah and the contemporary Wahhib¢s regard seeking help from other than God or asking for their intercession [shafi'ah] as an act of polytheism. Their main proof is the phrase, "other than God" in verse 18 of S£rah Y£nus. The Wahhib¢s regard the prophets, saints, idols, the jinn, and the dead as the most vivid manifestations of this verse.

In reality, they have not made any distinction between the idols during the pre-Islamic period of ignorance [j;hiliyyah], which were taken by the people as their intercessors and were held in high esteem by their forefathers, and the person of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) because they believe that the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) has passed away, and as such, he could not do anything and nothing could not be expected from him anymore. Therefore, they imagine the intercession of God on the Day of Resurrection as positive, and that of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) or other  $awliy_i$  as negative.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ayatullih 'Al¢ A¦mad¢ Mayinj¢, At-Tabarruk (Beirut), pp. 147-151.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat Y£nus 10:18: "They worship besides Allah that which neither causes them any harm, not brings them any benefit, and they say, 'These are our intercessors with Allah.' Say, 'Will you inform Allah about something He does not know in the heavens and on the earth? Immaculate is He and exalted above [having] any partners that they ascribe [to Him]."

It can perhaps be inferred from the apparent purport of their contention that this sect rejects intercession in general. They have divided intercession into positive and negative in the following manner:

- 1. Positive intercession is that which comes from God. There are many verses that substantiate it, and there is no debate and dispute concerning this type of intercession.
- 2. Negative intercession is that which comes from other than God such as the Prophet (¥), other prophets ('a) and the awliy;'—of course, when they are not alive.

The most fundamental basis for this belief of the Wahh;b¢s is the following blessed verse:

They worship besides Allah that which neither causes them any harm, nor brings them any benefit, and they say, 'These are our intercessors with Allah'.<sup>1</sup>

## An analysis of the quoted noble verse:

What the apparent purport and text of the verse substantiates is that God rejects the intercession of idols, not the intercession of human beings. In other words, "besides Allah" refers to idols and it is these idols whose intercession is not acceptable to God. The following verse also confirms this contention:

Neither intercession shall be accepted from it, nor any ransom shall be received from it, nor will they be helped.2

<sup>2</sup> S£rat al-Bagarah 2:48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat Y£nus 10:18.

The absolute negation of intercession of other than God can be deduced from the phrase, "besides Allah" which is mentioned many times in the Holy Qur'in. The absoluteness and generality of "besides Allah", however, is mitigated by other verses, and the intercession by individuals who possess the conditions for intercession is permissible and acceptable. Some of the verses that can substantiate this claim are the following:

Intercession is of no avail with Him except for those whom He permits. 1

Who is it that may intercede with Him except with His permission?<sup>2</sup>

Intercession will not avail that day except from him whom the All-beneficent allows and approves of his word.<sup>3</sup>

And they do not intercede except for someone He approves of.<sup>4</sup>

Based on these verses, the intercession of those who have the permission of Allah is acceptable. Now, a question that lingers in the mind is this: Have not those who negate the intercession of the prophets and saints come across these verses, or do they have other reasons?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat as-Saba '34:23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-Bagarah 2:255.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *S£rat*  $\pm i$  *Hi* 20:109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> S£rat al-Anbiy; 21:28.

In reply, it must be said that the intensity of their enmity to the Sh¢'ah have prompted the Wahh;b¢s to focus on the verses that negate, and not affirm, intercession. Through this method and policy, they are determined to accuse the Sh¢'ah of disbelief [kufr] so as to incite the entire Muslim world against the Sh¢'ah as much as possible. At this juncture, the hidden hand of imperialism can be witnessed in some of the religious beliefs of Wahh;bism.

In opposing and besmirching She'ah beliefs, the Wahhib¢s oppose the Qur'in and the Sunnah of the Prophet (¥) upon which this belief is based. The Qur'in and Sunnah acknowledge the intercession of the prophets and the saints on the Day of Resurrection. They respect the soil of their graves, encouraging the Muslims to honor and respect them, especially the Holy Prophet (¥); and are the foundations of material and non-material activities many achievements. The Wahh; b¢s not only regard tawassul and visitation to the graves [ziv;rah] as unlawful and acts of kufr and shirk, but also deny the principle and basis of intercession. The consequence of this practice will be drifting away from the Prophet (¥) and the infallible Im;ms ('a), which is itself a kind of secret attack against Islam.

# The precedence of the negation of tawassul and shaf; 'ah

Ibn Taymiyyah, one of the Sunn¢ 'ulam<sub>i</sub>' of the °anbal¢ madhhab during the 8<sup>th</sup> century AH, says regarding tawassul and shaf<sub>i</sub> 'ah:

Seeking help from the dead without paying attention to God, even if that person is a prophet, or requesting the dead to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For more information, see Sayyid Ibr¡h¢m 'Alaw¢, *T¡r¢khcheh-ye Naqd wa Barras¢-ye Wahh¡biyyah*, pp. 257-353 and other books included in the bibliography of this book.

pray to God to grant our request, or for us to implore God, "O God! By the station and position of so-and-so, grant our request", etc. are forbidden and impermissible, which will finally lead to polytheism in worship.<sup>1</sup>

As we can observe, the intellectual cornerstone of Wahh; bism is traceable to Ibn Taymiyyah, however, Mulammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b discusses these doctrines with more fanaticism and extremisms, especially the negation of tawassul and shaf; 'ah. As it is always looking for every opportunity to catch fish in troubled waters imperialism has been trying to take advantage of the record and opposition of the Wahh;b¢ thought to the other schools [madh;hib]. They have been attempting to do this when the precedence of the Wahhh;b¢ creed is not a proof for the madhhabi nature of the Wahh;b¢ movement. It cannot be considered one of the Islamic schools of thought because from the very beginning, the Muslim nation, the Ahl as-Sunnah in particular, has declared the ideas of Ibn Taymiyyah and his followers as an innovation in religion [bid'ah] and to be against the religion.

## The ideas of Ibn Taymiyyah and the reaction of Ahl as-Sunnah

Taq¢ ad-D¢n Ab£'l-'Abb¡s A|mad Ibn Taymiyyah was born in 661 AH in a Kurdish-populated village called Urfah in Turkey. When the Tatars invaded the Muslim lands, he along with his family went to Damascus (Syria) and studied in the religious school [madrasah] of the °anbal¢s where he engaged in the memorization of the Qur';n. He read  $Musnad\ Ibn\$ °anbal and the book,  $Mu'jam\ a\S-\pm abar ¢$ , and engaged in learning other sciences. It has been said that he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ma¦m£d Mahd¢ al-Is§amb£l¢, *Ibn Taymiyyah ba§al al-I¥l;* | *ad-D¢n¢* (Beirut: Nashr Maktaba'l-Isl;m¢, n.d.), pp. 136, 139.

had good memory and talent.1

Profound talent and enthusiasm prompted Ibn Taymiyyah to encounter many intellectual problems and issues during the different stages of his studies. Since he would not be convinced of the views and opinions of the professors, he gradually reached a point in his beliefs that he elicited the reaction of the 'ulam;' and fuqah; [jurists] at the time which led to his imprisonment and banishment.

Before Ibn Taymiyyah had the opportunity to repent, Sul§¡n N¡¥ir, the ruler of the time, allowed him to return to Damascus in 709 AH and Ibn Taymiyyah also made peace with the 'ulam;' and fuqah;.<sup>2</sup> In 720 AH, he was again put behind bars for having a clash with the fuqah; on the issue of divorce but in 721 AH, he was released from prison through the letter of the Sul§¡n.

After his release, he once again languished in the prison cell of Damascus on the order of the government for the contradictions his religious edicts had with that of the Sunn¢ and Sh¢`ah fuqah; in his region.

This time, the government prohibited him from issuing religious edicts, and as per judicial decree of a Shifi'¢ judge, all his students including Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah were imprisoned. Meanwhile, the people had been so angry with him that they decided to kill him.

## The 'ulam;''s opposition to Ibn Taymiyyah

Ibn Taymiyyah used to regard traveling to visit the graves of the prophets ('a) and the pious as impermissible,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ma¦m£d Mahd¢ al-Is§amb£l¢, *Ibn Taymiyyah ba§al al-I¥l*; ad-D¢n¢ (Beirut: Nashr Maktaba'l-Isl;m¢, n.d.), pp. 136, 139.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ma¦m£d Mahd¢ al-Is§amb£l¢, *Ibn Taymiyyah ba§al al-I¥l;* | *ad-D¢n¢* (Beirut: Nashr Maktaba'l-Isl;m¢, n.d.), pp. 30.

deeming it as a sinful travel, and would rule for the completion (instead of shortening) of prayer during this travel, which led the Sh¡fi'¢s to rise up in opposition to him.

In a bid to portray a veneer of moderation to his creed, Ibn Taymiyyah used to say that since the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) and the Companions had neither visited their graves nor sought their intermediation, and that the Followers  $[t_ibi' \pounds n]^1$  have also not done so, none of the Muslims should deem it recommended [musta|abb]. Anyone who observed this practice had gone against the consensus of Muslims. After issuing this religious edict, Ibn Taymiyyah considered the following  $|ad\phi th$ s from the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) as fabricated  $[maj' \pounds l]$ :

He who performs the °ajj (pilgrimage) without paying a visit  $[ziy_j rah]$  to me has indeed deserted.

You are not supposed to travel except for the visitation [ziy¡rah] of three mosques: al-Masjid al-oar¡m (in Mecca), this mosque of mine (Masjid an-Nab¢ in Medina), and al-Masjid al-Aqs; (in Jerusalem).

These kinds of views incited the opposition of the Sunn¢ 'ulam<sub>i</sub>'. So, it becomes clear that there had been no difference of opinion regarding it until that time and the first person to initiate this difference was Ibn Taymiyyah who, while in prison, wrote books in support of his creed.

After more than two years of imprisonment in the prison

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>  $T_ibi' \not \in n$  ['Followers' or 'Successors'] refers to the second generation of Muslims who came after the Companions, who did not know the Prophet ( $\not \in$ ) but who knew his Companions. [Trans.]

cell of Damascus, Ibn Taymiyyah passed away in 728 AH and was buried in B<sub>i</sub>b a¥- agh¢r beside his brother. In the book, *Al-Kunya wal-Alqib*, Shaykh 'Abb<sub>i</sub>s al-Qumm¢ opines that he was buried in Jordan. Ibn Taymiyyah was later known as Taq¢ ad-D¢n A¦mad ibn 'Abd al-°al¢m al-°arr;n¢ ad-Damishq¢.

Nowadays, there is no trace of his grave and the books attributed to him, as per reported in the book, *Ibn Taymiyyah ba§al al-I¥l;*  $| ad-D \phi n \phi$ , are estimated to be as many as seventeen books.

What we quoted regarding the issue of  $shaf_i$  'ah was from the book entitled,  $Ibn\ Taymiyyah\ ba\$al\ al-I\mathbf{E}|_i|\ ad-D\psi n\psi.$  Similar subjects are also recorded in the book,  $Fat|_i$   $al-Maj \not ed$ , which is a commentary on the book,  $At-Taw|_i \not ed$ , by Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhib. In a recently written book entitled,  $At-Taw|_i \not ed$  bi'l-Lughati  $al-F_i risiyyah$ , there has been some modification of issues about which the Sh\psi ah have opposing views. This book in which some of those issues were presented was distributed freely among the Iranian pilgrims at the Jeddah Airport in 1374 AH (1995 CE). Concerning  $shaf_i$  'ah, it states that  $shaf_i$  'ah is exclusive for God. The inclusion of the divine grace and compassion has conferred this merit upon some of the servants of God. This book narrated a certain subject from Ibn Taymiyyah, at the end of which it says:

Intercession includes individuals who are monotheists and sincere, and by the decree of God, intercession extends to these individuals.

In this book, the author writes that according to the  $Sh \not e$  ah, those individuals who best embody these qualities of  $Taw_i^l \not e d$  and  $ikhl_i \not = [sincerity]$  are the prophets,  $awliy_i^r$  and infallible  $Im_i^rms$  ('a) who, according to the above quotation, are supposed to possess the privilege to intercede on the Day of Resurrection.  $\square$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At-Taw|¢d bi'l-Lughah al-F;risiyyah, no. 27, p. 123.

# FIFTH DISCOURSE: ZIYIRAH [VISITATION] AND THE LAWS PERTAINING TO THE GRAVES AND MOSQUES ACCORDING TO THE SH¢`AH AND WAHHIB¢S

# Ziy;rah according to Sunn¢s and Sh¢`ah

As stated earlier, Wahh;b¢s think that ziy;rah, like shaf; 'ah, is a polytheistic act of seeking intermediation, and renders a person outside the pale of religion. This is while ziy;rah, according to the Ahl as-Sunnah, has been considered permissible. In this context, as in many other beliefs as well, Wahh;bism is at odds with the Ahl as-Sunnah.

By resorting to uncommon and strange statements, against which the Ahl as-Sunnah have also complained, the Wahh;b¢s have endeavored to portray Wahh;bism as a school of thought [madhhab]. But Muslims, the Ahl as-Sunnah in particular, cannot permit the inclusion of this group in the list of Muslim schools of thought [madh;hib].

## The views of Ibn al-Qud; mah

Ibn al-Qud;mah, a leading figure and  $faq\phi h$  of the Ahl as-Sunnah, while regarding  $ziy_jrah$ , like mourning, as consistent with the laws of Islam, elaborates that  $ziy_jrah$  is permissible for men while abominable  $[makr \pm h]$  for women. In

confirming this view, he has cited the following tradition:

Allah curses the visitors of the graves, especially women who light candles on the graves and take them as their place of prostration or mosque.

## And he adds,

In this tradition, the phrase, "Allah curses..." implies aversion, and this aversion is more intense for women according to their welfare; for, it is possible that by going outside the house and to be present in the public, the rights of the husband might be violated. The reason behind the curse on female visitors  $[z_j'ir\phi n]$  is because of the fact that the people during the pre-Islamic period of ignorance  $[j_jhiliyyah]$  used to visit the graves. After sometime, they would construct statues and images on the grave. Then, these would be treated as idols and they would pay reverence in front of these. So, they were cursed and the visitation of the women was prohibited.

## In continuation, he writes:

Visiting graves is musta|abb for men. Regarding its being makrfh or impermissible for women, there are two pertinent traditions. According to a tradition, it is musta|abb provided that, like men, they read beside the grave sfrahs of at-Taw|cd ( $al-Ikhl_i$ ) and  $Y_i$  Schip n, and iyat al-Kurschip n, but according to another tradition, it is not permissible. In case of its permissibility, man and woman should recite this salutation:

"Peace be upon you, O believers and Muslims who inhabit these graves. We will join you, God willing.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ibn al-Qud;mah, Al-Mughn¢, vol. 2, p. 430.

We pray Allah for wellbeing for you and us."1

Ibn al-Qudimah does not regard the *ziyirah* as permissible for women, saying:

Ziy;rah for women is *makr£h* (discommended) because the Prophet said, 'Allah curses the women who visit the graves'.

He believed that the Prophet (¥) initially prohibited ziy;rah for women and the phrase, "Allah curses..." bespeaks of this fact. But afterward, he considered the ziy;rah for women as permissible, saying:

I was prohibiting you from visiting to grave in the past, but now you may do so.

Ibn al-Qud; mah also says:

Tirmidh¢ narrated that 'i'ishah visited the grave of her brother ('Abd ar-Ra|min).

In the end, Ibn al-Qutidah concludes from the set of the decrees on the permissibility and honor (of  $ziy_irah$ ) in the quoted  $|ad\phi ths|$  that it is loathsome for the women to perform  $ziy_irah$ .<sup>2</sup>

#### The view of 'All; mah Majlis¢

In this regard, 'All;mah Majlis¢ expresses thus:

Ziy¡rah is good and recommended for men... But concerning the ziy¡rah for women, there are two pertinent opinions. One opinion is that ziy¡rah for women is loathsome... and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ibn al-Qud;mah, *Al-Mughn¢*, vol. 2, p. 430.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibn al-Qud;mah, *Al-Mughn*¢, vol. 4, pp. 426, 431.

other opinion is that it is permissible provided that they cover themselves from the sight of strangers [ghayr ma|ram]. 1

According to the belief of the Sh¢'ah, visiting the grave of the faithful is part of the Sunnah of the Holy Prophet (¥) and all Muslims have consensus of opinion that at the time of death of a believer, he would go to his grave and express condolences to the bereaved ones. It is also stated in the Holy Qur';n, thus:

And never pray over any of them when he dies, nor stand on his graveside. They indeed defied Allah and His Apostle and died as transgressors.<sup>2</sup>

This verse is about the hypocrites [munifiq£n] and expresses this point: O Prophet! Do not go to the graveside of the hypocrites as you are doing with respect to the graves of the faithful, and do not pray for their souls nor pray over their graves because they defied Allah and His Apostle and they are transgressors. That ziyirah is an indisputable principle and the presence of believers at the graveside of one another is unquestionable although there may possibly be differences of opinion among some Muslim schools of thought concerning the secondary features of ziyirah.

#### Visiting the grave as an excellent sunnah

It is thus recorded in history books attributed to the Ahl as-Sunnah: Every year the Prophet (¥) would visit the graves of the martyrs [shuhadi'] of the Battle of U|ud and recite this prayer [zivirah]:

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;All;mah Majlis¢, Mir';t al-'Uq£l, vol. 14, p. 191.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat at-Tawbah (or, Bar; 'ah) 9:84.

Peace be on you because you were constant, how excellent, is then, the issue of the abode.

It is also recorded that Ab£ Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmin, like the Prophet (¥), also used to perform ziyirah. The daughter of the Prophet of Islam (¥), 'aḍrat Fi§imah az-Zahri ('a) would also visit the martyrs of Uhud two days a week. During his visit to the martyrs, especially in the ziyirah to 'amzah and Mu¥'ab ibn 'Umayr, the Holy Prophet (¥) would recite this verse,

Men who fulfill what they have pledged to Allah. 1

In addition to this, it is thus recorded in the book,  $A \not= -a^{\dagger} \phi^{\dagger}$  that Ab£ Sa'¢d al-Khudr¢ would extend salutations to the grave of °amzah... Umm Salamah, one of the honorable wives of the Prophet (¥), and individuals such as Ab£ Hurayrah, F¡§imah Khuz¡'iyyah, and 'Abd All¡h ibn 'Umar al-Kha§§¡b also used to perform ziy¡rah to this group of martyrs. <sup>2</sup>

It is thus recorded in the valuable book, al- $Ghad\phi r$  under the section, "Virtues and Merits of Ab£ °an $\phi$ fah" [ $B_ib Fa_i$ ' il wa  $Man_iqib Ab£$  °an $\phi$ fah]:

Whenever he would go to Baghdad, Im<sub>i</sub>m ash-Sh<sub>i</sub>fi'¢ would pay a visit to the grave of Ab£ °an¢fah. He would stand beside his grave, offer salutation to him and seek his intermediation for the fulfillment of his needs. A<sub>i</sub>mad ibn °anbal did the same practice with respect to his master (Im<sub>i</sub>m ash-Sh<sub>i</sub>fi'¢) to such an extent that his son would get astonished. A<sub>i</sub>mad ibn °anbal explained to his son that there is nothing wrong in seeking the intermediation of Im<sub>i</sub>m ash-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-A|z|b 33:23. Wiqid¢, Al-Magh|z¢, vol. 1, p. 312.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sayyid Ja'far Murta $\alpha_i$ ,  $A \neq -a_i \phi_i f \phi$  S\(\phi\) rat an-Nab\(\phi\), vol. 4, p. 318.

Shifi'¢ for the removal of difficulties because he, like the sun, was beneficial to the people.

Were the Prophet (¥) and his Ahl al-Bayt ('a) not like ash-Sh¡fi'¢ for the people? This is while ash-Sh¡fi'¢ and Ab£ °an¢fah held the Im¡ms ('a) in high esteem, and Im¡m ash-Sh¡fi'¢ acknowledges the sublime station of 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢  $\pm$ alib ('a).

Is the practice of these Im;ms of the Ahl as-Sunnah not a proof for their followers? Do the Wahh;b¢s who regard ziy;rah as an act of polytheism also consider the Im;ms of the Ahl as-Sunnah as polytheists?

Notwithstanding the Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah traditions regarding the musta|abb status of ziy|rah, there is also another tradition narrated from the Prophet of Islam (¥) that if anyone who goes to the cemetery and read  $S\pounds rat\ Y_i\ S \oint n$ , the agony of the dead ones shall be mitigated. The Wahhib¢s, however, inscribed on a tabloid the  $|ad \oint th$ , "Allah curses those who visit the graves" and placed it at the Baq¢' cemetery and on the grave of  $Ab\pounds \pm_i lib$ .

Critique: Although the im;ms of the Ahl as-Sunnah have approved of the practice of visiting the graves and reckoned it as musta|abb, is not the opposition of the Wahh;b¢s nothing but advancing the imperialist objectives and disrupting the unity of Muslims? Does it indicate the fact that they are determined to interpret and explain the religion in the way they like even if it is contrary to the opinions and views of the other Muslim schools of thought? If they really regard it permissible for men to perform ziy;rah, why cannot male pilgrims visit the Baq¢ cemetery at all times, but they are only allowed to do so at a specific

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'All;mah Am¢n¢, Al-Ghad¢r, vol. 5, p. 194.

time? Why are Wahh;b¢s determined to destroy all the holy shrines? For example, why in 1216 AH Sa'£d ibn 'Abd al-'Az¢z, on the order of his father, assaulted Karbal;' and after killing and plunder, destroyed the holy shrine there? Or, why in 1217 and 1218 AH did they attack the holy city of Mecca and engage in demolishing the religious relics there? Or, why in 1220 AH under the slogan of "Kill the polytheists" did they invade an-Najaf al-Ashraf? Or, why in later years did they assault the holy city of Medina and raze the holy shrines to the ground in that blessed place—the shrines of great personages such as the father of the Prophet (¥), the Im;ms ('a) buried in Baq¢', and the descendants and wives of the Prophet  $(\S)^1$  Must not the answer to these questions be sought in Wahh;b¢s' enmity to the oppressed Im;ms of Bage' and to the truth of Islam, or are we not compelled to say that they also do not regard as permissible the ziy;rah for men which is proved by what they are doing in practice?

#### The laws pertaining to the graves and mosques

The Wahh;b¢s are not much inclined to beautify and visit shrines. Based on some traditions some of which we shall quote later, they uphold the unlawfulness of visiting graves, considering their demolition as permissible. The basis of this mindset is traceable to Ibn Taymiyyah and Shaykh Mu¦ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b.

The Wahh; b¢s claim that in practicing the religion and in explaining and interpreting its laws, one must take inspiration from the conduct and practice of the caliphs, the Companions  $[\#a|_ibah]$  and Followers  $[t_ibi'\pounds n]$  because they are closer to the time of revelation and the Prophet (#). We

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;Al¢ Daw;n¢, Firgeh-ye Wahh;b¢, pp. 32-40.

know that this is nothing but an empty claim and their beliefs regarding  $ziy_irah$  is contrary to the sayings and practice of the Companions because the latter did not only visit U|ud and the martyrs of Baq¢' but if they ever happened to conquer a territory they would also pay respect to the graves there. For instance, when Syria was conquered during the reign of the second caliph, the Muslims preserved the graves of °aḍrat Zakariyy; (Zechariah) and Ya|y| (John the Baptist) ('a), considering them as holy. Or, when Bayt al-Maqdis (Jerusalem) was annexed to the Islamic territories, they preserved the graves beside Masjid al-Aq¥; and no one demolished them.

The Wahh;b¢s' opposition to the burial of the dead in a private house or mosque, or near it is another proof of the fact that contrary to their claim, they have adopted a different way which is discordant with the way of the Companions because these very Companions, 'Al¢ ('a) in particular, buried the Prophet (¥) in his own house, or according to a certain narration,  $F_i$ §imah az-Zahr; ('a), who was regarded by the Wahh;b¢s as one of the great Companions, was buried in her own house. The incorrectness of this belief of the Wahh;b¢s will be made clearer to us when we find out that based on historical evidence, many of the prophets ('a) were buried in 'ijr Ism;'¢l and Bayt al-Maqdis without considering the fact that at the time of their burial, the nature of these sacred sites as places of worship were known.

# Why did the Wahh; b¢s not destroy the graves of the Prophet (¥) and the Two Sheikhs [shaykhayn] (Ab£ Bakr and 'Umar)?

The Wahh;b¢s who play the companion card have never found an opportunity to demolish the graves of the Prophet (¥) and the Two Sheikhs [shaykhayn] (Ab£ Bakr and 'Umar) because such practice is against the conduct of the Companions of the Prophet (¥), not to mention the fact that the Muslim world will be united in opposing them.

But on account its distance from Masjid an-Nab¢, opposition to the Sh¢'ah and the gathering of the pilgrims, they destroyed the Baq¢' cemetery. In 1344 AH corresponding to 1912-1913 CE, the Wahh;b¢s razed to the ground all the domes around Medina such as the domes of the four Im;ms ('a) (buried in Medina such as al-°asan, as-Sajjid, al-B;qir, and a¥-¬idiq ('a)); 'Abb;s the uncle of the Prophet (¥); the wives of the Prophet (¥); 'Abd All;h the father of the Prophet (¥); 'Uthm;n ibn 'Aff;n; Ism;'¢l ibn Ja'far a¥-¬idiq; and M;lik.¹

Therefore, since the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s do not believe in the visitation [ziy<sub>i</sub>rah] to the graves of the prophets and the saints, considering it as a manifestation of polytheism and man's drifting away from God, they are of the opinion that people must be kept away from this practice and shrines and mausoleums must be destroyed.

#### Honoring the mosques and praying beside graves

The Wahh;b¢s regard standing in prayer beside graves as an act of polytheism because it will be deemed worshipping those who are buried. Similarly, by citing the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Al¢ A¥ghar Faq¢h¢, Wahh; biyy; n, p. 407.

tradition below on the authority of Ab£ °urayrah, they think that constructing a mosque beside graves is improper and against the religion.

The Prophet (¥) said:

Do not convert your houses into a graveyard and do not make my grave as a site for festivity ['¢d]. Send salutations to me as your salutations, wherever you are, reach me.

This  $|ad\phi th|$ , on account of its narrator whose practice of fabricating habits is known to all and sundry, is not very reliable, and it cannot be accepted except through explanation and analysis. In explaining this tradition it must be said that perhaps the phrase, "Do not convert your houses into graveyards," is indicative of the fact that if the remembrance of God is forgotten in a house and spirituality in it dies out, it actually turns into a graveyard, from which no voice comes out. In the same manner, the phrase, "Do not make my grave a site for festivity  $[\phi d]$ ," maybe a reminder of the reality that since the people usually engage in merrymaking and rejoicing on the eve of feasts and this merrymaking may possibly go against the religion or might result in neglecting God for some moments, festivity and celebration must not be held near the shrine of the Chief of the Prophets (¥) because among the requisites of visiting that holy shrine is presence of heart and remembrance of God.

In the same vein, perhaps what is meant by the phrase, "Send salutations upon me," is when the Holy Prophet (¥) wants his followers to send salutations upon him which is perhaps a sort of need, his Companions and followers are more in need of this spiritual gift. Meanwhile, the phrase, "Do not convert your houses into graveyards," has a health dimension and that is, if a dead body is not buried, after sometime it will emit an offensive odor and cause ailments

and harm. And if it were also buried near the site of a residence, by emitting a nauseating smell, it would make life difficult for the residents, or bring about disease and unpleasantness. Of course, this expression does not include the Prophet ( $\pm$ ) and the infallible Im;ms ('a) because their bodies and souls, based on this Verse of Purification [jyat at-ta§h¢r],

Indeed Allah desires to repel all impurity from you, O People of the Household, and purify you with a thorough purification, <sup>1</sup>

are pure and will not cause sickness and plague. Now, if by citing this tradition, there is someone who would protest against the burial of the Prophet (¥) and F<sub>i</sub>§imah az-Zahr; ('a) in the house, this criticism can be traced back to Ab£ Bakr and 'Umar who were not members of the Ahl al-Bayt ('a), and based on the Verse of Purification, they were not purified. In conclusion, if the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s find fault with the burial of the dead and regard standing in prayer beside the grave as an act of polytheism, they would have to consider the Companions as polytheists though they were not so.<sup>2</sup>

#### Argument based on verse 21 of S£rah al-Kahf

According to verse 21 of *S£rat al-Kahf*, when the Companions of the Cave went to sleep again, the people differed with one another on how to mark the place where they had gone to sleep, and they finally agreed to build a place of worship so that visitors, apart from visiting, could also engage in worshipping God. With the aim of making their belief in the religious impermissibility of constructing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-A|z|b 33:33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Fat* | *al-Maj¢d*, p. 261.

mosque over the graves acceptable, the Wahhib¢s utilized the verse below although no part of it can actually be taken to substantiate their belief. The verse in question thus states:

So it was that We let them come upon them, that they might know that Allah's promise is true, and that there is no doubt in the Hour. As they were disputing among themselves about their matter, they said, 'Build a building over them. Their Lord knows best.' Those who had the say in their matter said, 'We will set up a place of worship over them'.

#### Another argument

At the outset, it must be noted that the Wahhib¢s oppose building mosques over the graves, regarding it as unlawful and religiously illegitimate. And in order to prove their belief, they resort to any tradition, no matter how weak  $[\Box a' \phi f]$  it is. For example, they have resorted to the following tradition, whose authenticity has no basis, for whatever purpose it may serve them:

Allah curses the Jews and the Christians for making the graves of their prophets and righteous ones as places of worship.<sup>2</sup>

Although both in terms of thought and practice, the Jews and the Christians have committed many errors, they

<sup>2</sup> Fat¦ al-Maj¢d, p. 267.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-Kahf 18:21.

have never worshipped graves. Secondly, this  $|ad\phi th$ —assuming that it is authentic  $[rac{\pi}{4}|\phi|]$ —does not include Muslims because contrary to the Jews and the Christians, they have never built a mosque over a grave, and if they are ever standing in prayer near a grave, their aim is the pleasure of God and to offer as gift its reward as a gift to the buried person, and if the latter is among the  $awliy_i$ , they are praying to God to let them attain his station and rank.

It seems that through this sort of opposition, Ibn Taymiyyah and Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b, in particular, wanted to show their open-mindedness and enlightenment, when they could have shown the greatness of their minds by learning the truth of Islam, Islamic management, means of social welfare and doing things like promoting the setting up learning and research centers and struggling against imperialism.

At the present time also, in order to display their knowledge and intelligence, some neophytes and novices have made holy shrines, mausoleums and supplications as the targets of their speeches. Consciously or unconsciously, they are using their pens for the advancement of imperialist objectives, and thus, pouring oil onto the fires of the enemy. They have to know, however, that enlightenment does not mean regarding the prayers for  $ziy_irah$  as superstitious and making a mockery of supplications. It rather means to remind the Muslims of their past glory and grandeur; to show to them way of deliverance from the yoke of despotism and to present to them the truth of religion. This method was made manifest when "adrat Imim Khomein¢ (r), throughout the course of the Islamic Revolution, exerted his utmost efforts and dedication along these lines.

#### Construction of mosques near the graves

With the conditions that we shall mention, Sh¢'ah have consensus of opinion regarding the permissibility of mosques near graves and shrines of the prophets ('a) and righteous people. Some scholars of the Ahl as-Sunnah also believe in its permissibility and others are of the opinion that it is loathsome. The Wahhibes, however, in following Ibn Taymiyyah and Shaykh Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b, acknowledge unlawfulness, and in order to prove their belief, they have resorted to any  $|ad\phi th|$ , no matter how weak  $[\Box a'\phi f]$  it is. For example, they have cited as proof verse 21 of S£rah al-Kahf and as we have stated earlier, from this verse the correctness of the Wahh;b¢ belief cannot be deduced whatsoever.

It is said that some distinguished Sh¢'ah 'ulami' such as 'Allimah Majlis¢, 'Allimah °ill¢ and Shaykh a§-±£s¢ have decreed that it is loathsome to build a mosque beside a grave if the mosque is built on the grave and the prayer is performed in such a manner that one has to face the grave, otherwise according to them, there is nothing wrong as it is permissible to build a mosque such as these in the vicinity of the holy shrines of Imim ar-Ridi ('a) and 'adrat Fi§imah al-Ma'¥£mah.¹ Some leading Sh¢'ah figures even opine that it is musta|abb to construct a mosque near shrines.

While expressing his opinion on the permissibility of building mosques near shrines under the conditions we have mentioned, 'Allimah Majlis¢ points to some traditions that confirm the permissibility of praying near the shrines of the

Im; ms ('a). For instance, he narrates that the Prophet  $(\S)$  said:

"..والله لتُقْتَلُنَ بِأَرْضِ العِرَاقِ وَتُدفَّنُ بِهَا." قَلْتُ: "يَا رَسُولَ اللهِ عَا لَمِنْ زَارَ قَبُورَنَا وَعَمَّرَهَا وتَعَاهَدَهَا؟" فقالَ لِي: "يَا أَبَا الْحَسَنِ! إِنَّ الله تَعَالَى جَعَلَ قَبْرِكَ وَقَبْرَ وُلُدِكَ بِقَاعاً مِنْ بِقَاع الْحَسَنِ! إِنَّ الله تَعَالَى جَعَلَ قَبْرِكَ وَقَبْرَ وُلُدِكَ بِقَاعاً مِنْ بِقَاع الْحَسَنَ إِنَّ الله تَعَالَى عَرَصاتها."

[The Prophet (¥) said:] "You shall be killed in Iraq and you shall also be buried there!" I (Im;m 'Al¢ ('a)) said: O Messenger of Allah! What shall be the reward of the one will visit our graves, beautify them and maintain them?" He (¥) said to me: "Your grave and that of your sons are among the edifices of paradise and among its fields."

It can be deduced from this noble  $|ad\phi th|$  that renovating the graves of the Im;ms ('a) is musta|abb. Visiting them shall have otherworldly rewards, and there is nothing wrong to pray in those sacred places.

#### Elevation of the graves

The Wahh; b¢s, basing their opinions on a tradition, believe that graves must not be elevated beyond the ground level, or that a mausoleum should not be constructed upon graves. Ab£'l-Hayy; j narrates that 'Al¢ ('a) said:

[O Ab£'l-Hayy;j!] Be aware that I shall send you for a mission for which I was dispatched by the Messenger of Allah (¥). Your mission is to level to the ground the elevated and ornamented graves and to demolish statues.<sup>2</sup>

Thereafter, the author of  $Fat_{\perp}^{\dagger}$  al-Maj¢d infers from this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Bi*<sub>1</sub>; *r al-Anw*; *r*, vol. 100, p. 120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fat | al-Maj¢d, p. 493.

 $|ad\phi th|$  that construction of monuments-like domes and statutes, and elevation of outstanding and looming graves are not permissible and they must be destroyed.

In reply, it must be said that first of all, as indicated by the book,  $Tahdh \phi b$  at- $Tahdh \phi b$ , the  $|ad\phi th|$  is not substantiated. Secondly, this tradition has ruled on the demolition of statues and razing graves to the ground, and this rule cannot be applied to edifices and domes.

Therefore, the Sunnés and the Shé'ah have consensus of opinion that graves must not be stupendous, but it is permissible to build mausoleums over the graves of the righteous and the 'ulam'. It is recorded in the book, Al-Ghad¢r, that "M;lik ibn Anas passed away in 179 AH and his grave is in Medina, in the Baq¢' cemetery in particular, and has a small dome and a small building:<sup>1</sup>

Therefore, it is permissible to construct domes and shrine according to the Milik¢ 'ulami'. In the book, Al-Figh 'al; al-Madh; hib al-Arba'ah, it is thus stated about the manner of making grave:

وَيُنْدَبُ ارْتِقَاعُ التُّرَابِ فَوْقَ الْقَبْرِ بِقَدَرِ شِيْرٍ. It is musta¦abb for the grave to be an inch above the ground.<sup>2</sup>

#### The Wahh; b¢ viewpoint concerning the ornamentation of mosques and holy shrines

This is the underpinning of the Wahh;b¢ creed—if they do not find a supporting document and tradition about a certain case, they will prohibit it. It is for this reason that they do not consider the ornamentation of mosques, such as the Masjid al-oarim (in Mecca) and Masjid an-Nab¢ (in

<sup>2</sup> Al-Figh 'al; al-Madh; hib al-Arba'ah, vol. 1, p. 420.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Al-Ghad¢r*, vol. 5, p. 195.

Medina), with lanterns, chandeliers, etc. as permissible, because they have not found a pertinent  $|ad\phi th|$ . In reply, it must be said that if the Wahhib¢s really give importance to this criterion, then they are not supposed to make use of any manifestation of the modernity because there has not been any traditions about the permissibility of using vehicles, telephones, etc. Secondly, the fact that we have no  $|ad\phi th|$  about the unlawfulness of this kind of issues is perhaps proof that it is permissible and acceptable to use them. In conclusion, it may be said that if ornamentation of mosques does not distract the attention of people but rather encourages them to be present in it, it is therefore permissible and there is nothing wrong with it.

#### Traveling to for visit mosques

By relying on a certain tradition, the followers of Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b believe that traveling with the intention of surveying mosques is unlawful. Their main basis is a tradition on the authority of Ab£ Sa'¢d who narrated that the Messenger of Allah (¥) said:

You are not supposed to travel except for the visitation [ziy;rah] of three mosques: Masjid al-°ar;m (in Mecca), this mosque of mine (Masjid an-Nab¢ in Medina), and Masjid al-Aqs; (in Jerusalem).

The Wahh;  $b \notin s$  have concluded from this  $|ad \notin th|$  that travel to see other mosques are not lawful. Mu|ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh; b also says:

He who travels only to visit the graves of the prophets and the pious is a polytheist. <sup>1</sup>

Of course, this  $|ad\phi th|$  expresses the importance of these three mosques and one cannot infer from it the unlawfulness of visiting other mosques. Visiting mosques located in Muslim lands is not only not blameworthy but it also acquaints us with the past glory and grandeur of Muslims and thus encourages us to strive hard to regain that honor and dignity.  $\square$ 

?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Fat*<sub>1</sub> *al-Maj¢d*, p. 261.

#### SIXTH DISCOURSE: THE MIRACLES [KARIMAH] OF THE SAINTS OF GOD [AWLIYI' ALLIH]

Another point upon which the Wahh;b¢s differ with the Sunn¢s and the Sh¢'ah is the issue of miracles  $[kar_im_it]$ . All Muslim sects regard the prophets ('a) as people who performed miracles [kar;m;t] and wondrous feats [mu'jizah] because the Qur'in bears witness to the authenticity of this point. Concerning the infallible Im;ms ('a), however, the only group that does not recognize them to have performed miracles and treat them as equal to others in the possession or otherwise of this merit is the Wahh;b¢s. The Sh¢'ah characterize the infallible Im;ms ('a) with having miraculous and marvelous powers, substantiating this fact with religious traditions and historical accounts. Now, if anyone believes that there is a difference between a mu'jizah and a karjmah, emphasizing that mu'jizah refers to that which is performed by the prophets as narrated in the Qur';n while kar;mah refers to that which is performed by the infallible Im;ms ('a) as narrated in the traditions, it must be said that in any case, the pure Im;ms ('a) possess powers and forces which the common people undoubtedly do not possess, and it makes no difference whether you call it as mu'jizah or kar jmah. It is said that some of the real Gnostics and mystics ['uraf;'] possess this power and to a lower degree. It is thus stated in the book,  $Fat \mid al-Maj \phi d$ :

The miracles [kar;mah] of the saints of God [awliy; 'All;h] are the products of divine attraction and grace, and this affair does not depend upon the person or his knowledge and intention—like the kar;mah of 'Al¢ (may Allah be pleased with him) on numerous occasions and the kar;mah of 'Umar (may Allah be pleased with him) when he came to Iran and talked to Prophet Daniel in the town of Sh£sh. Similarly, at the time when Medina was afflicted with famine, 'Umar participated in the prayer for rain and it came.

It must not remain unstated that we do not have reliable historical evidence concerning 'Umar's coming to Iran and the town of Sh£sh in particular. It was rather 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢  $\pm_i$ lib ('a) who had come to Sh£sh and talked with Prophet Daniel ('a).

With regard to the famine, it must also be said that what has been recorded in reliable sources is that at the request of 'Umar, 'Abbis the uncle of the Prophet (¥) performed this prayer.

Then, the said author adds that these miracles have no benefit and if there were any benefit, it only pertains to that time. In short, after the death of those possessing *kar<sub>i</sub>mah*, those miracles have no value and one should not expect them.<sup>1</sup>

Therefore, the Wahh;b¢s believe in a sort of kar;mah for the Im;ms ('a), the Companions and leading figures of the religion, but they consider these miracles valuable only at the time when those possessing them are alive because once a person departs from this world, the mark of his existence, like that of other living creatures and even the non-living ones, ceases to exist. They substantiate this statement of theirs with the verse,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Fat*; *al-Maj¢d*, pp. 93, 137, 169.

(إِنَّكَ مَيِّتٌ وَإِنَّهُمْ مَيِّتُونَ.) You will indeed die, and they [too] will die indeed,<sup>1</sup>

in which the death of the Prophet (¥) has been treated equal with that of the awliy;'. They have also inferred from this verse that seeking help from the souls of the prophets ('a) and the awliv; ' is a futile and vain practice, and that ziv; rat al-qub£r [prayer recited on visiting a grave] must be shunned. Of course, the prohibition of ziy;rah for women is even more emphasized and the philosophy behind it is clear.

#### The miracles of the saints of God as the effect of satisfaction of the soul and God-wariness [taqw;]

According to the verses,

And whoever is wary of Allah, He shall make a way out for him,<sup>2</sup>

And

Indeed Allah does not waste the reward of the virtuous.<sup>3</sup>

anyone who is wary of God, does righteous deeds and strives to offer sacrifices and charities for the pleasure of Allah, God will show him the way of deliverance from worldly and otherworldly adversities and give him rewards in both worlds. And whenever the people of the world benefit from his good deeds, meritorious rewards shall be granted to him. So, anyone who is of the opinion that the ornament of kar;mah befits a particular number of people and that these

<sup>2</sup> S£rat a§- $\pm aljq$  65:2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat az-Zumar 39:30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat at-Tawbah (or, al-Bar; 'ah) 9:120.

miracles are valuable and effective as long as their possessors are alive, is thinking and believing incorrectly because the quoted verses do not point to a particular person or group. Meanwhile, the ruling on the truly faithful persons is like the ruling on water. When they are alive, everybody can benefit from their presence and when they die and are buried, people can establish spiritual communion with them, turning to them for help and seeking their intermediation. In the same manner, underground water can be obtained by the spade, wheelbarrow and bucket.

In spite of this, the Wahh;b¢s believe that anyone who departs from this world, even if he is the Prophet (¥), his existence has no more effect and anyone whose existence has no effect is not worthy of tawassul and ziy;rah to him would be of no avail, thus to seek someone who has no benefit and gain for one is a futile and vain action.

#### Charities [khayr;t], alms [\frac{1}{2}adaq;t] and vows [nudh\frac{1}{2}r;t]

The other case which the Wahh;b¢s regard as sources of polytheism are charities [ $khayr_it$ ], alms [ $\#adaq_it$ ] and vows [ $nudh \#r_it$ ] given on behalf of the dead. Thus, it is stated in the book, #at | al-#at | al-#

The holy shrines, sacred places and graves of the *awliy*;' which have become sites of *ziy*;*rah*, charities, vows, etc. are places of Satan and it is unlawful to sojourn in these places such as the Zaynabiyyah, the Ra's al-ousayn in Syria, and Karbal;' and Najaf in Iraq.

The commentator of this book thus writes:

There were such places in the °ijiz before, but thanks to God, they were demolished through the able hand of King 'Abd al-

'Az¢z ¡1 Sa'£d, and it is hoped that God would destroy the rest 1

It must be said that if the Sh¢'ah fulfill their vows and give alms in sacred places, it is for the pleasure of God and such acts are not tainted with any sort of polytheism. The issue that comes to mind is this: Why do the Wahh; b¢s overlook the corruptions and perversions that plague the youth; the wealth that is plundered from Muslim countries; and the culture and civilization that are being destroyed in Muslim lands? Yet, they persistently find fault with charities, alms and ziy;rah to the graves of leading figures of the religion, and consider that all the problems of Muslims emanate from these issues. At the time when Europeans are mummifying their great ones and preserving the putrefied bones of inventors in affection for their scientists, why are the Wahh; b¢s trying to destroy the relics and shrines of religious personalities especially the pure Im; ms ('a) and consider ziy; rah to these great personalities as hindrance to development, where in fact these personalities are the fountainheads of guidance [hid;yah] and enlightenment [irsh;d]?

#### Seeking blessings [tabarruk] from the sacred stones

Another case highlighted by the Wahh; b¢s and with which they have found fault is the consideration of some stones as sacred and making tawassul to them because they equate this recourse with a sort of idol-worship. As such, they believe that anyone, who takes recourse to these stones, makes a vow upon them, and takes them as intercessors, is a polytheist [mushrik]:

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Fat* | *al-Maj¢d*, p. 137.

And they say, 'These are our intercessors with Allah'. 1

And with this belief, they have thus written:

It is not permissible for the stones from which people seek tabarruk and make vows upon to remain on the surface of the earth. And it is incumbent upon Muslims to obliterate them whenever they have the opportunity to do so.

The fact must be acknowledged that there is no Muslim country in which Muslims express reverence to a stone, regarding it as an intercessor, and if out of ignorance and heedlessness, some Muslims revere an old stone or tree, asking it for mercy, this practice must not be ascribed to Muslims in general.

Of course, there are two stones and two hills whose Qur';nic sanctity made Muslims honor them. They are the following:

- 1. The Black Stone [al-|ajar al-aswad]: This stone is situated in a corner of the Ka'bah, and the beginning and end of every  $\delta awif$  [circumambulation of the *Ka'bah*] is determined by it.
- 2. The Station of Abraham [maqim ibrih $\phi$ m]: The Qur'in enjoins the Muslims to perform prayer at this site:

(وَ اتَّخِذُوا مِنْ مَقَامِ إِبْرَ اهِيمَ مُصلَّى.)

Take the venue of prayer from Abraham's Station.<sup>3</sup>

3. The hills of af; and Marwah: Regarding these two hills, the Qur'in says:

<sup>2</sup> Fat | al-Maj¢d, p. 274.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat Y£nus 10:18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-Bagarah 2:125.

Indeed af; and Marwah are among Allah's sacraments.

Of course, it must be noted that if people honor them, and hold in high esteem the Prophet of Islam (¥), the pure Im;ms ('a), the Qur';n, and the religious personalities, it is because they are among the sacraments of Allah and honoring them indicates the purity of hearts:

And whoever venerates the sacraments of Allah—indeed that arises from God-wariness of hearts.<sup>2</sup>

#### Seeking Tabarruk from the Prophet (¥) and his relics

The Muslims—Sunn¢s and Sh¢'ah—have consensus of opinion on this issue; that the Prophet (¥) and his relics must be honored and revered. In confirming this subject one may refer to many historical accounts and the sayings of leading Sunn¢ personalities. For example, °aḍrat Zahr; ('a) and other Companions used to invoke blessings from the soil of the grave of the Holy Prophet (¥); 'Abd All¡h ibn 'Umar who strove to preserve the relics of the Holy Prophet (¥) even tried to preserve a tree under which the Prophet (¥) once rested; A¦mad ibn °anbal deems it permissible to invoke blessings from the relics of the Messenger of Allah (¥); and Sunn¢ fuqah; believe that:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-Baqarah 2:158.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-°ajj 22:32.

### زيارَةُ القُبُورِ أَقْضَلُ الْمَنْدُوبَاتِ.

Visitation of graves is the best of all recommended  $(musta_1^{\dagger}abb)$  acts.

It is important to note that for this reason, the Prophet (Y) and his successors (a) and their relics as well as other religious objects must be honored as they are the manifestations of the religion and truthfulness of revelation.

?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See  $-a|\phi|$  al-Bukh; $r\phi$ , vol. 1, pp. 59, 105; vol. 7, p. 199; Fat| al-B; $r\phi$ , vol. 1, pp. 256, 408; 'All;mah Am $\phi$ n $\phi$ , Al-Ghad $\phi$ r, vol. 3, p. 170 as cited in A|mad $\phi$  Miy;nj $\phi$ , At-Tabarruk bi "th;r ar-Ras $\phi$ l, p. 66.

#### SEVENTH DISCOURSE: ALLEGORICAL INTERPRETATION [TA'W¢L] IN THE QUR'IN

Based on the classification of the verses of the Qur'in into the definitive [mu|kam] and the metaphorical [mutash;bih], and into the abrogating [n;sikh] and the abrogated [mans£kh], in explaining some verses, one should not content himself with only their external purport because their external purport could be doubtful and misleading. Meanwhile, according to the traditions, the Qur'in has many cores and layers, the understanding which is not possible for everyone. As such, in understanding some verses, it is necessary to take other verses into account. For example, in interpreting verses such as:

(الرَّحْمَانُ عَلَى الْعَرْشِ اسْتُوَى.)
The All-beneficent settled on the Throne, 2

(وَجَاءَ رَبُّكَ وَالْمَلْكُ صَفًّا صَفًّا.)

And Your Lord and the angels arrive in ranks,<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat ¡l 'Imr;n 3:7: "It is He who has sent down to you the Book. Parts of it are definitive verses, which are the mother of the Book, while others are metaphorical."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat  $\pm i$  H; 20:5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-Fajr 89:22.

one must seek the assistance of other verses for clarity and correct interpretation such as:

Nothing is like Him, 1 Nor has He any equal,<sup>2</sup> (وكَانَ اللَّهُ بِكُلِّ شَيِّءٍ عَلِيمًا.)

And Allah has knowledge of all things

There are two approaches in dealing with these kinds of verses. One group is the literalists who content themselves with the literal meaning of the verses. The other group is the allegorists who take into account other verses in explaining and analyzing these kinds of verses, and they believe in allegorical interpretation  $[Ta'w \notin l]$ . For instance, in interpreting the verse, (عَلَى الْعَرْشِ استَوَى) "...settled on the Throne," they have said that "'arsh" is the Throne exclusive for God where He is settled, but the allegorists have given two probabilities for this verse: (1) 'arsh is a thing having the three dimensions of width, height and depth; and (2) 'arsh alludes to a fact and concept having no material characteristics.

It is worthy to note that 'All;mah Tab; \setsab;'\varphi(r) accepts the first probability while the late Sha'r;n¢ (r) advocates the second probability. It must be emphasized that in interpreting these kinds of verses, those who content themselves with their literal meanings are committing an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat ash-Sh£r; 42:11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-Ikhl<sub>i</sub>s 112:4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-A|z|b 33:40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> S£rat ash-Sh£r; 42:11.

indescribable mistake and blunder. It is because if one believes in the literal interpretation of "...settled on the *Throne*," he must then assume God to have a physical body, while God is not a body.

#### Ta'w¢l according to the Wahh;b¢s

'Abd al-'Az¢z Mu|ammad Sul§¡n, a Wahh¡b¢ writer, regards Ta'w¢l as having three meanings:

- 1.  $Ta'w\phi l$  means translating a word from the preferable probability  $[i|tim_il\ r_iji'_i]$  to the preferred probability  $[i|tim_il\ marj\mathcal{L}_i']$ .
- 2.  $Ta'w\phi l$  means interpretation of the word whether it is consistent or inconsistent with its literal sense.
- 3.  $Ta'w\phi l$  means the unknown truth and quality which are known only to God.

Then, he writes that  $Ta'w\phi l$  in any case is forbidden, and the exoteric meaning must not be turned into the esoteric one. The exoteric meaning must be maintained even if it is inconsistent with actuality and reason.<sup>1</sup>

The Sh¢'ah, however, are of the opinion that with acceptable evidence a word can be separated from its literal meaning and be reunited with its esoteric and actual meaning. In this respect, proofs, pieces of evidence and verses of the Qur'in can be cited, but dealing lengthily with this subject is beyond the scope of this book.

The fact must be pointed out, nevertheless, that the Wahh;b¢ practice of restricting their focus on the literal and exoteric meaning of the verses is extremely dangerous, and it will encounter problems on mystical and rational issues.

#### The Juhaym¢ nature of the Sh¢`ah

The Wahh;b¢s identify the Sh¢'ah who make Ta'w¢l

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Al-As'ilah wa'l-Ajwibah al-U¥£liyyah, p. 46.

and  $tafs \phi r$  of the verses of the Qur';n as "Juhaym $\phi$ s". This is because Juhaym ibn afw;n, who lived in the 2<sup>nd</sup> century AH, used to engage in  $Ta'w\phi l$  and he believed in it. Of course, contrary to the notion of the Wahh;b $\phi$ s, the Sh $\phi$ 'ah do not follow that person on the subject of  $Ta'w\phi l$ . They rather follow the pure Im;ms ('a) who have allegorically interpreted innumerable verses. The Qur';n itself talks about  $Ta'w\phi l$ , using the word itself through the tongue of 'adrat Y£suf (Joseph) ('a) when he says:

When Joseph said to his father, 'Father! I saw eleven planets, and the sun and the moon: I saw them prostrating themselves before me'. 1

After Y£suf ('a) was released from prison and became a chief  $[az \not ez]$  in Egypt, and a famine engulfed Palestine and Egypt, the sons of Ya'q£b (Jacob) ('a) came to Y£suf ('a) to get their ration of grains. After recognizing one another, Y£suf ('a) requested them to return to Egypt along with their father. When Ya'q£b ('a) and his wife and sons saw Y£suf ('a) with such glory and grandeur, they prostrated before him. Then, Y£suf ('a) recounted his childhood dream, saying:

'Father! This is the fulfillment [Ta'w¢l] of my dream of long ago.<sup>2</sup>

The moon, the sun and eleven stars that prostrated before Y£suf ('a) were interpreted as referring to Ya'q£b ('a), and his wife and 11 sons.

<sup>2</sup> S£rat Y£suf 12:100.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat Y£suf 12:4.

Of course, the interpretation of this dream was not clear in the beginning for Y£suf ('a). After many years, however, the fulfillment of this dream was made manifest to them.

Therefore,  $Ta'w\phi l$  means that when the meaning of a verse is not clear, by employing the assistance of other verses and reliable traditions, the meaning that is closer to the reality is obtained.

## The Wahh; b¢s have not trodden the path of enlightenment

Nowadays, the Wahh;b¢s and some Sh¢'ah are traversing a path, which shows their close-mindedness, and will entail dangerous consequences prompting them to totally seclude themselves from society and render them incapable of responding to rational and religious issues. This path is one where they are content only with the literal meanings of Qur';nic verses and Prophetic traditions; the path of non-recognition of philosophy, mysticism ['irf;n] philosophical-scholastic [kal;mc]proofs; heedlessness to the new sciences. The truth must be accepted, however, that in every epoch, the Qur'in is loftier than human though. Therefore, one should not be content with its literal meaning and interpret its probabilities because human mind and thought advance every day and discover new realities. As this Wahh;b¢ idea can become a pretext for neglecting the Qur'in since they consider the human mind as incapable of understanding it and therefore, this book of revelation would end up only being kissed and set aside. One must rather strive as much as possible to 

#### EIGHTH DISCOURSE: CELEBRATION AND MOURNING ACCORDING TO THE SH¢ AH AND THE WAHHIB¢S

#### Celebrations and festivals

The Wahh; b¢s regard any kind of gathering for the passing away or birth of the awliy; 'as a sort of worship of the saints of God, equating it to the worship of idols:

هِيَ نَوْعٌ مِنَ الْعِبَادَةِ لَهُمْ وَتَعْظِيمِهِمْ. It is a kind of worship and reverence to them. <sup>1</sup>

In confirming their contention, they have pointed to the practice of the Arabs of the pre-Islamic period of ignorance [ayy;m al-j;hiliyyah] who, on any occasion—related to birth or death, good or bad anniversary—would gather in idol-temples and celebrate, or mourn accordingly. Although they believed in One God, they also thought that these products of their own hands (i.e. the idols) had supreme authority on earth, and as such, they would plead for their intercession:

And they say, 'These are our intercessors with Allah'.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Fat* | *al-Maj¢d*, p. 154.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat Y£nus 10:18.

It must be stated that not only the Wahh;b¢s but all Muslims oppose the holding of polytheistic assemblies. But the question is this: Why do the Wahh;b¢s oppose any gathering, even if it is not polytheistic. Is it not because they want to suppress the beliefs of a number of Muslims by portraying their ideas and mindsets as against the religion?

#### The two festivals ['¢dayn] acceptable to the Wahh;b¢s

According to the author of Fat|  $al-Maj \notin d$ , the Wahhib  $\notin$ s acknowledge two festivals: ' $\notin d$   $al-Fi \notin r^1$  and the feast of the day of Friday, and in this connection, they have cited this  $|ad \notin th$  of the Prophet ( $\notin$ ):

Indeed, Allah has made this day (Friday) as a day of festivity for the Muslims.

In their perspective, merriment and singing are permissible and allowed on these two days as the Prophet  $(\mbox{$\Psi$})$  has singled out these two feasts and enjoined them. Other feasts, however, must not be held because no pertinent  $|ad\phi th|$  and tradition have been transmitted to us concerning this, and in a bid to prove their claim, they have cited the following tradition on the authority of Thibit ibn  $a_{ii}$  k: He says that one day he asked the Prophet  $a_{ii}$  about the slaughtering of camels in fulfillment of a vow in Bawinah. The Prophet  $a_{ii}$  said that if there were no idols there; if the practice was not to commit a sin against Allah; and if none of the customs of the  $a_{ii}$  hility and was observed, then there would be no wrong in fulfilling such a vow:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> '«d al-Fi§r: the Islamic feast marking the end of the fasting month of Rama¤;n. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Fat*<sub>1</sub> *al-Maj¢d*, p. 153.

...قالَ: ثَدْرَ رَجُلٌ أَنْ يَنْحَرَ إِبِلاَ بِبَوَانَةً. فَسَأَلَ النَّبِيَّ 3 فَقَالَ: "هَلْ كَانَ فِيهَا وَثُنِّ مِنْ أُوثُأَنِ الْجَاهِلِيَّةِ يُعْبَدُ 20 قالَ: "لاَ". قالَ: "فَهَلْ كَانَ عِيداً مِنْ أَعْيَادِهِمْ 20 قَالَ: "لاَ". فقالَ 3: "قَالَهُ لا وَقَاءَ لِنَدْرِ فِي مَعْصِيةِ اللهِ."

He said: "[O Messenger of Allah ( $\Psi$ )!] Somebody has made a vow to offer a sacrificial animal in Baw;n;h." The Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) asked: "Is there any idol from among the idols of  $i_j$  hilivyah which is being worshipped there?" He said: "No." The Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) again asked: "Is there any feast from among the feasts of  $i_j$  hilivyah being held there?" He said: "No." The Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) then said: "Fulfill the vow then, as it is correct because one should not fulfill a vow which results in the commission of sins against Allah."

Yes, in any place where there is an idol, or a custom of *jjhiliyyah* is practiced, festivity should not be held or an animal slaughtered as the fulfillment of a vow. But the question that comes to the mind is this: How come the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s take this as the basis for prohibiting other festivities?

#### Respectable places and dates

A duty which has been made incumbent by the Qur';n upon its followers is to reminisce and commemorate the Days of Allah [ayy;m All;h]—the days whose association could play a constructive role in the destiny and guidance of human beings; days when truth and justice have been established and religious innovation [bid'ah] has perished. For this reason, Muslims not only honor the Days of Allah but also hold in high esteem places which, in one way or another, demonstrate the illumination of truth and justice and the extinguishment of falsehood and injustice—days

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Fat*<sub>1</sub> *al-Maj¢d*, p. 153.

such as Friday, '¢d al-Fi§r and '¢d al-Qurbin [al-a¤ij],¹ or the places like Raw¤at an-Nab¢,² 'Arafih,³ Mini,⁴ Mash'ar al-°arim,⁵ Maqim Ibrih¢m [Station of Abraham],⁶ afi,² and Marwah.⁶ Of course, in addition to these, the Sh¢'ah honor other holy sites and shrines such as the mausoleums of Imim 'Al¢ and Imim al-°usayn ('a), and days such as  $T_is\pounds'_i$ ' and ' $ish\pounds r_i$ ' [the ninth and tenth days of Mu¦arram]. It is because each of these sacred places and days shows the endeavor and struggle of men who offered their lives in the path of exalting Islam.

Therefore, the Wahh;b¢s would have the right to protest against visitations to these blessed places and the commemoration of the Days of Allah only if these activities did not have all those spiritual and religious effects, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> '«d al-A $\square$ |<sub>i</sub>' (Feast of Sacrifice): The Islamic feast marking the end of the °ajj rituals in the month of Dh£'l-°ijjah, which is associated with the offering of animals for sacrifice. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Raw at  $an-Nab \phi$  [Garden of the Prophet (¥)]: The site in Medina between the Prophet's (¥) house and pulpit [minbar]. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 'Araf<sub>i</sub>h: A plain about 21 kilometers north of Mecca at which the pilgrims' stay from noon to sunset on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of Dh£'l-°ijjah (Day of 'Araf<sub>i</sub>t) as one of the °*ajj* rites. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Min<sub>i</sub>: A place in Mecca where the pilgrims slaughter their sacrificial animals. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Al-Mash'ar al-°ar<sub>i</sub>m: The place where the °ajj pilgrims spend the night on their return from 'Araf<sub>i</sub>h and offer their maghrib [dusk],  $\phi sh_i$ ' [night] and  $sub_i$  [dawn] prayers. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>  $Maq_{jm}$   $Ibr_{jh}$  em [Station of Abraham]: The place where Abraham ('a) stood while renovating the House of God [Ka 'bah]. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> af<sub>i</sub>: A hill in Mecca which is an extension of Ab£ Qubays Mountain to the east of the Masjid al-°ar<sub>i</sub>m. Traversing the distance between this place and Marwah (another place in Mecca) is another devotional |ajj| rite and is termed sa y [literally: effort, trial, attempt].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Marwah: A mount located at a point between the east and the southeast of Mecca, north of af<sub>i</sub>. [Trans.]

they would be correct to find fault with holding celebrations and ceremonies only if doing so entailed committing sins against God.

Of course, their reason behind finding fault with these kinds of festivities, as we have stated before, is the  $|ad\phi th|$ ,

Do not make my grave a site for festivity [' $\phi$ d].

In explaining this expression, we said that the Prophet (¥) had prohibited his followers to hold celebrations beside his sacred tomb in case at that beloved place Muslims would end up committing acts which would be far from earning the pleasure of Allah and by which the dignity and station of the person buried there would not be properly observed.

Distinguished Sh¢'ah 'ulam<sub>i</sub>' such as Sayyid Mu¦sin Am¢n in Kashf al-Irtiy<sub>i</sub>b and Sayyid 'Abd All<sub>i</sub>h Shubbar in  $Ma ¥_i b ¢_i$  al-Anw<sub>i</sub>r, apart from affirming the above point, do not regard this |ad¢th| as the proof for prohibiting these kinds of ceremonies.

#### Festivity ['¢d] in the Qur';n

A scrutiny of and reflection on the Qur'in indicate to us the point that it has divided days into two categories:

1. **Blessed and festive days**: These are days which encompass the material and spiritual blessings of people, which make it fitting for them to rejoice in recognition of these blessings and to express gratitude to God. One such day is when at the request of °aḍrat '«s¡ (Jesus) ('a) a table spread full of food and drink was sent down, as the Our';n states:

Said Jesus son of Mary, 'O Allah! Our Lord! Send down to us a table from the sky, to be a festival for us, for the first ones and the last ones among us and as a sign for You, and provide for us; for You are the best of providers'. 1

Similarly, one may point to the day when the people [qawm] of M£s; (Moses) ('a) were endowed with the mercy and guidance of God, the Exalted, and saved from misguidance, and °aḍrat M£s; ('a) was enjoined to keep alive the memory and to commemorate these days:

And remind them of Allah's [holy] days. There are indeed signs in that for every patient and grateful [servant].<sup>2</sup>

2. **Ominous and unblessed days**: The days when God has withheld His mercy and grace from His servants and afflicted them with wrath and calamity, such as the days when the fierce eight-day wind struck the people of '¡d and sent this community to perdition:

So We unleashed upon them an icy gale during ill-fated days.<sup>3</sup>

Therefore, there is nothing wrong if, in following the Qur';n, we regard the day of the beginning of the Holy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-M; 'idah 5:114.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat Ibr;h¢m 14:5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-Fu¥¥ilat 41:16.

Prophet's (¥) mission as a blessed day and the day of his passing away as an ill-fated day. Now, if the Wahhib¢s oppose this understanding, these questions should be posed to them: When the Qur'in regards the day of guidance and enlightenment of the people of M£s; ('a) as one of the Days of Allah, treating it as incumbent to commemorate such a day, is the day of the appointment of the Holy Prophet (¥) for the guidance of the entire humanity not blessed and auspicious? Are we not supposed to honor the memory and commemorate that day and to rejoice on it?

#### Festivity in Islamic narrations

After elucidating the viewpoint of the Qur'in about ' $\psi d$ , there is no need to pursue this discussion by referring to traditions and history because it will require more time, which is beyond the scope of this book. In order to clarify the view of the Imims ('a), however, we shall suffice to mention a  $|ad\psi th|$  from 'adrat 'Al\psi ('a):

Verily, it is a festivity for the one whose fast is accepted by God and whose prayer is taken as a gratitude, and any day in which none of the commandments of God is violated is a day of festivity.<sup>1</sup>

In a nutshell, the traditions which have been narrated from the infallible Im;ms ('a) and whose authenticity has been confirmed by the scholars of  $|ad\phi th| [mu|addith \pounds n]$  place particular emphasis on four festivities: ' $\phi d$  al-A $\Box$ '<sub>i</sub>', ' $\phi d$  al-Fi $\S r$ , Friday, and ' $\phi d$  al-Ghad $\phi r$ .<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nahj al-Bal¡ghah, Maxim No. 428.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> '«d Ghad¢r Khumm: The Islamic feast marking the events of the Prophet's ( $\pm$ ) appointment—as per divine instruction—of Im;m 'Al¢ ('a) as his successor at a gathering near the pool [ghad¢r] of Khumm on his

It must be noted that the Sunn¢s and the Sh¢'ah differ only on the last festivity, and it is the Sh¢'ah who regard that day as a day of honor and dignity. Im;m a¥--¡diq ('a) considers that day to be more sublime and greater than all the feasts, urging his followers to engage in these four acts on that day: remembrance of God, fasting, acts of worship, and sending benedictions upon Mu|ammad and his progeny [il] ('a). Then, the Im;m ('a) adds:

It is the day when not only the Prophet ( $rac{1}{4}$ ) enjoined 'Al¢ ('a) to reckon it as a day of festivity, but the other prophets ('a) have also called on their respective successors  $[awrac{1}{4}iy_i]$ ' to celebrate that day.

Therefore, gatherings for celebration and merriment which are accompanied by the remembrance and recollection of God, the Prophet (¥) and leaders of religion ('a) cannot be regarded as irreligious, and no decree should be issued concerning their religious illegitimacy.

On one of the days when ' $\phi d$  Ghad $\phi r$  Khumm fell on a Friday, Imam 'Al $\phi$  ('a) said:

Two great feasts have fallen on this day.<sup>2</sup>

#### The statements of al-M; ward¢

Ab£ 'Al¢ al-M; ward¢ is one of the 'ulam;' and writers in the 6<sup>th</sup> century AH who also held position and rank in the 'Abb; sid Caliphate. While pointing to the method of administering a country and the government's duty over the

way back to Medina from Mecca, after having performed the last pilgrimage of his life. For detailed information on the sources and narrators, as well as maps of Ghad¢r Khumm, visit: "Ghad¢r Khumm in the Qur'an, °ad¢th and History," <a href="http://www.al-islam.org/ghadir">http://www.al-islam.org/ghadir</a>. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Al-Ghad¢r*, vol. 1, p. 286.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 284.

people, he thus writes about days of festivity:

One of the responsibilities of the government is to promote the conduct of devotional acts on Fridays and of feast days  $[a'y_id]$  as well as issues related to  $jih_id$ , and to try and prevent any disruption to the conditions for its performance because these are divine rights must be kept. 1

This statement indirectly implies that during the days of the caliphs festivities were held, otherwise it would have been absurd to talk about the feasts and the conditions for holding them.<sup>2</sup>

## The reason behind the Wahh; $b \phi s$ ' sensitivity to festivity and lamentation ['az;]

The question that springs to the mind concerning the subjects discussed earlier is this: Why are the Wahh;b¢s sensitive to the holding of gatherings for festivity and lamentation? What is wrong if Muslims are glad and joyful on the birthday or commencement of the Prophetic mission of their Prophet ( $\Psi$ ), and mourn on the day of his passing away? If they raise the absence of pertinent  $|ad\phi th|$  as their pretext, it must be noted in the first place that these affairs are not explicit acts of worship that require the decree and order of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ). Secondly, the Companions of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) and their Followers [tabi ' t n] have not regarded these kinds of assemblies as unlawful [|ar|m], and he and he and sources have also issued decrees on the permissibility of holding them provided that sins are not committed therein.

Some authors opine that the reason behind the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A¦k;m as-Sul§;niyyah, "B;b Wil;yat al-Ma";lim," p. 83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For more information about the feasts  $[a'y_id]$ , see Nuwayr¢, Fun£n al-Adab, vol. 1, p. 177; Maqr¢z¢, Khu§a§, vol. 2, p. 222, as quoted in 'Allimah Majlis¢, Al-Ghad¢r, vol. 1, p. 288.

Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s' opposition is that they are afraid lest the gatherings for celebrating the event of Ghad r Khumm become widespread and the mourning ceremony for the tragedy in Karbal<sub>i</sub>' become popular.

#### Mourning according to Islam and Wahh; bism

Mourning and lamentation are not new phenomena in Islam. From the beginning, Muslims have been weeping for the death or martyrdom of their beloved ones. For example, one may refer to the martyrdom of °amzah the Doyen of the Martyrs [sayyid ash-shuhad;'] and the demise of °aḍrat Khad¢jah (may Allah be pleased with them). One may equally refer to gatherings for passion plays and bereavement that were held afterward in mourning for Im;m °usayn ('a).

In spite of the absence of prohibitions for holding mourning and bereavement ceremonies, the Wahh;b¢s regard weeping and expressions of grief as unlawful [ $|ar_jm|$ ] and among the practices of  $j_ihiliyyah$ ; of course, they have excluded lamentations during the early period of Islam from this ruling. In a bid to depict this belief as well-substantiated, they resort to traditions narrated from the Prophet (¥), among which is the following:

Verily, the Messenger of Allah ( $\Psi$ ) said: Four (things from among the practices of  $j_ihiliyyah$ ) in my ummah are not abandoned: taking pride in ancestors, finding fault with fathers and forefathers, seeking for rain based on astrology, and mourning for the dead  $[an-niy_i|ah]$ .

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Fat* | *al-Maj¢d*, p. 154.

Then, in interpreting the word, "an- $niy_i|ah$ ," they have said:

 $Niy_i|ah$  means raising the voice over the dead in lamentation and weeping... This sort of mourning is inconsistent with obligatory patience. And it is among the major sins which entails severe chastisement and tribulation.

#### A critique of the quoted tradition

Without considering the authenticity or otherwise of the quoted tradition, it can be said that this tradition refers to the lamentation of some women during the pre-Islamic period of ignorance [ayyim al-jihiliyyah]. Their occupation was to gather in the houses of the bereaved and to mourn in a particular way. The inappropriate behavior and conduct of these women prompted the Prophet (¥) to forbid us from wailing like them; otherwise, there is nothing wrong with mourning per se from the viewpoint of Islam. <sup>1</sup>

It must be stated that although patience is laudable and among the attributes of the faithful, there are no grounds for its being obligatory. That is, if a person fails to endure a tragedy, it does not amount to committing a major sin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>  $Fat_{\perp}^{\dagger}$  al- $Maj \phi d$ , p. 154.

#### The other argument of the Wahh; b¢s

Another tradition that the Wahh; b¢s narrate from the Messenger of Allah (Y) to which they have resorted is this:

Indeed the dead experience agony due to the weeping of the living for them... Similarly, the dead is chastised in their graves due to mourning for them.

In criticizing this alleged  $|ad\phi th|$ , two points must be highlighted: (1) the narrator of this  $|ad\phi th|$ , Al-Mugh $\phi$ rah ibn Shu'bah is a person whose sayings are not very reliable in the opinion of scholars of  $|ad\phi th|$ , and (2) as stated by Sayyid Murtadi, even granting that the act of the mourners is against religion and entails tribulation for the dead, this is not only against reason but also contrary to the text of the Qur';n:

(وَلَا تُرْرُ وَارْرَةٌ وِزْرَ الْخْرَى.)

Nor doth any laden bear another's load.<sup>2</sup>

Therefore, the belief of the Wahh;b¢s concerning weeping, mourning and expressing grief over the dead is not only against reason ['aql] and religious sources [naql] but also repugnant to the policy of Islam which is to keep filthy habits and reproachable manners away from Islamic society.

Islam accepts mourning per se, but it opposes any obnoxious custom and manner in mourning assemblies, whether before or after the advent of Islam.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sayyid Murta¤; 'Alam al-Hud;, al-Am;l¢, vol. 2, p. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-An';m 6:164; S£rat al-Isr;' (or Ban¢ Isr;'¢l) 17:15; S£rat F;§ir (or al-Mal; 'ikah) 35:18; S£rat az-Zumar 39:7.

#### The precedence of mourning

Earlier, we talked briefly about the precedence of mourning in Islam and stated that the Prophet (¥) urged the women among the Companions to mourn for oamzah the Doyen of the Martyrs [sayyid ash-shuhad;'] or Khad¢jah al-Kubr; may Allah be pleased with them). Now, with the aim of further informing the readers, we shall mention some other instances:

1. The Holy Prophet (¥) exhorted the Companions to weep for the martyrdom of Ja'far ibn Ab¢  $\pm$ ; lib a§- $\pm$ ; yy; r and the like of him:

وَعَلَى مِثْلُ جَعْفَرِ فَلْتَبُكِ الْبَوَاكِي. For the like of Ja'far, let weepers weep. 1

- 2. Based on the traditions, the Prophet (¥) allowed Umm Salamah to participate in the mourning ceremony.
- 3. According to Anas ibn M;lik, when the Prophet (¥) was faced with the protests of some Companions against weeping over the death of his son Ibrih¢m, he (¥) said:

O Ibn 'Awf (epithet of Milik ibn Anas)! Crying is a mercy. The eyes cry and the heart gets sad, and certainly we do not say anything which will displease our Lord.

4. When the Prophet (¥) arrived in Medina, he paid a visit to the grave of his mother and wept for the memory of her great soul such that those who were present also shed tears:<sup>2</sup>

5. When 'Uthm;n ibn Ma"'£n passed away, the Prophet (¥)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>  $A \neq a \downarrow \phi \downarrow f \phi S \phi rat an-Nab \phi$ , vol. 4, p. 307.

 $a = a \cdot \phi$  Muslim, vol. 2, p. 271, as quoted in al-Shah¢d ath-Thjn¢, Musakkin al-Fu'id, pp. 93-95.

removed the shroud adjacent to his face, kissed the portion between his eyes, and wept a lot. When the coffin was raised, the Prophet (¥) said: "O 'Uthmin, blessed are you! The world did not fascinate you and you also did become attached to it:

6. When the Prophet (¥) passed away, °aḍrat F¡Ṣimah az-Zahr¡ ('a) wept profusely; also, Im¡m Zayn al-'¡bid¢n ('a) used to weep for the martyrs of Karbal¡' for forty years after the event of 'Ash£r¡'.

Given all the pieces of evidence presented whose authenticity is attested by historical accounts, it seems that the saying of Ja'far Murtadi, a contemporary researcher, is correct. He believes that "Probably, the reason behind the Wahhibés' prohibition of mourning is to prevent the practice of weeping for  $F_i$ simah az-Zahri ('a)."

#### Types of elegy writing

1. Laudable and permissible [mub;|:]: Pleasant expressions of melancholic tones and words. For example, during the heavenly ascension of her esteemed father, °adrat az-Zahr; ('a) thus said:

O my dear father! Blessed are you for being in the proximity of God, taking your abode beside Jibra'¢l, responding to the call of the Lord!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>  $A \neq a \downarrow c \downarrow f \notin S \notin rah \ an-Nab \notin$ , vol. 4, p. 307.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sunan Ibn M<sub>i</sub>jah, Sunan an-Nas<sub>i</sub>'¢ and  $a_i^{\dagger}\phi_i^{\dagger}$  al-Bukh<sub>i</sub>r¢, as quoted in Musakkin al-Fu'<sub>i</sub>d, p. 103.

2. Blameworthy and unlawful [|ar;m]: Clapping the hands; shouting and uttering offensive words; tearing off the shirt; harming the face; and making lamentation as one's occupation. The Prophet (¥) thus says about those women who took lamentation as their occupation: "If they would not repent, they will be thrown into hellfire wearing special garments for hell-dwellers."

**Note**: The Wahh; b¢s have overlooked all the |ad\$ch and historical proofs confirming the principle of mourning in Islam but instead resorted to a |ad\$ch about the crying of a group of people over a Jewish woman:

The Messenger of Allah (¥) one day passed by a locality and saw a Jewish family crying over a dead woman. He (¥) said:

They are shedding tears for the dead while the person in the grave is tormented. 1

Although this tradition is about a Jewish woman and does not relate to the Muslims, it must be said that if the said woman was being chastised, it was because her thoughts and works were not good and not due to the weeping of her family over her grave. So, the purport of the Prophet's (¥) statement is something different from the idea of the Wahh;b¢s.

The other pretext of the Wahhib¢s is this tradition: When 'Umar ibn al-Kha§§ib was fatally wounded, his slave was groaning—"Oh, Brother! Oh, Friend!" The caliph of the time prohibited him from doing so, saying: "Did you not hear that the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) has forbidden groaning and weeping [nudbah]?"

This point has some problems such as the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Al¢ A¥ghar Faq¢h¢, Wahh; biy;n, p. 108.

- 1. In general, the origin of the tradition is doubtful and 'Abd All;h ibn al-'Abb;s has denied it.
- 2. The purport of the  $|ad\phi th|$  is ambiguous and its instruction is not clear.
- 3. It is not clear which weeping in which manner and for whom the Prophet (¥) regarded as impermissible.

#### Mourning in the Sh¢`ah and Sunn¢ schools [madh;hib]

In the books of jurisprudence under the section of commercial issues  $[mat_ijir]$ , by citing  $|ad\phi ths$  from  $U \not\equiv L l$   $al K_if\phi$  and  $Man\ L_i\ Ya|\Box uruh\ al Faq\phi h$ , which have been narrated in condemnation of lamentation  $[niy_i|ah]$ ,  $Sh\phi$  ah jurists  $[fuqah_i]$  have issued a religious edict  $[fatw_i]$  on the unlawfulness of the women's engagement in lamentation as an occupation. The opinion of the 'Allimah in the book,  $Qaw_i$ ' $\phi d$ , and the author of the book,  $Maf_it\phi \mid al - Kar_imah$ , like many other  $Sh\phi$  ah  $fuqah_i$ , is as follows:

The occupation of those who are engaged in false  $[bj\sin l]$  elegizing is |arim| while the occupation of those who are engaged in true [bi'l-|aqq|] elegizing is |alil|.

In defining "false elegizing", the *fuqah*; have said that it means lying or committing sins while performing an elegy. According to the *fuqah*;, an elegy is |ar;m if it has false motive or manner; otherwise, we have many traditions indicating that great personalities in the world have wept over the death of their beloved ones. For example,

Ibn al-Qud;mah narrates that 'adrat F;\simah az-Zahr; ('a) and Ab\(\mathbf{E}\) Bakr kissed the tomb or the corpse of the Prophet (\(\mathbf{E}\)) and wept over his grave.

Anas thus says: "When I saw the daughter of the Messenger of Allah (¥) on the tomb of her father, the tears

in her eyes were profuse."

"a'ishah said: "Ab£ Bakr approached the remains of the Messenger of Allah, removed the shroud, kissed the face of the Prophet (¥) and wept."

It has been narrated from 'Al¢ ('a) that °aḍrat F¡§imah ('a) took a handful of soil from the grave of the Prophet (\$) and rubbed it over her eyes.

Of course, there are also  $|ad\phi ths|$  about unlawful mourning which have been transmitted to us. As a specimen, we shall quote some cases:

It is said that this  $|ad\phi th|$  indicates that the Muslims have to avoid lamentation according to the practice of the pre-Islamic period of ignorance [ayy|m|al-j|hiliyyah].

Ab£ M£s; (al-Ash'ar¢) narrates that the Messenger of Allah (¥) says: "He who at the time of tragedy harms his face, tears off his shirt, and wails like that of the j;hiliyah does not belong to us."

#### Ibn al-Qud;mah thus adds:

From this  $|ad \phi th|$  a subject which we had stated earlier can be deduced and it becomes clear which form of mourning is |ar|m, and if mourning is consonant with the natural disposition and human affection and is not beyond the ambit of reason [`aql] and religion (or law) [shar'], there is nothing wrong with it.

Then, Ibn al-Qud;mah elaborates, saying:

Mourning is permissible for men while abominable (but not unlawful)  $[makr \mathcal{E}_{|}]$  for women.  $\square$ 

?

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Ibn al-Qud;mah,  $Al\text{-}Mughn \phi,$  vol. 2, p. 383, 411.

# NINTH DISCOURSE DISTORTION [TA|R¢F] IN THE QUR'IN, TRADITIONS AND HISTORY

For many years, the Wahh;b¢s have been trying to assume the leadership of Muslim society. From the day when imperialism created this movement, they have been dreaming for the realization of that cherished day, and along this line, they have been utilizing every possible means. They are converting the abundant God-given wealth of oil into dollars and through which they are attracting many Muslims toward themselves. They are equally making use of the historical merits and virtues of the land of oij;z to acquire authority; for example, the Prophet of Islam (¥) had been appointed for the Prophetic mission in that land and Islam began there as well. Since the spread of Islam from Mecca and Medina, and Medina even after the Prophet (¥) had been the capital of Islam and the center of decisionmaking, from where caliphs were appointed and dismissed, today Mecca and Medina must accordingly also be the center of Islam. In the same vein, the Qur'in was revealed in the land of oijz, and the language of the people of that region has been the made of its expression (i.e. Arabic) the qiblah of the Muslims is, the holy city of Mecca and holy sites, and sacred stations are located there. The present king of this country is called the "Servant of the Two Holy Places" [kh;dim al-|aramayn] and his regime has enormous propaganda apparatuses at its disposal.

In conclusion, they believe that the Saudi king deserves to be the ruler and leader of the Muslims; that their Islam is the authentic Islam, that the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢ creed is consistent with the Qur'in and the Sunnah of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ); that it is the sect of salvation, the reference and source of understanding Islam as well as the propagator and disseminator of religion; and that the other sects should also refer to this sect to understand the religion.

Since the philosophy behind the emergence of the nascent Wahh;b¢ sect is the effacement of the Sh¢'ah and the creation of discord and dissension among Muslims, the Wahh;b¢s are looking for weak points in Sh¢'ism so as to besmirch their prolific thought, which can guide both Arabs and non-Arabs, and thus weaken their most serious rival.

One example which they consider as weakness in  $\mathrm{Sh} \phi$  ism is their belief in the principle of taqiyyah [dissimulation], which the Wahhib  $\phi$ s consider as a form of  $nif_iq$  [hypocrisy]. Similarly, they regard the  $\mathrm{Sh} \phi$  ah's refusal to believe in the rightfulness of the first three caliphs as well as in the excellence of the Mother of the Faithful  $[umm \ al-mumin\phi n]$  "shah as defect and shortcoming, and their alleged belief in the distortion  $[ta|r\phi f]$  of the Qur'in, etc.

Stating the futility of the accusations and points of weakness attributed to the Sh¢'ah itself requires a bulky treatise. As an example, we shall discuss here the accusation on the Sh¢'ah's alleged belief in the  $ta|r\phi f$  of the Qur'in.

### Belief in ta\r\psi f (alteration of the Qur';n) according to Sunn\psi and Sh\psi\an 'ulam;'

Just as the Sh¢'ah are not the only ones who discuss the subject of  $ta|r\phi f$  of the Qur'in, the Wahhib¢s are not the only ones to discuss the preservation of the Qur'in. Among Sh¢'ah 'ulami', a number of mu|addith n have believed in the  $ta|r\phi f$  of the Qur'in; of course, they mean  $ta|r\phi f$  in a particular sense and not in the sense the Wahhib¢s think.

A number of Sunn¢ 'ulam'; also believe in  $ta|r\phi f$ . For more information, one may refer to books written on this subject.<sup>1</sup>

Just as it is not correct to accuse Sunn¢s of ta|r¢f of the Qur';n on account of the beliefs of a number of their past mu|addith£n and ' $ulam_i$ ', applying this belief which is held by some Sh¢`ah mu|addith£n to all their ' $ulam_i$ ',  $fuqah_i$  and mu|addith£n is no less incorrect.

A number of authoritative books of the Ahl as-Sunnah (for example,  $a|\phi|$  al-Bukh;  $r\phi$ , vol. 4, p. 11; vol. 8, p. 209;  $a|\phi|$  Muslim, vol. 5, p. 116; Musnad A|mad ibn °anbal, vol. 1, p. 47; Muntakhab Kanz al-'Umm; l, vol. 3, p. 43), record traditions about  $ta|r\phi f$  of the Qur'; n. Just as it is irrational to reject all these books, rejection the sayings and works of a number of those Sh¢'ah personalities who have believed in  $ta|r\phi f$  is also incorrect. For example, the book, Mustadrak, of Mu|addith N£r¢ is not supposed to be overlooked just because his book,  $Fa \not= l$  al-Khis; b attempts to prove the alleged  $ta|r\phi f$  of the Qur'; n. It must be noted that the meaning of  $ta|r\phi f$  of the Qur'; n according to Mu|addith N£r¢ is different from what the Wahh; b¢s mean.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, for example, Sayyid Mahd¢ R£¦in¢, Bu¦£th ma'a Ahl as-Sunnah wa'l-Salafiyyah, pp. 63-74, 206-324.

Therefore, a number of the Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah figures believe in the  $ta|r \phi f$  of the Qur';n, and the most important step in this context is the separation of the Wahh;b¢ imperialist sect and their creation of the seed of discord between Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah Muslims.

The Sh¢'ah vehemently deny  $ta|r \phi f$  of the Qur'in, believing that the Qur'in is the book on the basis of which all our beliefs and traditions (in order to know their authenticity or otherwise) must be presented, and the standard of the Islamic system. Keeping this importance of the Qur'in, if  $ta|r \phi f$  had really occurred in it, the infallible Imims ('a), who are the true guardians and protectors of the religion after the Prophet (¥), would definitely have pointed it out. In view of the proofs of the Sh¢'ah indicating the absence of  $ta|r \phi f$ , that group of the Sunn¢ 'ulami' which believes in  $ta|r \phi f$  of the Qur'in would have to attribute the occurrence of  $ta|r \phi f$  to the first three caliphs because the Qur'in was compiled during their reigns, and 'Al¢ ('a) abided by the Qur'in they had compiled.

One of the proofs of the Sh¢ ah on the absence of ta|r¢f of the Qur';n is the statement of Imam 'Al¢ ('a) who says:

And know that this Qur'in is indeed an adviser who does not deceive, a leader who does not mislead, and a narrator who does not lie.<sup>1</sup>

This  $|ad\phi th|$  shows the completeness of the Qur'in because the Qur'in could be a good guide, truthful adviser and similar descriptions only if it had not experienced any form of distortion.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nahj al-Baljghah, Sermon 176.

#### The viewpoint of a number of jurists [fuqah;]

The Sh¢'ah 'ulam;' and  $mar_i ji'$  al-taql¢d generally reject the belief in  $ta|r \phi f$ . The works that have been written on this subject are so many that mentioning them is not an easy task and would need a separate section. Now, for the sake of information, it will suffice to mention some of them below:

- 1. ayatull;h ®iy;' ad-D¢n Ar;k¢, Al-U¥£l, vol. 3, p. 93;
- ayatullih M£saw¢ Bujn£rd¢, Muntah¢'l-U¥£l, vol.
   p. 81;
- 3. F¢r£z;b;d¢, 'Injyah al-U¥£l, vol. 3, p. 120; and
- 4. akh£nd Khur;s;n¢, Kif;yah al-U¥£l, vol. 2, p. 63.

ayatullih al-'U"m; Sayyid Ab£'l-Q;sim al-Kh£'¢ says in this regard:

 $Ta|r \not ef$  of the Qur'in in the sense of the deletion of some of its verses or words is a fictitious affair as there is no truth in it. <sup>1</sup>

The outstanding Sh¢'ah 'ilim, Imim Khomein¢ (r) thus said in one of his class sessions many years ago:

What the Akhbir¢s<sup>2</sup> say that there has been ta|r f in the Qur'in is not true because their proof is a few traditions some

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sayyid Ab£'l-Q;sim al-Kh£'¢, Mi¥b; al-U¥£l, vol. 1, p. 124.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Akhb<sub>i</sub>r¢: follower of Akhbarism [akhbariyyah], a movement, which started within the Sh¢'ah world about four hundred years ago. Its originator was Mull; Mu¦ammad Am¢n ibn Mu¦ammad Shar¢f al-Astar¡b¡d¢ (d. 1033 AH/1623-24). He openly attacked the Sh¢'ah mujtahids in his work al-Faw; 'i¤ al-Madaniyyah, vehemently contesting the U¥fl¢s' claim that reason is one of the sources of fiqh. The U¥fl¢s' hold the Qur'an, the Sunnah, reason, and ijma' [consensus] as valid sources for deduction of the rules of the shar¢'ah. The Akhb¡r¢s accepted the validity only of the Sunnah and rejected the rest. Understanding the Qur'an, they claimed, is beyond the capacity of a commoner, being restricted exclusively to the Ahl al-Bayt ('a). [Trans.]

of which are fabricated  $[maj' \pounds l]$ , while others are 'weak'  $[\square a' \psi f]$ , and yet some others are subject to interpretation.<sup>2</sup>

Similarly, in his message addressed to the pilgrims during the 1365 AHS (1986) °ajj season, Im;m Khomein¢ had urged and encouraged the people to reflect and ponder over the Qur';n. In one part of the message, he has explicitly stated that no sort ta|r¢f has ever been present in the Qur';n and it is reliable and trustworthy for all Muslims:

God forbid that this divine-heavenly book—which is the apparent and written form of the aggregate of names, attributes, signs, and expressions, and whose ...written form has been handed to us without any defect and omission or commission in the tongue of revelation after descending from various stages and phases—be forgotten.<sup>3</sup>

The Sh¢'ah belief in this regard is so clear that it no longer needs scholastic  $[kal_im\phi]$  interpretation, and for more information the reader may refer to books written on this subject.<sup>4</sup>

#### The excuses and distortions of Wahh; bism

There is a  $|ad\phi th$  recorded in  $U \not\equiv tl$   $al - K_i f \phi$  stating the fact that °adrat Fi\simah ('a) and Imim 'Al\phi ('a) each had a manuscript of the Qur'in from the time of the Prophet ('a) in which they also used to record the daily events. And

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Weak [ $\square a' \notin f$ ]: in the parlance of the science of  $|ad \notin th|$  [' $ilm\ al-|ad \notin th$ ], it refers to a tradition that does not fit into the categories of authentic [ $\not = a| \notin |$ ], good [|asan|] or dependable [muwaththaq]. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Im;m Khomein¢, *Tahdh¢b al-U¥£l*, vol. 2, p. 156.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Message on Dh£'l-°ijjah 1, 1406 AH (August 7, 1986),  $\bar{a}|\phi feh-ye$   $Im_j m$ , vol. 20, p. 92. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Ras£l Ja'fariy¡n, *Ukdh£bat Ta¦r¢f al-Qur'¡n*.

since  $U \not= \ell l$   $al - K_i f \not= k$  is one of the oldest and most authentic  $Sh \not= k$  taken, the Wahh;  $b \not= k$  have taken advantage of the existence of these traditions in  $U \not= \ell l$   $al - K_i f \not= k$ , saying: "Based on traditions recorded in authentic  $Sh \not= k$  hooks, the  $Sh \not= k$  hregard the Qur'; n of  $F_i \not= k$  main Qur'; n and they regard the existing Qur'; n as defective." They raise this issue so that the  $Sh \not= k$  hould renounce their belief and say, "adrat  $F_i \not= k$  mah and  $Al \not= k$  ('a) had no book at all."

With the aim of discrediting the Sh¢'ah and creating the seed of Suun¢-Sh¢'ah discord, the Wahh;b¢s magnify this issue so much that it would seem that authoritative sources and their 'ulam;' do not hold such belief. Earlier pages, in this book, pointed out the references of these traditions in authoritative Sunn¢ 'authoritativeness' texts. The [i'tib;riyyah] of Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah sources does not mean that everything recorded therein is absolutely authentic and acceptable, and does not require criticism, interpretation, or refutation. Of course, it is true that there are traditions about the Qur'inic manuscripts of oadrat Fisimah ('a) and Imim 'Al¢ ('a), and according to the belief of the Sh¢'ah these copies are in the possession of the Im;m of the Age (al-Mahd¢) ('a). These two manuscripts of the Qur'in are not inconsistent with the present Qur'in because this Qur'in is also complete. In the manuscripts of these two pure personages, the daily events as well as the commentary of the verses and circumstances surrounding their revelation are recorded, which can help us a lot in understanding the Qur';n.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Ma'; lim al-Madrasatayn, vol. 3, p. 306.

#### Ta|r¢f in the statements and works of the Prophet

Since the two schools, including the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s, reject ta|r¢f of the Qur'<sub>i</sub>n, it is appropriate to ask: Is not ta|r¢f with respect to |ad¢ths| of the Prophet (¥) blameworthy as well? If there is any ta|r¢f in the statement of the Prophet (¥), what guarantee and proof are left for us concerning the immunity of his sayings?

In the books and writings of the Wahh;b¢s, there are many instances where the Prophetic traditions are mutilated and subjected to  $ta|r\phi f$ , they are incompletely quoted and their primary sources are not usually presented. Most cases of distortion  $[ta|r\phi f]$ , alteration  $[tabd\phi l]$ , and deletion  $[isq_i\S]$  in  $|ad\phi ths$  pertain to the Ahl al-Bayt ('a), descriptions of Imam 'Al\psi ('a) and conformity of some verses of the Qur';n with the Im;m ('a), or affirmation of the Sh\psi'ah creed. We shall cite some of these instances below:

**First instance:** One of the  $|ad\phi ths|$  being manipulated by this group is the  $|ad\phi th|$  known as thaqalayn [two precious things] when the Prophet (¥) said:

Verily, I am leaving among you two precious things: the Book of Allah and my progeny, the members of my Household.

Shaykh 'Abd al-'Az¢z ibn 'Abd Allih ibn Biz,<sup>1</sup> the head of the Islamic Call, Guidance and *Ifti*' of Saudi Arabia, in one of his booklets published in 1364 AH, regards intellectual demonstration as a religious innovation [bid'ah]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The said sheikh passed away in the summer of 1420 AH just before the trip of °ujjat al-Isl;m wa'l-Muslim¢n Sayyid Mu¦ammad Kh;tam¢, President of the Islamic Republic of Iran, to Saudi Arabia.

and national praise such as that of Palestine and Lebanon and the vilification of others such America, the Soviet Union and Israel as a sin. Then, in page 8 of his booklet, he narrates the said  $|ad\phi th|$ :

Verily, he ( $\Psi$ ) addressed the people on the Day of 'Arafah, saying: "I am leaving among you things which if firmly hold, you shall never go astray: the Book of Allah and my tradition [sunnat¢]."

In the book,  $Fat^{\dagger}_{1}$  al-Maj¢d, a tradition with a similar intention has been narrated, thus:

قَالَ 3: إِنِّي تَارِكٌ فِيكُمْ مَا إِنْ تَمَسَكُتُمْ بِهِ لَنْ تَضِلُوا: كِتَابَ اللهِ. He (¥) said: 'Verily, I am leaving something among you which if you take firm hold, you shall never go astray: the Book of Allah'.<sup>1</sup>

The case of distortion  $[ta|r\phi f]$  is so vivid that there is a difference between the narration of the author of Fat|  $al-Maj\phi d$  and that of 'Abd All<sub>i</sub>h ibn B<sub>i</sub>z, who are both of the same creed. In the first instance, "the Book of Allah and my tradition"  $[kit_ib \ All_ih \ wa \ sunnat\phi]$  is recorded while only "the Book of Allah"  $[kit_ib \ All_ih]$  is mentioned in the second instance. In spite of this, the Sunni references and sources, both traditions, notwithstanding their differences, have been distorted because all authoritative Sunn $\phi$  references mention "the Book of Allah and the members of my Household"  $[kit_ib \ All_ih \ wa \ ahla \ bayt\phi]$ . Since this indisputable tradition is in favor of the family of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) and corroborated by the Sh $\phi$ 'ah, however, the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b $\phi$ s have manipulated it. The tradition has been narrated in the  $a|\phi|$  Muslim, thus:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Fat*<sub>1</sub> *al-Maj¢d*, p. 35.

إِنَّهُ 3 قَالَ: "أَلاَ أَيُّهَا النَّاسُ! يُوشَكُ أَنْ يَأْتِيَ رَسُولُ رَبِّي فَأَجِيبُ؛ وَأَنَا تَارِكٌ فِيكُ اللَّهُ وَيكُمُ النَّقَلَيْنِ: أَوَّلُهُمَا كِتَابُ اللهِ، فِيهِ الْهُدَى وَالثُّورُ، فَحُدُوا بِكِتَابِ اللهِ وَرَعَّبَ فَخُدُوا بِكِتَابِ اللهِ وَرَعَّبَ فَيهِ تُلَى كِتَابِ اللهِ وَرَعَّبَ فَيهِ . ثُمَّ قَالَ: "وَأَهْلُ بَيْتِي؛ أَذَكَّرُكُمُ اللهَ فِي أَهْلِ بَيْتِي."

He verily said: "Now to our purpose: O people, I am only a human being. I am about to receive a messenger (the angel of death) from my Lord and I, in response to Allah's call, (would bid goodbye to you), but I am leaving among you two weighty things: the one being the Book of Allah in which there is right guidance and light, so hold fast to the Book of Allah and adhere to it." He exhorted (us) (to hold fast) to the Book of Allah and then said: "The second are the members of my Household; I remind you (of your duties) to the members of my family." I

In the Musnad of A|mad ibn °anbal (pages 17 and 59),  $-a|\phi|$  at-Tirmidh¢ (volume 3, page 14), al- $-aw_i$ 'iq al-Mu|riqah of Ibn °ajar al-'Asqalin¢ (page 136), and other references of the Sunn¢s, a similar tradition with the term "the members of my Household" [ahla bayt¢] have been recorded. In the new books, however, such as Fat| al-Maj¢d, the booklet of 'Abd Allih ibn Biz, and other booklets published by the Library of Masjid an-Nab¢ as well as in Mecca, one can find alterations, deletions and distortions. This is just one example of famous  $[mashh \pounds r]^2$  and uninterrupted  $[mutaw_i tir]^3$  |ad¢ths in which  $ta|r\phi f$  has

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Muslim,  $a|\phi|$ , vol. 4, p. 1803,  $|ad\phi th$  no. 2408 ('Abd al-B<sub>i</sub>q¢ Edition). Abdul-°am¢d Siddiqui (trans.),  $a|\phi|$  Muslim (English Translation), vol. 4,  $|ad\phi th$  no. 5920. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Famous [mashh£r]: a  $|ad\phi th|$  with general fame and prevalence, though not necessarily attained on all the levels. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A mutawitir  $|ad\phi th|$  is one which has been reported by so many different chains of transmission and such a number of narrators in every generation as normally could not agree to fabricate a tradition without the fact of its fabrication becoming known. [Trans.]

been done. Is this practice not a distortion, deviation and treachery to Islam and the history of Muslims?

Second instance (the identity of the saved sect): In the book, al-As'ilah wal-Ajwibah, there has been recorded a tradition allegedly from the Prophet (\$):

Verily, he (¥) said: "This *ummah* will be divided into seventy-three sects and all of which shall be in the hellfire except one, and that is the *Ahl as-Sunnah wa'l-Jam*; 'ah."

In this  $|ad\phi th|$ , the expression, "Ahl as-Sunnah wa'l-Jam; 'ah" has been inserted in order for them to claim that the Holy Prophet (¥) has approved of the Ahl as-Sunnah from the very beginning.

It must be said that the phrase, "Ahl as-Sunnah wa'l-Jam; 'ah," is not extant in any of the primary and authoritative references of the Ahl as-Sunnah. Since the Wahh; b¢s could not add the name of their sect in the |ad¢th| as Wahh; bism is a nascent group, and on the other hand, since they associated themselves with the Ahl as-Sunnah and their mission is to magnify the Sunn¢-Sh¢'ah dichotomy, they have inserted the phrase, "Ahl as-Sunnah wa'l-Jam; 'ah" in the |ad¢th| so as to fortify the notion that the Prophet (¥) had approved of the Ahl as-Sunnah from the very beginning, and none other than them have been formally recognized.

\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Al-As'ilah wa'l-Ajwibah, p. 23.

Therefore, once the expression, "Ahl as-Sunnah wa'l-Jam; 'ah," is added, this question springs to the mind: Which group refers to the saved sect? Undoubtedly, the group, which is closer to the Book of Allah, the Sunnah of the Prophet (¥), and the Ahl al-Bayt ('a) and takes more recourse to them, is the saved group. It is because in the traditions narrated in the Sunn¢ references it is emphasized that only the group which holds fast to the Book of Allah and the Ahl al-Bayt ('a) will not go astray.

Third instance ( $ta|r\phi f$  of the phrase, "mut'ah annis;""): In  $a|\phi|$  al-Tirmidh $\phi$ , another authoritative Sunn $\phi$  source, this tradition has been recorded:

سُئِلَ ابْنُ عُمَرَ عَنْ مَتْعَةِ النَّسَاءِ، فقالَ: "هِيَ حَلالٌ. " وكَانَ السَّائِلُ مِنْ أَهُلِ الشَّامِ، فقالَ لَهُ: "إِنَّ أَبَاكَ قَدْ نَهَى عَنْهَا. " فقالَ ابْنُ عُمرَ: "أَرَأَيْتَ إِنْ كَانَ أَبِي نَهَى عَنْهَا، وصَنْعَهَا رَسُولُ اللهِ لَيْ ذَلَهُ عَنْهَا، وصَنْعَهَا رَسُولُ اللهِ 3. أَتَتُرُكُ السُّنَّةَ وَتَتْبَعُ قُولُ أَبِي ""

A certain man from Shim¹ asked ['Abd Allih] ibn 'Umar about *mut'ah* [fixed-time marriage]. He said: "It is |alil [lawful]." The man from Shim said: "Your father has prohibited it." Ibn 'Umar said: "If ever my father has prohibited it, and the Messenger of Allah has regarded it as lawful. Shall you abandon the *Sunnah* (of the Prophet) and follow my father's opinion?"

In the new edition of  $a|\phi|$  al-Tirmidh $\phi$ , however, this  $|ad\phi th|$  is totally expunged. Of course, it must be said that 'Umar ibn al-Kha $\S\S$ ib prohibited not only the mut'ah for women but also the mut'ah for 'ajj [|ajj at-tamattu']. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sh;m or Sh;m;t: Up until five centuries ago, included Syria of today, Lebanon and parts of Jordan and Palestine. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Sayyid Ja'far Murta¤i, *Dir js jt wa Bu¦£th f¢'t-T jr¢kh*, p. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> °ajj at-tamattu': A type of pilgrimage which is applicable to those living outside Mecca, i.e. out of limits of the |arjm (the precinct of the

latter means that whenever the person in the state of  $i|r|m^1$  [mu|rim] finishes his rituals of 'umrat at-tamattu '2—as per instruction of the Prophet (¥)—provided that he has not yet donned the i|r|m for the |ajj|, he may enjoy performing lawful sexual acts.

Ibn Kath¢r has narrated a |ad¢th| similar to the one quoted above concerning the prohibition of mut'ah:

'Abd Allih was against the saying of his father. When it was said to him that his father had indeed prohibited the people from practicing *mut'ah*, he said: "I am afraid lest stones from heaven fall on your heads (for saying so). The Messenger of Allah deemed it lawful. Shall I follow the *Sunnah* of the Messenger of Allah or the *sunnah* of (my father) 'Umar ibn al-Kha§§¡b?".

It was said that *mut'ah* for °ajj is a debatable subject and the Companions of the Prophet (¥) had no unanimity of view about it. The <sup>2</sup>¡hiriyyah<sup>4</sup> believe that the practice of the Companions is the proof, but in view of the diverse opinions of the Companions in this regard, the saying of

Grand Mosque, Ka'bah and/or the surrounding holy places in Mecca). [Trans.]

 $<sup>^1</sup>$   $I|_{\Gamma jm}$ : The special two-piece seamless attire worn by pilgrims. Also, the state of ritual consecration during which the pilgrim should abstain from certain acts, such as not combing, not shaving, and observing sexual continence. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 'Umrat at-tamattu': A visitation ritual that is obligatory before performing °ajj. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> T;r¢kh Ibn Kath¢r, vol. 5, p. 141.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <sup>2</sup>¡hiriyyah: A sect within the Ahl as-Sunnah that contents itself with the apparent ["jhir] meaning of the | $ad\phi ths$ .

'Umar must be accepted:

['Umar said:] There were two types of *mut'ah* during the time of the Messenger of Allah which I prohibited and I shall punish whoever shall perform them: the *mut'ah* for °ajj and the *mut'ah* for women.

Now, this question is hereby posed: If the difference of opinion among Companions was the reason behind their acceptance of the saying of 'Umar ibn al-Kha§§¡b, why did they not prefer the saying of 'Abd All¡h ibn al-'Abb¡s—a learned man, mu|addith and well-informed of the sayings of the Prophet (¥)—who was of the opinion that the mut'ah for °ajj had not been abrogated [mans £kh].

It can be deduced from the opposition of 'Abd All;h ibn al-'Abb;s and 'Abd All;h ibn 'Umar that 'Umar used his independent reasoning and prohibited the people—who had consensus of opinion that these were practiced during the time of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ )—from doing so. Does this view and opinion not go against the text  $[na\Psi\Psi]$  (of the Qur';n and  $ad\psi$ )?

'Abd Allih ibn 'Umar, 'Abd Allih ibn al-'Abbis, and a number of the Companions were the first persons who differed with the opinion of the Caliph.

In view of the fact that the Wahh;b¢s have regarded the Companions as worthy to be emulated, if certain people (such as the Sh¢`ah) while relying on indisputable proof would not accept the opinion and view of 'Umar but instead

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>  $Bid_jyah$  al-Mujtahid wa  $Nih_jyah$  al-Muqta4id, vol. 1, p. 346; Ibn Qud;mah, Al-Mughn $\phi$ , vol. 7, p. 527; Ibn Qayyim,  $Z_jd$  al-Ma $^ijd$ , vol. 2, p. 205.

accept the view of 'Abd Allih ibn 'Umar, 'Abd Allih ibn al-'Abbis, and other Companions, have they traversed the path of misguidance and disbelief [kufr]? If it is so, were Ibn 'Umar, Ibn al-'Abbis and other Companions also misguided and infidels?

**Fourth instance:** In Musnad A|mad ibn °anbal we can come across eight traditions all of which indicate the brotherhood and fraternity between the Prophet (¥) and 'Al¢ ('a). In all of these traditions, the Prophet (¥) pointed at Im;m 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢  $\pm$ ; lib ('a) and said:

هذا أخي.

This ('Al¢) is my brother.

In the new editions of this book, however, all these traditions are expunged and no trace of them is left.

**Fifth instance:** In  $T_i r \phi kh$   $Ya' q \pounds b \phi$  until the 1358 AH edition, it is stated that this noble verse was revealed on the day of Ghad  $\phi$ r Khumm:

Today I have perfected your religion for you, and I have completed My blessing upon you, and I have approved Islam as your religion. 1

From its 1379 AH edition onward, however, that sentence which had been recorded for many centuries in that history book has been distorted and twisted as it is now claimed that the same verse was revealed on the Day of 'Arafah. On account of the politics of  $ta|r\phi f$ , many graves, mosques and relics of the past have either been effaced or their names have been changed. The birth site of the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-M; 'idah 5:3.

Prophet ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) [mawlid an-nab¢]; the birth site of 'Al¢ ('a) [mawlid 'al¢]; the tombs of Prophet Ism; '¢1 (Ishmael) ('a) and his mother H; jar on the two sides of oijr Ism; '¢1 (which until recently had been identified through a specific stonemark); the location of  $Ghad \phi r$  Khumm; the tomb and other relics of oadrat Khadijih al-Kubr;; the Shi'b Ab£ ±ilib; the tomb of Ab£ ±¡lib; and many other relics have been destroyed by the Wahh; b¢s, because each of them bespeaks of the historical events and happenings most of which, to some extent or other are to the detriment of the inverted school of Wahh; bism. The site of the mub; halah [imprecation] has been changed into Masjid al-Ij;bah by them. Today, if the residents of the city of Medina are asked about the location of the grave of F; §imah ('a), they do not know, and if those people who know the location of the mub; halah would travel to that area, they would not be able to locate it easily.

Therefore, the Sh¢'ah do not believe whatsoever in  $ta|r \phi f$ , and only a limited number Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah 'ulam;' of the past held this belief.  $Ta|r \phi f$  in whatever form is rejected, whether it is  $ta|r \phi f$  of the Qur';n or  $ta|r \phi f$  of the  $|ad\phi ths$ , history and historical places.

It is appropriate for the Muslim world to form a committee with the task of preserving the sayings of the Prophet ( $\Psi$ ) as well as the ancient authoritative religious references and texts, and to make efforts in protecting the foundations of Islam. Perhaps, the secret behind the  $|ad\psi th|$ ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the exegesis of S£rat <sup>q</sup> 'Imr<sub>i</sub>n 3:61: "Should anyone argue with you concerning him, after the knowledge that has come to you, say, 'Come! Let us call our sons and your sons, our women and your women, our souls and your souls, then let us pray earnestly and call down Allah's curse upon the liars'." [Trans.]

"Whoever in my ummah preserves or memorizes four  $|ad\phi ths...$ "

is a campaign against these distortions.  $\square$ 

### TENTH DISCOURSE: ABSOLUTE OBEDIENCE TO THE RULER

The Wahh;b¢s who regard themselves as followers of A¦mad ibn °anbal consider it obligatory  $[w_i]$ ib] to obey one vested with authority  $[wal¢\ al-amr]$  for three reasons. They believe that the two '¢d prayers, Friday and other congregational prayers and leading the °ajj and  $jih_i$ d are at the discretion of the leader and ruler, whether he is just, or a debauchee and oppressor, and that this theory is corroborated by the Qur'in and the Sunnah as well as the practice of the Companions. **Their first basis** is the verse,

O you who have faith! Obey Allah and obey the Apostle and those vested with authority among you. 1

They say that this can be deduced from the universality and general applicability of "those vested with authority" [£l£'l-amr] that any ruler, whether he is good or bad, must be obeyed, and obeying those vested with authority does not specify whether the ruler is just or debauchee. They believe that this statement does not distinguish between the two,

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat an-Nis; '4:59.

and it cannot be said that it means the just ruler.1

**The other basis** the Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s cite is a tradition allegedly from the Prophet (¥). The book, *Al-As'ilah wa'l-Ajwibah*, has assumed its alleged authenticity. The tradition thus states:

Verily, Allah affirms this religion through the debauchee (who shall rule).

It can be inferred from this tradition that any ruler who affirms the religion ought to be obeyed, but the Wahh;b¢s have made use of it in a different way.

In another narration on the authority of Ab£ Hurayrah, it is thus stated:

 $Jih_id$  is incumbent upon you along with the one who rules over you, whether he is good or a debauchee.

The third basis the Wahhib¢s cite is the practice of the Companions which is treated as a proof [|ujjah], such as 'Abd Allih ibn Mas'£d's standing in prayer behind Wal¢d ibn 'Uqbah ibn Ab¢ Mu'¢§ notwithstanding the fact that Wal¢d was a drunkard and a wicked person.<sup>2</sup>

Another example is the practice of a famous Companion, 'Abd All;h ibn 'Umar who stood in prayer behind 'ajj;j ibn Y£suf in spite of the fact that 'ajj;j was a tyrant and bloodthirsty man. Similarly, some other Companions prayed behind Ibn Ab¢ 'Ubaydah despite their awareness of his deviation in belief by explicitly calling on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Al-As'ilah wa'l-Ajwibah, p. 321.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 'Al¢ al-Q¡r¢ al-Haraw¢ al-°anaf¢, Shar¦ Fiqh al-Akbar, under the chapter "it is permissible to pray behind a good person or a wicked person," p. 90; Ibn Taymiyah, Majm£' al-Fat¡w¡ (Riyadh, 1381 AH), vol. 3, p. 281. [Trans.]

the people to misguidance.

All of these constitute the arguments of the Wahh; b¢s in favor of the incumbency of obeying the ruler irrespective of the ruler being just or unjust. This mindset actually paved the way for the rule of the debauchees, tyrants and drunkards and hinders the advancement of the righteous. In the same token, this frame of mind is against the Our'in, and as will be made clear later, allocation in the verses cited as evidence by the Wahh; b¢s is stronger than lack of allocation because in understanding a verse it is necessary to take into account the entire Qur'in and other verses as well. There are numerous verses in the Qur'in which proscribe obedience to squanderers, mischief-mongers and oppressors, and these verses are explicitly connected to the verse on "those vested with authority". If we follow the mischief-mongers and oppressors, it means that we obey the sinful—an act which is repugnant to the Qur'in:

(وَلا تَعَاوِثُوا عَلَى الْإِثْمِ وَالْعُدُوَانِ.)
But do not cooperate in sin and aggression.<sup>2</sup>

Higher than this is the obedience to parents which is sanctioned by the Qur'in on the condition that they take a walk on the path of truth. This is while obedience to the ruler is not superior to obedience to the parents:

We have enjoined man to be good to his parents. But if they urge you to ascribe to Me as partner that of which you have no knowledge,) then do not obey them.

See Al-As'ilah wa'l-Ajwibah, p. 322.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-M; 'idah 5:2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-'Ankab£t 29:8.

The Sunnah and  $|ad\phi ths|$  of the Prophet (¥), also proscribe obedience to sinful people:

There is no obedience to the creature  $[makhl \pounds q]$  in disobedience to the Creator  $[kh_i liq]$ .

Basically, the essence of Islam is the movement of the society on the basis of God-wariness [taqwi]:

(وَتَعَاوَنُوا عَلَى الْبِرِّ وَالتَّقُوَى.)

Cooperate in piety and God-wariness. 2

The Qur'in also regards the establishment of justice as the raison d'être of the prophets' mission:

(لِيَقُومَ النَّاسُ بِالْقِسِطِ.)
...So that mankind may maintain justice.<sup>3</sup>

Given all of this, how could Islam possibly approve an unjust Imim, draw the society toward corruption and injustice, and take as the criterion the practice of some of the Companions?

#### The practice of the Companions [\frac{1}{4}a|\_{1}bah]

If it can be concluded from the practice of some Companions in following the illegitimate ruler of their time that any ruler, whether just or unjust, must be obeyed, this question is to be asked: Why did 'Abd All;h ibn 'Umar accept the caliphate of 'Uthm;n but deny the caliphates of Im;m 'Al¢ and Im;m al-°asan ('a); not assist Im;m alousayn ('a) but swear allegiance to Yaz¢d, 'Ubayd Allih ibn Ziyid and °ajjij ibn Y£suf? Why did some Companions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nahj al-Baljghah, Saying 156.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-M; 'idah 5:2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-°ad¢d 57:25.

not swear allegiance to Im;m 'Al¢ ('a)?

Therefore, the practice per se of the Companions does not serve as proof [|ujjah|] and their being |ujjah| has some requisites and conditions. Merely being a Companion  $[\#a|;b\phi]$  is not enough. Both the group of hypocrites  $[mun;fiq \pounds n]$  and those who later became apostates  $[murtadd\phi n]$  were included in the rubric of "Companions", and the  $|ad\phi th|$  narrated by them are also unacceptable.

Unfortunately, since this mindset has taken root in the school of thought of some Sunnés, it had been subject to abuse. Almad ibn °anbal says:

There are two ways in determining the caliph. One way is that he shall be appointed by the preceding caliph just as the Prophet appointed Ab£ Bakr while Ab£ Bakr did the same to 'Umar, and 'Umar in turn appointed the six-man council. The second way is that the person himself would resort to the show of force even if it be through violence and the sword, as what 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢  $\pm_i$ lib did. Following these two (means) is necessary and opposing them is unlawful [ $|ar_im|$ ]. It is not necessary for the ruler to be an Arab, Quraysh¢, or has some deviant behavior. Only the  $fuqah_i$  [jurists] should admonish them.<sup>1</sup>

Was A|mad ibn °anbal so uninformed of the early history of Islam that he did not know that 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢  $\pm_i$ lib acquired the position of caliphate through the people's allegiance and not at the point of sword? Meanwhile, the function of the  $fuqah_i$  is described as merely admonishing the caliphs while a corrupt power cannot be guided to the right path merely through admonition. In addition, most of Sunn¢  $fuqah_i$  have been among the proponents and guardians of the corrupt ruling power. The Wahhib¢

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ab£ Zahrah Mi¥r¢, A|mad ibn °anbal, p. 148.

'ulam;' who claim to be followers of A¦mad ibn °anbal and the Ahl as-Sunnah have so far neither admonished nor confronted the ruling establishment in °ij;z, but have been the well-wishers of the ruling apparatus and justifiers of its crimes.

The Wahh;b¢s themselves have unconsciously quoted some traditions in their books which challenge the belief on following a just or debauchee ruler:

The Messenger of Allah (¥) said: "I am afraid of deviant leaders for my *ummah*; they are deviant rulers [*umar*;'], scholars ['*ulam*;'] and worshippers ['*ubb*;*d*]."

In this  $|ad\phi th|$ , the Holy Prophet (¥) has expressed dissatisfaction and concern over deviant rulers, and in fact, prohibited obedience to a deviant ruler.

Following the above  $|ad\phi th|$ , it has been narrated from 'Umar ibn al-Kha§§;b that he said to the narrator:

"Do you know what shall obliterate Islam?" I said: "No." He said: "What shall obliterate Islam is the deviation of the scholar ['ilim], the debate of the hypocrite by resorting to the Book (Qur'in), and the rule of misguided rulers."<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fat¦ al-Maj¢d.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid.

This  $|ad\phi th|$  also negates obedience to the misguided ruler, regarding it as unlawful. It must be stated that the issue of obedience to the ruler, be he just or unjust, is different from a comparable issue discussed in the  $Sh\phi$  ah school [madrasah]—that society is in need of a ruler though this ruler is corrupt because there will be chaos in the absence of a ruler, and order is better than disorder. This ruling in the  $Sh\phi$  ah is meant to prove the exigency of government in the society and not to explain the requisites and duties of the government.

# Obedience to the ruler and one vested with authority [wal¢ al-amr] among the Sh¢`ah

According to the Sh¢'ah, the following two verses have a spiritual connection with each other, and the latter verse explains the former:

O you who have faith! Obey Allah and obey the Apostle and those vested with authority among you. 1

Your guardian is only Allah, His Apostle, and the faithful who maintain the prayer and give the zakit while bowing down.<sup>2</sup>

The first verse states that you have to follow God and His Messenger (¥) and in the absence of the Messenger (¥), you have to follow those who are vested with authority among you, but it does not say "just ruler" as it is a verse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat an-Nis; '4:59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-M<sub>j</sub> 'idah 5:55.

with general application and includes both the just and unjust rulers. The second verse states that your guardian and leader is first of all, God and His Messenger ( $\Psi$ ), and in the absence of the Messenger of Allah ( $\Psi$ ), those who perform their prayers and give the  $zak_i$ t, etc.

In  $U \neq \ell$  al- $K_i f \phi$ , °usayn ibn Ab£'l-A'l; thus narrates: قُلْتُ لَأْبِي عَبْدِ اللهِ A: "الأَحْيَاءُ طَاعَتُهُمْ مَقْرُوضَةٌ?" قَالَ: "نَعَمْ. هُمُ الَّذِينَ قَالَ اللهُ عَزَّ وَجَلَّ: (أَطِيعُوا اللَّهَ وَأَطِيعُوا الرَّسُولَ وَأُولِي الأَمْرِ مِثْكُمْ.) وَهُمُ الَّذِينَ قَالَ اللهُ عَزَّ وَجَلَّ: (إِنَّمَا وَلِيكُمْ اللهُ وَرَسُولُهُ وَالَّذِينَ آمَنُوا الَّذِينَ يُقِيمُونَ الصَّلاةُ ويُؤثُونَ الزَّكَاةُ وَهُمْ رَاكِعُونَ.)"

I asked Ab£ 'Abd All;h (Im;m a¥-¯idiq ('a)): "Is it obligatory to obey the living rulers?" He ('a) said: "Yes, and they are those about whom Allah, the Honorable and Glorious, said: "Obey Allah and obey the Apostle and those vested with authority among you" and about whom Allah, the Honorable and Glorious, also said: "Your guardian is only Allah, His Apostle, and the faithful who maintain the prayer and give the zak;t while bowing down."

The above tradition establishes the connection between the two verses. The second verse was revealed about 'Al¢ ('a), and if we would like to elaborate, it will include the other Im;ms from the Ahl al-Bayt ('a), and after the Imams (i.e. during the major occultation of the  $12^{th}$  Im;m ('a)), if we would like to take into account its closer referents, they must be the rulers and guardians who posses Islamic qualities to be the deputies of the Im;ms ('a), such as the Jurist-Guardian [wal¢ al-faq¢h] who serves as the wal¢ al-amr. If the Wahh;b¢s deny the revelation of the said verse (as referring to 'Al¢ ('a)), at least the verse in question has set some qualifications for the ruler such as faith,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *U¥£l al-K;f¢*, vol. 1, p. 264, |*ad¢th* 6; p. 269, |*ad¢th* 16.

performance of prayer and giving of  $zak_i$ t. If the ruler would know each of these in its true sense and practice it, that ruler can never be deviant and a debauchee.

## The first wal¢ al-amr after the Prophet and the criterion of preeminence of the Companions

One of the oldest discussions between the Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah is the identity of the first wal¢ al-amr after the Messenger of Allah (¥), therefore it is very natural for the Wahh;b¢ sect to participate in the discussion and take a stance. We always believe that this discussion should be done as a religious one only between the Sunn¢s and the Sh¢'ah, and no other party, internal or external, should get involved in the discussion, and Muslims should not allow the involvement of foreign-made sects. This discussion should be done in the atmosphere of friendship and complete Islamic brotherhood so that outsiders could not capitalize on it.

The Sh¢'ah regard 'Al¢ ibn Ab¢  $\pm_i$ lib ('a) as the first wal¢ al-amr after the Prophet (¥), but without taking into account the appointment of 'Al¢ ('a) in Ghad¢r Khumm and the will of the Prophet that "Of whomsoever I am master  $[mawl_i]$  'Al¢ is also his master," and the numerous other proofs in the Qur'in, |ad¢ths| and history, the Sunn¢s defended the merit and qualification of the Companions to rule after the Prophet (¥). Then, they engaged in the discussion as to who was superior to the other. In this regard, A|mad ibn °anbal says:

 $-a_{\parallel}ib\psi$  [companion] is one who saw the Prophet (¥) for one year, a month, or a moment, but there are differences among the Companions in terms of degree and superiority in virtue. Those of the first group are Ab£ Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthm;n in this order. Those of the second group are the Companions

constituting the 6-man council such as 'Al¢, Zubayr,  $\pm$ al¦ah, 'Abd ar-Ra|m¡n ibn 'Awf, and Sa'd ibn Waqq¡¥, in this order of superiority. Each of these individuals is qualified to be the caliph and Im¡m according the order mentioned afore. The third order are those who participated in the Battle of Badr, who according to the order of superiority, are the  $Muh_i jir \pounds n$  [emigrants] and then the  $An \oiint i$  [helpers]. But the individuals such as Mu'¡wiyah, 'Amr£ ibn al-'¡¥ and Ab£ M£s¡ al-'Ash'ar¢ who have not been mentioned in the three groups are those who have been generally described and praised in the Qur'¡n because as the effect of prostration, left a mark upon their forehead, about which the Qur'¡n says:

Their mark is [visible] on their faces, from the effect of prostration.<sup>3</sup>

In the last phrase stated by A|mad ibn °anbal, 'Abd ar-Ra|m|n ibn Muljim (the murderer of 'Al¢ ('a)) must also be included because he had also mark of prostration on his forehead!

The Wahh;b¢s, who have involved themselves in the discussion on the difference between the Sunn¢s and the Sh¢'ah, have presented criteria for the hierarchy of the Companions in terms of superiority:

Ab£ Bakr is superior to all for four reasons: his virtue; his precedence in faith; the Prophet (¥) preferred him over others; and the Companions unanimously elected him. Meanwhile, 'Umar is superior to the rest for two reasons: his virtue and his being appointed by Ab£ Bakr. In the case of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Muh*<sub>i</sub>*jr*£*n* (lit. "Emigrants"): The Meccan Muslims who accompanied the Prophet (¥) in his *hijrah* [emigration] to Medina. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>  $An \not=_{i} r$  (lit. "Helpers"): The Muslims of Medina who invited the Prophet ( $\not=$ ) and Muslims of Mecca to migrate (hijrah) to Medina. [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-Fat| 48:29. See A|mad ibn °anbal, p. 148.

'Uthmin, he has superior to others after 'Umar for two reasons: his virtue and the council preferred him over others. After 'Uthmin, 'Al¢ is superior to the rest for two reasons: his virtue and his being elected unanimously by the people. <sup>1</sup>

Yet, if we would take a survey of the event in  $Ghad\phi r$  Khumm, the will of the Prophet (¥), the revelation of verses about 'Al $\phi$  ('a), and all the pieces of evidence that highlight the rightfulness of 'Al $\phi$  ('a) in the Qur';n,  $|ad\phi ths|$  and history, this question may be posed: What is indeed the true Qur';nic and Islamic criteria for the superiority of individuals over each other? What can be deduced from the Qur';n are the following:

#### First, precedence in faith:

And the Foremost Ones are the foremost ones: they are the ones brought near [to Allah].<sup>2</sup>

Second, struggle:

And Allah has graced those who wage jih;d over those who sit back with a great reward.<sup>3</sup>

Third, knowledge and learning:

Are those who know equal to those who do not know?<sup>4</sup>

Fourth, God-wariness [taqwi]:

Indeed the noblest of you in the sight of Allah is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Al-As'ilah wa'l-Ajwibah, p. 301.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-W¡qi 'ah 56:10-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat an-Nis; '4:95.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> S£rat az-Zumar 39:9.

most God-wary among you. 1

There are also other criteria some of which are derived from the abovementioned ones. What can serve as the criteria for assessment are these and not those things that happened in the early period of Islam, thus we have to acknowledge what happened and explain the past as it was.

It is obvious that the Companions of the Prophet (¥) especially those who participated in the Battle of Badr and the Pledge of  $Rixin [bay'ah \ ar-rixin]$  or Pledge under the Tree  $[bay'ah \ ash-shajarah]$ , the Battle of  $U_i$ ud, and the like are all respectable because they were those who helped the Prophet (¥), but this should not keep the truth covered. Im;m as-Sajj;d ('a) used to send salutations upon all the Companions, extolling them thus:

O God, and give to those who have done well in following the Companions, who say, "Our Lord, forgive us and our brethren who were our forerunners in the faith," Thy best reward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Surah al-°ujur;t 49:13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See the exegesis of Sur<sub>i</sub>h al-Fat<sub>1</sub> 48:18: "Allah was certainly pleased with the faithful when they swore allegiance to you under the tree. He knew what was in their hearts, so He sent down composure on them, and requited them with a victory near at hand." [Trans.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S£rat al-°ashr 59:10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A¥- a|¢fah al-K;milah as-Sajj;diyyah, Supplication 4.

Yes, Imim as-Sajjid ('a) says: "O God! Give Your best reward to those who follow the Companions, and in another part of his supplication, he ('a) prays for the Companions, Followers [tabi'£n] of the Companions, and the sons and wives of the Companions:

O God, and bless the Followers, from this day of ours to the Day of Doom, their wives, their offspring, and those among them who obey Thee. <sup>1</sup>

The Holy Qur'in has praised the first Emigrants  $[muh_{ij}ir \pounds n]$  and Helpers  $[an ¥_{i}r]$ , saying:

The early vanguard of the Emigrants and the Helpers and those who followed them in virtue—Allah is pleased with them and they are pleased with Him.<sup>2</sup>

This noble verse contains two vital points. The phrase, "those who follow them in virtue" indicates the fact that the pleasure of God belongs to the Companions who were good followers of the Prophet (¥) and after the demise of the Prophet (¥) they had remained his good followers by being steadfast to his will, such as Salmin al-Firis¢, Ab£ Dharr, and others, and in practice, they had traversed the path of the Prophet (¥). Therefore, how could they earn the pleasure of God after they had followed the Prophet (¥) during his lifetime but left the pale of his religion after his death and, by not adhering to his will [wa¥iyyah], sever their followship with him?

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$   $A \neq ^{-}a \mid \phi fah \ al - K_{i} milah \ as - Sajj_{i} diyyah.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat at-Tawbah (or, Bar; 'ah) 9:100.

The second point is that from the apparent meaning of the verse, it can be deduced that the fellowship of the  $An \not\equiv r$ and Muhjjir£n is supposed to the ensured until the end of their lives, but in reality not all the Companions have been so, and neither does the verse give such a guarantee because man is an ever changing creature and not fixed. The supplication of Im;m as-Sajjid ('a) is therefore in description of the Companions who have the qualities of fellowship. This point will become clearer when we become Companions, or that some Companions became apostates [murtaddfn] afterward. The opposition and resistance of Umm al-Mu'min¢n 'a'ishah against the caliph of the time whose caliphate was legal and legitimate and whose opposition violated the admonition in this verse of the Qur'in to the wives of the Prophet:

(وَقَرْنَ فِي بُيُوتِكُنَّ.)

Stay in your houses.<sup>2</sup>

is a vivid example of the deviation of a famous Companion and  $|ad\phi th|$  narrator.

So, if verses of the Qur'in describe the Companions such as the verse mentioned earlier, such verses are conditional. For example, it is thus narrated in a tradition allegedly from the Prophet  $(\S)$ :

"None of those who pledged allegiance under the tree shall enter hellfire." They were more than one thousand four hundred.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Mu'; lim al-Madrasatayn, vol. 1, p. 98.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-A|z|b 33:33.

In describing these very Companions, the Qur'in says: (لقَدْ رَضِيَ اللَّهُ عَنْ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ إِذْ يُبَايِعُونَكَ تَحْتَ الشَّجَرَةِ.)

Allah was certainly pleased with the faithful when they swore allegiance to you under the tree.<sup>2</sup>

In this verse, the use of the description "faithful" for those who pledged allegiance under the tree indicates that God's pleasure with them or their non-admission into hellfire includes those who were faithful on the day of the pledge, and does not include the hypocrites and the faithless among them. In the same manner, those who turned back from their faith after the pledge cannot be included.

Based on what has been said so far, generalizing all the Companions as truthful and taking their practice as a proof and an ideal pattern of behavior in rejecting the rightfulness of 'Al¢ ('a) on the issue of caliphate in the face of the evidence to the contrary in the Qur';n,  $|ad\phi ths|$  and history does not hold water. Thus, sayings such as that of A|mad ibn °anbal that all the Companions are worthy of praise and eulogy without exception and that anyone who reproached the Companions would be  $r_i fi = 0$  [one who abandons the religion] has no credibility.

The Wahh;b¢s say that the Companions of the Prophet (¥) should not be cursed and that since the Prophet (¥) said that the best of people are the Companions, anyone who curses Mu';wiyah, 'Amr£ ibn al-';¥, Ab£ M£s; al-'Ash'ar¢, Ab£ Hurayrah, ±al|ah, Zubayr, 'Uthm;n, 'Al¢, Ab£ Bakr, or 'a'ishah shall be killed or something less severe than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Mu'; lim al-Madrasatayn, vol. 1, p. 98.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al-Fat 48:18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A|mad ibn °anbal, p. 147.

murder.1

The essence of the saying of the Prophet (¥) is correct, but in practical terms, any debauchee and oppressor cannot be regarded and respected as a Companion just because he was once with the Prophet (¥) or had seen him a few moments.

Of course, Islamic morality and the observance of courtesy demand that a faithful person, Sh¢'ah or non-Sh¢'ah, should avoid foul language or the use of obscene and abusive words upon anyone, especially the Companions of the Prophet (¥) and among them, the wives of the Prophet (¥) in particular who have been addressed in the Qur'in as:

(وَ أَرْوَ اجُهُ أُمَّهَاتُهُمْ.)

... and his wives are as their mothers.

### The ministry of Im;m 'Al¢ ('a)

The Wahh<sub>i</sub>b¢s have taken the participation of Im<sub>i</sub>m 'Al¢ ('a) in the congregational prayers under the leadership [imjmah] of Ab£ Bakr and his acceptance of the ministry of 'Umar as proof that 'Al¢ ('a) has also recognized the caliphate of the first three caliphs. They say that 'Umar was the vizier of Ab£ Bakr and 'Al¢ was the vizier of 'Umar, and finally, 'Umar also became son-in-law of 'Al¢ and F<sub>i</sub>§imah and Umm Kulth£m, the daughter of 'Al¢ was married to 'Umar.

Authoritative Sh¢'ah sources do not mention 'Umar's alleged marriage to the daughter of 'Al¢ and F¡§imah ('a) because Umm al-Kulth£m was born in 6 AH and passed away in 61 AH. They also do not mention her spouse and children. Her grave is in B¡b a¥- agh¢r of Sh;m. There is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Al-As'ilah wa'l-Ajwibah, p. 305.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S£rat al- $A_i^1z_i^2b$  33:6: "The Prophet is closer to the faithful than their own souls, and his wives are their mothers."

however something mentioned about the child of her sister Zaynab. Zaynab and Umm Kulth£m are one year apart in age, and, of course some writers have claimed that Umm Kulth£m and Zaynab are the same person.

Concerning the ministry of 'Al¢ ('a), however, there are indications in Islamic references that 'Al¢ ('a) cooperated with the second caliph only to the extent of giving counsel and guidance, which led 'Umar to say on over more than seventy occasions:

"Had it not been for 'Al¢, 'Umar would have been destroyed,"

and this statement has been mentioned many times in both the Sunn¢ and Sh¢'ah sources.

In spite of the fact that 'Al¢ ('a) was a known warrior and always in the forefront in the battles during the time of the Prophet ('a), he did not participate in 'Umar's military campaigns against Persia, Byzantine, Bayt al-Magdis, etc. Also, during the reigns of Ab£ Bakr, 'Umar and 'Uthmin when the Muslim territory was in need of governors, deputies and commanders, 'Al¢ ('a) had never been appointed to one of them because they themselves knew that that station and qualifications of 'Al¢ ('a) were beyond these positions, and that they were more in need of his guidance in administering the state. Besides, since Im;m 'Al¢ ('a) did not recognize them as the *de jure* caliphs, he could not also assume official functions under their rule. However, because of the interests of Islamic society and in order to prevent unjust and inappropriate decisions and judgments which would be to the detriment of the people, Im;m 'Al¢ ('a) gave them counsel and guided them in their tasks.

Im;m 'Al¢'s ('a) participation in the congregational prayers under the leadership of Ab£ Bakr or 'Umar did not

mean that he recognized their rightfulness. It was rather for the sake of keeping the Muslim unity and keeping the society away from dissension and discord. Of course, from the perspective of jurisprudence, there are reasons for the permissibility of following them in prayer, but it does not provide a reason for their rightfulness to the caliphate because Im;m 'Al¢ ('a) had always explicitly regarded himself as the rightful caliph.

If ever 'Umar attained the right of seniority and precedence on account of his ministry during the time of Ab£ Bakr, the seniority and precedence of 'Al¢ ('a) over the rest is natural because he was the minister of the Messenger of Allah ( $\S$ ) during his lifetime.

In Musnad A|mad ibn °anbal, an authoritative Sunn¢ source, it is narrated that the Messenger of Allah (¥) said:

O God! I would say something which my brother M£s; (Moses) said: Give me a minister from my family and that is 'Al¢.

(الْحَمْدُ لِلَّهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ.)

All praise belongs to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds. 1

9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S£rat al-F;ti¦ah 1:2.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

#### The Holy Qur';n.

Ab£ Zahrah Mi¥r¢, A¦mad ibn °anbal.

A|k|m as-Sul $\S|iniyyah$ .

'Alam al-Hudi, Sayyid Murtaḍi. Amil¢.

Al-As'ilah wa'l-Ajwibah al-U\footnote{\pmu}tliyyah.

Al-Fiqh 'al; al-Madh; hib al-Arba'ah.

Al-Ist $_i$ mb£l¢, Ma $_i$ m£d Mahd¢. Ibn Taymiyyah ba§al al-I¥ $l_i$  $_i$ 

ad-D¢n¢ (Beirut: Nashr Maktaba'l-Isl;m¢, n.d.).

 $Al-Kh\pounds'\phi$ , Sayyid  $Ab\pounds'l-Q_jsim$ .  $Mi\Psib_j|al-U\Psi\pounds l$ .

'All ¡mah Am¢n¢, Al-Ghad¢r.

'All¡mah Majlis¢, Mir'¡t al-'Uq£l.

 $A \not= -a \not= fah \ al - K \not= milah \ as - Sajj \not= diyyah.$ 

 $A \not= -a \cdot \phi \cdot f \phi S \phi rah \ an-Nab \phi.$ 

Bid;yah al-Mujtahid wa Nih;yah al-Muqta¥id.

 $Bi|_{i}r$  al-Anw $_{i}r$ .

Dawin¢, 'Al¢. Firqeh-ye Wahhib¢.

Faq¢h¢, 'Al¢ A¥ghar. Wahh;biyy;n.

Fat¦ al-Maj¢d.

Ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b, Mu|ammad.  $At-Taw|\phi d$  wa'l-Qawl as- $Sad\phi d f\phi Maq;Yid at-Taw|\phi d$ .

Ibn Qud;mah, Al-Mughn¢.

Ibn Qayyim, Zid al-Ma'id.

Kitjb At-Taw\¢d bi'l-Lughati al-Fjrisiyyah, No. 27.

 $Miy_inj\phi$ ,  $^ayatull_ih$   $^iAl\phi$   $A_i^lmad\phi$ . At-Tabarruk (Beirut).

Murtadj, Sayyid Ja'far.  $A \not= -a \mid \phi \mid f \phi S \phi rah \ an-Nab \phi$ .

Musnad A¦mad ibn °anbal.

 $Mu\S ahhar \phi$ , Murtadi. Jahin  $B\phi n\phi$ -ye  $Taw|\phi d\phi$  [Monotheistic Worldview].

Nahj al-Balighah.

 $-a_{\parallel}$ ¢feh-ye Im;m.

 $a_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} \phi_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}$  Muslim.

U¥£l al-Kjf¢.

The emergence of the Wahh;b¢ sect in the 12<sup>th</sup> century AH became the source of many religious and political disputes and disagreements in the Muslim world, and up to now, it has consumed a considerable portion of the potential and energy of Muslim societies especially from the time it had been backed by the political power of Ibn Sa'£d and British imperialism.

The founder of this sect, Mu¦ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh;b, came from Najd in the Arabian Peninsula. Under the influence of figures such as Ibn Taymiyyah, he used to express personal understandings of Islam contrary to the path of the 'ulam;'.

A comparative analysis of the ideas of Mu¦ammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahh¡b, on one hand, and Sunn¢ and Sh¢`ah beliefs, on the other, could reveal many of the religious innovations, deviations and speculative interpretations existing in this nascent sect. The book, A New Analysis of Wahh¡b¢ Doctrines, attempts to do so, and it has been written by utilizing references published by Wahh¡b¢ institutions in the °ij¡z.